1 Wild Bonelli's eagles (Aquila fasciata) as carrier of antimicrobial resistant

2 Salmonella and Campylobacter in Eastern Spain

3

Bárbara Martín-Maldonado^{a,b}, Laura Montoro-Dasi^{cd}, Maria Teresa PérezGracia^c, Jaume Jordá^c, Santiago Vega^{a,c}, Francisco Marco-Jiménez^{d,1}, Clara
Marin^{a,c,1,*}

7

⁸ ^a GEMAS (Study Group on Wildlife Medicine and Conservation), Spain.

9 ^b Hospital Veterinario de Fauna Silvestre de GREFA. Majadahonda, Madrid,

10 Spain.

¹¹ ^c Instituto de Ciencias Biomédicas. Universidad Cardenal Herrera-CEU, CEU

12 Universities. C/Tirant Lo Blanc 7, 46115 Alfara del Patriarca, Valencia, Spain.

¹³ ^d Instituto de Ciencia y Tecnología Animal, Universitat Politècnica de València,

14 46022, Valencia, Spain.

15

16 ¹ These authors contributed equally

17

18 * Corresponding author

Dra. Clara Marín Orenga DVM, PhD. EBVS® European Specialist in Poultry
Veterinary Science. E-mail: <u>clara.marin@uchceu.es</u> - Facultad de Veterinaria.
Universidad CEU-Cardenal Herrera. C/ Tirant Lo Blanc, 7 (46115), Alfara del
Patriarca (Valencia) Spain.

23

25 Abstract

26 Wild birds have repeatedly been found to be involved in the dissemination of enteric bacterial pathogens in the environment. The aim of this study was to 27 28 determine the occurrence of Salmonella and Campylobacter as well as the antimicrobial resistance in wild Bonelli's eagles nestlings in Eastern Spain. In 29 30 addition, we compared the efficiency of two sampling methods (fresh faecal 31 samples from nest and cloacal swabs from nestlings) for detection of both 32 bacteria. A total of 28 nests with 45 nestlings were analysed. In the nest, 33 Salmonella occurrence was 61±9.2%, while Campylobacter occurrence was 11±5.8% (p<0.05). In the nestlings, Salmonella occurrence was 36±7.1%, while 34 Campylobacter occurrence was 11±4.7% (p<0.05). Eight Salmonella serovars 35 36 were identified, and the most frequently isolated were S. Enteritidis, S. 37 Typhimurium, S. Houston, and S. Cerro. Only one Campylobacter species was 38 identified (C. jejuni). Regarding antimicrobial resistance, the Salmonella strains 39 isolated were found to be most frequently resistant to ampicillin and to tigecycline; 40 however, the sole Campylobacter strain recovered was multidrug resistant. In 41 conclusion, this study demonstrated that wild Bonelli's eagles nestlings are 42 greater carriers of Salmonella than of Campylobacter. Both Salmonella and 43 Campylobacter isolates exhibited antimicrobial resistance. In addition, faecal 44 samples from nests were most reliable for Salmonella detection, while cloacal 45 swab from nestlings were most reliable for Campylobacter detection.

Keywords: Salmonella; Campylobacter, wild birds; eagle; multiresistant strains;
cloacal swabs

48

51

52 **1. Introduction**

53

Wild birds have been highlighted as carriers of several microorganisms and 54 55 involved in their dissemination in the environment [1]. A large number of 56 Salmonella spp. have been isolated from wild birds, sometimes in birds with 57 signs, but quite often in birds without signs of disease [2]. Hence, the occurrence 58 of Salmonella and Campylobacter in wild bird reservoirs has been well 59 documented [1, 3-9]. Thus, Salmonella enterica serotypes Enteritidis, 60 Typhimurium, monophasic Typhimurium 1,4,[5],12:i:-, Newport, Derby and 61 Arizonae among others have been recorded in psittacines, passerines, 62 charadriiformes, pigeons, and raptors [1, 6, 10-14]. In adittion, Campylobacter 63 *jejuni, lari* and *coli* have been recorded in ducks, finches, seabirds, passerines 64 and raptors [11, 13, 15, 16]. Both genera of bacteria could be asymptomatic in 65 wild birds [17, 18], but for Salmonella when there is immunosuppression, clinical 66 signs can vary from gastrointestinal and nonspecific signs [3] to septicaemia, 67 embryonic and neonatal death [19]. Outbreaks can affect large proportions of 68 populations [20-21], that could have potential implications for conservation. Also 69 note that several authors have indicated that less obvious infections with host 70 adapted strains seem to have consequences on the birds' reproductive success 71 [22-24]. Moreover, Salmonella and Campylobacter are zoonotic pathogens, with 72 special importance in public health due to the severity of symptoms and the large 73 host range they can affect [25-27]. Due to their migratory patters, wild birds are

⁷⁴ could be an important source of direct or indirect contamination of raw plant food
 ⁷⁵ material or livestock farms [28, 29].

76

The Bonelli's eagle (*Aquila fasciata*) is widespread a raptor, with a range extending from the Iberian Peninsula, representing 65% of Europe population. Bonelli's eagles are large birds of prey that feed on small mammals, birds and reptiles. This species have a marked decline in number since the early 1980, and is included in Annex I of the Birds Directive (79/409/CEE), considered "vulnerable" in Spain (Royal Decree 439/90).

83

84 The Bonelli's eagle is considered a top avian predator in the food-chain of Mediterranean ecosystems [30-32]. This species feeds mostly on European wild 85 86 rabbit (Oryctolagus cuniculus) and red-legged partridge (Alectoris rufa) playing a major dietary role [33]. However in the last decades they have suffered 87 88 considerable privation of these preys species, due to game hunting and infectious 89 diseases [33]. This condition has forced Bonelli's eagles to feed on other species 90 like pigeons, which could carry multiresistant microorganisms, and this could lead 91 to treatment failures in wildlife rescue centres [31]. 92

93 Till now, only one study has assessed the occurrence of *Salmonella* in Bonelli's 94 eagles [34], but to our best knowledge the occurrence of *Campylobacter* spp. has 95 not been evaluated in this species. In this context, the aims of this study were (i) 96 to determine the occurrence of *Salmonella* spp. and *Campylobacter* spp. in wild 97 Bonelli's eagles nestlings in Eastern Spain, (ii) to determine the best sample type

for detection of *Salmonella* spp. and *Campylobacter* spp. and (iii) to analyse the
 occurrence of antimicrobial resistance.

100 **2. Materials and Methods**

All animals were handled according to Directive 2010/63/EU EEC for animal experiments. The Department of Infrastructure, Planning and Environment of the Valencian Regional Government granted permission to take samples, in order to improve conservation projects for endangered raptors.

105

106 **2.1. Study species and study area**

107 Sample collection was carried out during the breeding season in all Bonelli's 108 nests registered in the Valencian Region (Eastern Spain), concomitantly with the 109 ringing programme implemented by the Regional Ministry (Fig 1). The sampling 110 period was from March to May of 2015 and 2016. All animals tested for this study 111 were wild-bred nestlings of Bonelli's eagles, tested in their corresponding nest 112 (during this study each nest was tested only once). The age of each nestling was 113 determined by its feather development and by the lay and incubation records, 114 and the sex was determined by DNA analysis (Spanish Animal Health Reference 115 Laboratory, Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Affairs, Algete, Madrid) [6,35].

116

117 **2.2. Collection of faecal samples**

118

To take the samples it was necessary to descend the cliff to reach the nest (Fig 2). If present, a pooled faecal dropping (5-10gr) was taken from the nest. In addition, two cloacal samples were collected from each nestling (Fig 2), one for *Salmonella* spp. and another for *Campylobacter* spp. detection, using sterile

cotton swabs (Cary-Blair sterile transport swabs, DELTALAB, Barcelona Spain).
The swab was inserted approximately 1 cm into the cloaca to obtain the sample,
and then kept in Cary-Blair transport medium. All samples were transported on
ice and processed at the laboratory within 24 hours after collection.

127

128 **2.3.** Salmonella isolation and identification

129

130 The detection procedure was performed according to European official method 131 ISO 6579:2002 [36]. First, the samples were pre-enriched in buffered peptone water 2.5% (BPW, Scharlau, Barcelona, Spain), in 1:10 vol/vol proportion, and 132 133 incubated at 37±1°C for 18±2 hours. The pre-enriched samples were then 134 transferred onto a semi-solid agar medium, Rappaport Vasiliadis (MSRV, Difco, 135 Valencia, Spain), and incubated at 41.5±1°C for 24-48 hours. For the positive 136 plates, the colonies obtained were inoculated onto two specific agar plates for 137 Salmonella spp. detection: Xylose-Lysine-Deoxycholate (XLD, Liofilchem, 138 Valencia, Spain) and a selective chromogenic medium for detection of C8-139 esterase activity (ASAP, bioMerieux, Marcy l'Étoile, France). These agar plates 140 were incubated at 37±1°C for 24-48 hours. After incubation, suspected colonies 141 were collected and inoculated into a pre-dried nutrient agar plate, then incubated 142 at 37±1°C for 24 hours. Finally, biochemical test was performed to confirm 143 Salmonella spp. (API-20, bioMerieux, Marcy l'Étoile, France). Salmonella strains 144 isolated were serotyped at the Centre of Poultry Quality and Food Nutrition of the 145 Valencia Region (CECAV), using the Kauffman-White-Le Minor technique [37].

146

147 **2.4.** Campylobacter isolation and identification

149 Bacteriological culture was performed based on the European official method ISO 150 10272-1:2006 for Campylobacter spp. [38]. All samples were analysed by direct 151 culture, and the pre-enriched sample was plated if the direct culture was negative. 152 Cloacal swabs were directly streaked onto two selective agar mediums: modified 153 charcoal cefoperazone deoxycholate agar (mCCDA, AES laboratories, Bruz 154 Cedex, France) and Preston Agar (AES laboratories, Bruz Cedex, France). Both 155 were incubated at 41.5±1°C for 44±4 hours in a microaerobic atmosphere. For 156 the pre-enriched, the original sample was pre-enriched in Bolton Broth (OXOID, 157 Dardilly, France) in 1:10 vol/vol proportion, and was incubated at 37±1°C. After 5 158 hours of incubation, sample was incubated at 41.5±1°C for 43±1 hours. Then, if 159 the direct culture was negative, 10 µL of mixing were cultured on the same two 160 selective agar plates (mCCDA and Preston agar) and incubated as reported 161 above (41.5±1°C for 44±4 hours). Characteristic Campylobacter spp. colonies 162 were purified on blood agar and identified to species level with the standard 163 procedure: hippurate hydrolysis test.

164

165

166 **2.5. Antimicrobial agent susceptibility testing**

167

168 Antimicrobial susceptibility was tested according the European Committee on 169 Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST) guidelines [39]. The source for 170 diameters zone used for interpretation of the test 171 was: http://www.eucast.org/clinical breakpoints/. One Campylobacter and one 172 Salmonella strain per positive nestling/nest was tested. Each strain was tested

173	for antibiotic susceptibility using the Kirby–Bauer disk diffusion method [39], and
174	following the antimicrobial concentrations recommended by the European
175	Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing. Salmonella strains were
176	streaked onto Mueller-Hinton agar to form a bacterial lawn and plates were
177	incubated at 37°C for 24h. Campylobacter strains were streaked to form a
178	bacterial lawn onto Mueller-Hinton agar supplemented with 5% defibrinated
179	sheep blood and then incubated with antimicrobial disks at 37°C for 48h under
180	microaerobic conditions. The antibiotics selected were those set forth in Decision
181	2013/653 [40], including two quinolones: ciprofloxacin (CIP, 5 µg) and nalidixic
182	acid (NA, 30 μg); three b-lactams: ampicillin (AMP, 10 μg), cefotaxime (CTX, 30
183	μg) and ceftazidime (CAZ, 30 μg); one phenicol: chloramphenicol (C, 5 μg); one
184	potentiated sulfonamide: trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (SXT, 1.25/23.75 μg);
185	one polymyxin: colistin (COL, 10 μg); one macrolide: azithromycin (AZM, 15 μg);
186	one glycylcycline: tigecycline (TGC, 15 μg); one aminoglycoside: gentamycin
187	(GN, 10 μg), and one pyrimidine: trimethoprim (TM, 5 μg). MDR was defined as
188	acquired resistance to at least one agent in two or more antimicrobial classes
189	<mark>[41].</mark>

- **2.6. Statistical analysis**

193 We tested whether occurrence of bacterium was related to sampling point. To do 194 so, we fitted a generalised linear model (GLM) where occurrence 195 of Salmonella and Campylobacter was the response variable and the sampling point (nest and nestlings), sample collected (faecal samples and cloacal swabs) 196 and their interaction, sex (female and male), age (35-40, 41-45 and >45 days of 197 198 age) and province (Valencia, Castellón and Alicante) were fixed effects. For this 199 analysis, the error was designated as having a binomial distribution and the probit 200 link function was used. Binomial data for each sample were assigned a 1 201 if Salmonella and Campylobacter was isolated or a 0 if not. In addition, we tested 202 whether occurrence of Salmonella was related to the number of nestlings per 203 nest, using a GLM as previously. To do so, we fitted GLM where occurrence 204 of Salmonella was the response variable, and number of nestlings per nest (1 or 205 more than 1) was the fixed effect. A P value <0.05 was considered to indicate a 206 statistically significant difference. Analyses were carried out using a commercially 207 available software application (SPSS 21.0 software package; SPSS Inc., 208 Chicago, IL, 2002).

209 **3. Results**

210

A total of 28 Bonelli's eagle nests with 45 nestlings from the Valencia Region (Eastern Spain) were sampled (province of Valencia [n=11], Castellón [n=7] and Alicante [n=10]), 11 with 1 nestling and 17 with two nestlings. Sex identification revealed that the nestlings were 20 females and 25 males and ranged between 35 and 50 days of age. Diarrhea was not observed in the Bonelli's nestlings and nest sampled. From nests, *Salmonella* was isolated in 61±9.2% (17/28) of

samples, while Campylobacter was isolated in $11\pm5.8\%$ (3/28) of samples 217 218 (p<0.05). From nestlings, Salmonella and Campylobacter were isolated in 219 36±7.1% (16/45) and 11±4.7% (5/45) of the animals sampled (p<0.05), 220 respectively. Otherwise, there were no statistical differences between the 221 microorganism isolated and the sampling methods. For Salmonella detection, 222 faecal samples were positive in 71±11.1% (12/17) of samples, while 53±12.1% 223 (9/17) of the cloacal swabs showed positive results (p>0.05). Nevertheless, for Campylobacter detection, it is important to highlight that C. jejuni was not isolated 224 225 from faecal samples, being all positive samples isolated from cloacal swabs. 226 Moreover, statistical differences were found between the number of nestlings 227 present in the nest and the bacteria shedding. For Salmonella, 65±11.6% of 228 positive nests contained two nestlings (11/17), while 35±11.6% of the positive 229 nests had only one nestling (6/17, p<0.05). In 7 of the 11 Salmonella positive 230 nests, both nestlings were shedding Salmonella simultaneously. Likewise, in 2 231 of the 3 Campylobacter positive nests, both nestlings present were shedding 232 Campylobacter simultaneously. In 1 nest, the nestlings were shedding 233 Salmonella and Campylobacter at the same time. Moreover, no statistical 234 differences were found on age, sex or province where they inhabit (p>0.05).

235

Salmonella serovars isolated (n=28) were: S. Enteritidis (4/28), S. Typhimurium
(4/28), S. Houston (4/28), S. Cerro (3/28), S. Manhattan (1/28), S. Carnac (1/28),
S. Tomegbe (1/28) and S. Schleissheim (1/28). From all the strains serotyped, 9
serotypes were indeterminate. Only one *Campylobacter* species (*C. jejuni*) was
identified (5/5).

241

242 Regarding the antibiotic resistance patterns, 7 strains from the 19 Salmonella 243 isolates were resistant to ampicillin (36.8%) and one strain was also resistant to 244 tigecycline (5.3%). The remaining Salmonella strains were susceptible to all 245 antibiotics. All the serovars isolated and their resistance patterns are described 246 in Table 1. Of the five Campylobacter isolates, only one could be recovered for 247 antimicrobial susceptibility testing. This isolate was found to be multidrug 248 resistant with resistance to ciprofloxacin, ampicillin, nalidixic acid, trimethoprim-249 sulfamethoxazole, colistin and azithromycin (Table 1).

250

4. Discussion

252

Our study assessed the presence of *Salmonella* and *Campylobacter* in wild Bonelli's eagles. To our best knowledge, this is the first study in the scientific literature to evaluate a considerable sample size to healthy wild Bonelli's eagle nestlings. Besides, due to the wide range of hosts that *Salmonella* spp. and *Campylobacter* spp. can colonise, Bonelli's eagles can serve as a reservoir of these bacteria.

259

Differences between faecal samples and cloacal swabs, collected directly from the nests and nestlings, could be partly explained due to the intermittent excretion of these microorganisms in faeces and the survival period of them in the environment [42, 43]. Moreover, for *Salmonella* spp. faecal samples could be contaminated not only by the nestlings, but also by other sources such as parents' faeces or remains of prey. In contrast, *Campylobacter* spp. were not isolated from

faecal samples, probably due to the poor survival of these bacteria in the
 environment [43,44].

268

269 Salmonella spp. showed a higher percentage of positive nestlings than those 270 obtained in previous studies carried out with different species of raptors, such as 271 in Central Spain (prevalence of 4.2%) [34], Andalusia (prevalence of 4.6%) [11], 272 or Catalonia and the Basque Country (Prevalence of 4.7% and 8.5%, 273 respectively) [14, 45]. This fact could be explained by several hypotheses, such 274 as the type of raptor studied, the age of the animals sampled, the kind or number 275 of samples collected or the climatological conditions of the area. Specifically, in 276 Bonelli's eagles, Reche et al. [34] did not detect Salmonella positive samples in 277 the seven animals examined. In addition, the percentage of Campylobacter spp. 278 in Bonelli's nestlings was higher compared to the 1% obtained in the same region 279 (Eastern Spain) in vultures [6] or the 2.3% obtained in Andalusia in different raptor 280 species [11]. Some studies suggest a seasonality for both genera, so that 281 Salmonella is more prevalent from March to August while Campylobacter is more 282 prevalent from May to October [46, 47].

283

The *Salmonella* serovars most frequently detected in this study were *S*. Enteritidis, *S*. Typhimurium and *S*. Houston. All of these serovars having recently been published in free-living bird studies [1,6,7, 40, 48], and also in domestic animals (poultry and pigs) and human outbreaks [27]. In addition, *S*. Typhimurium has been reported as a multidrug antimicrobial resistance bacteria and the most frequent serovar involved in subclinical and clinical infections in birds, such as pigeons, an important feed source for Bonelli's eagles [9, 49]. Some strains

291 isolated in this study were resistant to ampicillin and tigecycline. Resistance to 292 ampicillin has also been described before in wild birds by other authors [10], but 293 to the best of our knowledge there are no previous records of tigecycline 294 resistance strains in wild raptors. Both resistances have been previously reported 295 in pigs; specifically, the European Food Safety Authority reported in 2016 that 296 44.7% of ampicillin resistance and 1.7% of tigecycline resistance came from 297 fattening pigs [27]. Eastern Spain is a region with a high presence of pig farms 298 throughout the countryside. One hypothesis that may explain the fact that strains 299 isolated from Bonelli's eagles nestlings are resistant to ampicillin could be that 300 fattening farms attract birds and other wild animals for feed. Birds, such as 301 pigeons, could acquire resistant bacteria, and then disseminate the resistant 302 bacteria in the environment [50], however further studies are needed to stablish 303 the relationship between resistant strains isolated from eagles and those isolated 304 from pig farms. In the same line, for *Campylobacter*, only one strain could be 305 recovered to analyse the antimicrobial susceptibility, which showed a multidrug 306 resistant phenotype to at least five antibiotics. It is important to highlight that the 307 strain was resistant to colistin, and to the best of our knowledge, this is the first 308 report on colistin-resistant Campylobacter in wild raptors. Wild birds not only act 309 as a reservoir for Campylobacter, but can also contribute notably to the 310 dissemination of antibiotic resistance, as previously reported in seabirds [13]. As 311 reported above for ampicillin and tigecycline resistance, colistin was also widely 312 used in poultry and swine production to prevent and treat colibacillosis across EU 313 countries [51]. Indeed, more studies are needed to confirm the source of 314 nestlings' infection with resistant and multiresistant strains.

315

316 In conclusion, our results indicate that Salmonella serovars and Campylobacter 317 species are present in the wild Bonelli's eagles population in Eastern Spain. Many 318 isolates are resistant to antimicrobial agents. In addition, faecal samples from 319 nests were most reliable for Salmonella detection, while cloacal swab from 320 nestlings were most reliable for Campylobacter detection. Further studies should 321 be undertaken in other geographical areas to confirm our results. Moreover, we 322 emphasise the need for continuous local surveillance programmes to identify the 323 potential risk of dissemination of these pathogens to wildlife and the environment.

324

325 **Conflict of interest**

326 All authors declare no competing interests.

327 Acknowledgements

328 We wish to thank the Ministry of Infrastructures, Territory and Environment 329 (Regional Government/Generalitat Valenciana), the research group 330 "Improvement of Production System-related Food Safety and End Products" 331 research group (Veterinary Faculty, University CEU-Cardenal Herrera) and 332 GEMAS (Study Group on Wildlife Medicine and Conservation) for their technical 333 support. Moreover, we want to thank University CEU-UCH (Consolidación de 334 Indicadores INDI 18/19 and IDOC 18/12) for the financial support. The English 335 text version was revised by N. Macowan English Language Service.

336 **References**

[1] G. Blanco, Supplementary feeding as a source of multiresistant *Salmonella* in endangered Egyptian vultures, Transbound emerging diseases 65
 (2018) 806-816.

341 [2] S.C. Henderson, D.I. Bounous, M.D. Lee, Early events in the pathogenesis
342 of avian salmonellosis, Infect Immun 67 (1999) 3580-6.

343 [3] I. Tizard, Salmonellosis in wild birds, Semin Avian Exotic Pet Med 13
344 (2004) 50-66.

[4] F. Hilbert, F.J.M. Smulders, R. Chopra-Dewasthaly, P. Paulsen, *Salmonella* in the wildlife-human interface, Food Research Int. 24 (2012)
603-608.

[5] R.A. Horton, G. Wu, K. Speed, S. Kidd, R. Davies, N.G. Coldham, J.P. Duff,
Wild birds carry similar *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium strains to
those found in domestic animals and livestock Diseases of Wildlife Scheme
(DoWS) and GB Wildlife Disease Surveillance, Res Vet Sci. 95 (2013) 458.

[6] C. Marin, M.D. Palomeque, F. Marco-Jiménez, S. Vega, Wild griffon
 vultures (*Gyps fulvus*) as a source of *Salmonella* and *Campylobacter* in
 eastern Spain, PLoS One 9 (2014) e94191.

[7] C. Marin, C. Torres, F. Marco-Jiménez, M. Cerdà-Cuéllar, S. Sevilla, T
Ayats, S. Vega, Supplementary feeding stations for conservation of
vultures could be an important source of monophasic *Salmonella*Typhimurium 1, 4,[5], 12: i:-, Sci Total Environ. 636 (2018) 449-455.

[8] J. Greig, A. Rajić, I. Young, M. Mascarenhas, L. Waddell, J. Lejeune, A
scoping review of the role of wildlife in the transmission of bacterial
pathogens and antimicrobial resistance to the food chain, Zoonoses Public
Health 62 (2015) 269–284.

364 [9] M. Krawiec, M. Kuczkowski, A.G. Kruszewicz, A. Wieliczko, Prevalence
 365 and genetic characteristics of *Salmonella* in free-living birds in Poland,
 366 BMC Vet Res. 11 (2015) 15.

[10]G. Blanco, Multiresistant Salmonella Serovar Typhimurium Monophasic in
 Wintering Red Kites (Milvus milvus) in Segovia, Central Spain, BioOne
 Web site 49 (2015) 337-341.

[11]E. Jurado-Tarifa, A. Torralbo, C. Borge, M, Cerdá-Cuéllar, T. Ayats, A.
 Carbonero, I. García-Bocanegra, Genetic diversity and antimicrobial
 resistance of *Campylobacter* and *Salmonella* strains isolated from decoys
 and raptors, Comp Immunol Microbiol Infect Dis. 48 (2016) 14-2.

- [12]E.S. Lopes, W.C. Maciel, R.S. de Castro Teixeira, A.H. de Albuquerque,
 R.H. Vasconcelos, D.N. Machado, W.G.A. Bezerra, I.C.L. Santos,
 Isolamento de *Salmonella* spp. e *Escherichia coli* de psittaciformes:
 relevância em saúde pública, Arq Inst Biol. 83 (2016) 1-10.
- [13]E. More, T. Ayats, P.G. Ryan, P.R. Naicker, K.H. Keddy, D. Gaglio, M.
 Witteveen, M. Cerdà-Cuéllar, Seabirds (Laridae) as a source of *Campylobacter* spp., *Salmonella* spp. and antimicrobial resistance in South
 Africa, Environ Microbiol. 19 (2017) 4164-4176.
- [14]R.A. Molina-Lopez, A. Vidal, E. Obón, M. Martín, L. Darwich, Multidrugresistant *Salmonella enterica* Serovar Typhimurium Monophasic Variant
 4,12:i:- Isolated from Asymptomatic Wildlife in a Catalonian Wildlife
 Rehabilitation Center, Spain, J Wildl Dis. 51 (2015) 759-63.
- [15]R.A. Molina-Lopez, N. Valverdú, M. Martín, E. Mateu, E. Obón, M. Cerdà Cuéllar, L. Darwich, Wild raptors as carriers of antimicrobial-resistant
 Salmonella and *Campylobacter* strains, Vet Rec. 168 (2011) 565.
- [16]B. Wei, M. KanG, H.K. Jang, Genetic characterization and epidemiological
 implications of *Campylobacter* isolates from wild birds in South Korea,
 Transbound Emerg Dis. 66 (2019) 56-65.

392	[17] J. Waldenström, D. Axelsson-Olsson, B. Olsen B. Hasselquist, P.
393	Griekspoor, L. Jansson, S. Teneberg, L. Svensson, P. Ellström.
394	Campylobacter jejuni colonization in wild birds: results from an infection
395	experiment, PLoS One. 5 (2010) e9082.

[18]H. Johansson, P. Ellström, K. Artursson, C. Berg, J. Bonnedahl, I.
Hansson, J. Hernandez, J. Lopez-Martín, G. Medina-Vogel, L Moreno, B.
Olsen, E. Olsson Engvall, H. Skarin, K. Troell, J. Waldenström, J. Ågren,
D. González-Acuña. Characterization of *Campylobacter* spp. isolated from
wild birds in the Antarctic and Sub-Antarctic, PLoS One. 13 (2018)
e0206502.

- 404 [19]A. Battisti, D.G. Giovanni, U. Agrimi, A.I. Bozzano, Embryonic and
 405 neonatal mortality from salmonellosis in captive bred raptors, J wildl dis. 34
 406 (1998) 64-72.
- 407 [20]A.J. Hall, E.K. Saito, Avian wildlife mortality events due to salmonellosis in
 408 the United States, 1985–2004, J Wildl Dis. 44 (2008) 585-93.

[21]D.N. Phalen, M.L. Drew, B. Simpson, K. Roset, K. Dubose, M. Mora, *Salmonella enterica* subsp. *enterica* in Cattle Egret (*Bubulcus ibis*) chicks
from central Texas: prevalence, serotypes, pathogenicity, and epizootic
potential, J Wildl Dis. 46 (2010) 379-89.

413

- 414 [22]S. Uzzau, D.J. Brown, T. Wallis, S. Rubino, G. Leori, S. Bernard, J.
 415 Casadesús, D.J. Platt, J.E. Olsen, Host adapted serotypes of *Salmonella*416 *enterica*, Epidemiol Infect. 125 (2000) 229-55.
- 417 [23]S. Andrés, J.P. Vico, V. Garrido, M.J. Grilló, S. Samper, P. Gavín, S.
 418 Herrera-León, R.C. Mainar-Jaime, Epidemiology of subclinical
 419 salmonellosis in wild birds from an area of high prevalence of pig
 420 salmonellosis: phenotypic and genetic profiles of Salmonella isolates,
 421 Zoonoses Public Health 60 (2013) 355-65.
- [24]L.O. Rouffaer, L. Lens, R. Haesendonck, A. Teyssier, N.S. Hudin, D.
 Strubbe, F. Haesebrouck, F. Pasmans, A. Martel, House Sparrows Do Not
 Constitute a Significant *Salmonella* Typhimurium Reservoir across Urban
 Gradients in Flanders, Belgium, PLoS One 11 (2016) e0155366.
- 426 [25]L. Espinosa, C. Varela, E.V. Martínez, R. Cano, Brotes de enfermedades
 427 transmitidas por alimentos. España, 2008-2011, Boletín Epidemiológico
 428 Semanal. 22 (2014) 130-136.
- 429 [26]M. Riveros, T.J. Ochoa, Enteropatógenos de importancia en salud pública,
 430 Revi Peru Med Exp Salud Pública 32 (2015) 157-164.
- [27]EFSA (European Food Safety Authority), The European Union summary
 report on antimicrobial resistance in zoonotic and indicator bacteria from
 humans, animals and food in 2016, The EFSA Jounal,16 (2018) 1-270.

434 [28]G. Kapperud, G. Espeland, E. Wahl, A. Walde, H. Herikstad, S.
435 Gustavsen, I. Tveit, O. Natås, L. Bevanger, A. Digranes, Factors
436 associated with increased and decreased risk of *Campylobacter* infection:
437 A prospective case-control study in Norway, Am J Epidemiol. 158 (2003)
438 234-242.

[29]T.J. Gardner, C. Fitzgerald, C. Xavier, R. Klein, J. Pruckler, S. Stroika, J.B.
McLaughlin, Outbreak of campylobacteriosis associated with consumption
of raw peas, Clin Infect Dis, 53 (2011) 26-32.

442 [30]Life Bonelli. Seguimiento de parejas reproductoras y extracción de pollos
443 de nidos. http://www.lifebonelli.org/index.php/seguimiento-de-parejas444 reproductoras-y-extraccion-pollos-de-nidos. 2013. Accessed on June,
445 2018.

446 [31]J. Moleón, J.A. Sánchez-Zapata, J.M. Gil-Sánchez, E. Ballesteros447 Duperón, J.M. Barea-Azcón, E. Virgós, Predator-prey relationsships in a
448 Mediterranean vertebrate system: Bonelli's eagles, rabbits and partridges,
449 Oecologia. 168 (2012) 679-689.

[32]A. Dias, L. Palma, F. Carvalho, D. Neto, J. Real, P. Beja, The role of
conservative versus innovative nesting behavior on the 25-year population
expansion of an avian predator, Ecol evol. 7 (2017) 4241-4253.

453

- [33]L. Lloveras, R. Thomas, R. Lourenco, J. Caro, A. Dias, Understanding the
 taphonomic signature of Bonelli's eagles (*Aquila fasciata*), J Archaeol Sci.
 49 (2014) 455-471.
- 457 [34]M.P. Reche, P.A. Jiménez, F. Álvarez, J.E. García de los Ríos, A.M. Rojas,
 458 P De Pedro, Incidence of *Salmonellae* in Captive and Wild-Free-Living
- 459 Raptorial Birds in Central Spain, J Vet Med B. 50 (2003) 42-44.
- 460 [35]R. Griffiths, M.C. Double, K. Orr, R.J. Dawson. A DNA test to sex most
 461 birds, Mol Ecol. 7 (1998) 1071-5.
- 462 [36]ISO 6579:2002 (Annex D). Microbiology of food and animal feeding stuffs.
 463 Horizontal method for the detection of *Salmonella* spp. International
 464 Organization for Standardization, Genève, Switzerland. 2002.
- 465 [37]P.A. Grimont, F.X. Weill, Antigenic formulae of the *Salmonella* serovars.
 466 WHO collaborating centre for reference and research on *Salmonella*, 9
 467 (2007) 1-166.
- 468 [38]ISO 10272-1:2006. Microbiology of food and animal feeding stuffs.
 469 Horizontal method for detection and enumeration of *Campylobacter* spp.
 470 Part 1: Detection method. International Organization for Standardization,
 471 Genève, Switzerland. 2006.

472 [39]E. Matuschek, D.F. Brown, G. Kahlmeter, Development of the EUCAST
473 disk diffusion antimicrobial susceptibility testing method and its
474 implementation in routine microbiology laboratories, Clin Microbiol Infect.
475 20 (2014) O255-66.

[40] European Union. Commission Implementing Decision 2013/653 of 12
November 2013 as regards a Union financial aid towards a coordinated
control plan for antimicrobial resistance monitoring in zoonotic agents in
2014 (notified under document C (2013) 7289).

[41]ECDC (European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control). 2016. EU
 protocol for harmonised monitoring of antimicrobial resistance in human
 Salmonella and *Campylobacter* isolates. Stockholm: ECDC; 2016.

[42] A. Andino, I. Hanning, *Salmonella enterica*: Survival, Colonization, and
Virulence. Differences among Serovars, Scientific World Journal 2015
(2015) 520179.

[43] F.J. García, J.C. Abad, T. Serrano, N. Frías, M. Castro, S. Lorente.
Epidemiología de *Campylobacter* en avicultura. 50º Congreso Científico de
Avicultura, Simposio WPSA-AECA. Lleida, Spain. 2013: 1-12.

[44]S. Ingresa-Capaccioni, S. González-Bodí, E. Jiménez-Trigos, F. MarcoJiménez, P. Catalá, S. Vega, C. Marin, Comparison of different sampling
types across the rearing period in broiler flocks for isolation of *Campylobacter* spp, Poult Sci. 94 (2015) 766-71.

- [45] J. Millan, G. Auduriz, B. Moreno, R.A. Juste, M. Barral, *Salmonella* isolates
 from wild birds and mammals in the Basque Country (Spain), Rev Sci Tech.
 23 (2004) 905-11.
- [46] H.M. Sommer, B. Borck Høgb, L.S. Larsen, A.I.V. Sørensen, N. Williams,
 J.Y. Merga, M. Cerdà-Cuéllar, S. Urdaneta, R. Dolz, K. Wieczorek, J. Osek,
 B. David, M. Hofshagen, M. Jonsson, J.A. Wagenaar, N. Bolder, H.
 Rosenquist, Analysis of farm specific risk factors for *Campylobacter*colonization of broilers in six European countries, Microb Risk Anal. 2
 (2016) 16-26.
- 502 [47]K.G. Kuhn, E.M. Nielsen, K. Mølbak, S. Ethelberg, Epidemiology of
 503 campylobacteriosis in Denmark 2000-2015, Zoonoses Public Health. 65
 504 (2017) 59-66.
- 505 [48]S. Troxler, C. Hess, C. Konicek, Z. Knotek, P. Barták, M. Hess. M.
 506 Microdilution testing reveals considerable and diverse antimicrobial
 507 resistance of *Escherichia coli*, thermophilic *Campylobacter* spp. and
 508 *Salmonella* spp. isolated from wild birds present in urban areas, Eur J Wildl.
 509 Res 63 (2017) 68.
- [49]S. Andrés-Barranco, J.P. Vico, C.M. Marín, S. Herrera-Leon, R.C. MainarJaime, Characterization of *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium
 isolates from pigs and pig environment–related sources and evidence of
 new circulating monophasic strains in Spain, J food prot. 79 (2016) 407412.

- [50]S. Andrés, J.P. Vico, V. Garrido, M.J. Grilló, S. Samper, P. Gavín, S.
 Herrera-León, R.C. Mainar-Jaime, Epidemiology of subclinical
 salmonellosis in wild birds from an area of high prevalence of pig
 salmonellosis: phenotypic and genetic profiles of *Salmonella* isolates,
 Zoonoses Public Health 60 (2013) 355-365.
- 520 [51]B. Catry, M. Cavaleri, K. Baptiste, K. Grave, K. Grein, A. Holm, H. Jukes, 521 E. Liebana, A.L. Navas, D. Mackay, A.P. Magiorakos, M.A. Moreno, G. 522 Moulin, C.M. Madero, M.C. Pomba, M. Powell, S. Pyorala, M. Rantala, M. Ruzauskas, P. Sanders, C. Teale, E.J. Threlfall, K. Torneke, E. van 523 J.T. Edo, Use of colistin-containing products within the 524 Duijkeren, 525 European Union and European Economic Area (EU/EEA): development of 526 resistance in animals and possible impact on human and animal health, Int 527 J Antimicrob Ag. 46 (2015) 297-306.

529 Figure Legends

530

Figure 1. Map of the study area showing the location of the sampled
breeding colonies within the distribution range of Bonelli's eagles (*Aquila fasciata*) in Castellón, Valencia and Alicante provinces, Eastern Spain.
Sampling location are represented as black circles. Details of a nest and nestling
sampled.

536

Figure 2. Representation of the sampling. (A) Cliff example where the Bonelli's eagles (*Aquila fasciata*) usually nests in Spain. (B, C and D) Cliff descent of the Regional Ministry staff for the collection of samples. The nest is represented by a white star. (E) Nestlings recovery after the descent. (F) Cloacal swab sample collected from the nestling recovery. 2 Table 1. Antimicrobial resistance of Salmonella and Campylobacter isolates from wild Bonelli's eagles.

\mathbf{a}
-
•
-

Species	Serovars	n	AMP	СТХ	CAZ	GM	NA	CIP	CST	CAM	AZM	TGC	SXT	TMP
	Enteritidis	4	2 (50%)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Typhimurium	4	2 (50%)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1 (25%)	0	0
	Houston	4	1 (25%)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Salmonella	Cerro	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Gaimonolia	Manhattan	1	1 (100%)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Carnac	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Tomegbe	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Schleissheim	1	1 (100%)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Campylobacter	jejuni	1	1 (100%)	0	0	0	1 (100%)	0	1 (100%)	0	1 (100%)	0	0	0

The resistance was determined by disk diffusion. AMP: ampicillin (10 μg); CTX: cefotaxime (30 μg); CAZ: ceftazidime (30 μg); GM:
gentamycin (10 μg); NA: nalidixic acid (30 μg), CIP: ciprofloxacin (5 μg); CST: colistin (10 μg); CAM: chloramphenicol (5 μg); AZM:
azithromycin (15 μg); TGC: tigecycline (15 μg), SXT: trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (25 μg); TMP: trimethoprim (5 μg).



