

Progesterone-treatment in a model of Retinitis Pigmentosa: the rd1 mice.

Violeta Sánchez Vallejo

Supervisors:

Dra. D^a Maria Miranda Sanz

Dr. D. Francisco Javier Romero Gómez

This research project was supported by grants from:

- Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación. Proyecto SAF2010-21317.
- Universidad CEU-Cardenal Herrera: Proyecto Copernicus-Santander (PRCEU-UCH/ COP(01)10/11).
- Universidad CEU-Cardenal Herrera: Proyecto PRCCEU-UCH 16/12.
- Fundación Mutua Madrileña. Ayudas para la realización de proyectos de investigación y desarrollo tecnológico. 2013-2015.
- Beca FPDI de la Universidad CEU Cardenal Herrera, desde Octubre del 2009 hasta la Octubre 2013.

Me gustaría dar las gracias en primer lugar, a mis directores de Tesis, María Miranda y Javier Romero, por haberme dado esta oportunidad. Gracias por haberme dejado formar parte del grupo; gracias Emma, Samuel, Paco Bosch, María Muriach, Jose Manuel Genoves, Salva, Siv, Jorge.. y todos los demás, por vuestra disponibilidad y por enseñarme muchas formas de entender la ciencia ©.

Gracias María (Miranda), por ver eso que viste en mí, por la oportunidad que me has dado de conocer la ciencia, por tu apoyo, por tu comprensión, por tu confianza, por los momentos que hemos compartido y todo lo que he aprendido de ti. Muchas Gracias.

Gracias a todos mis compañeros y amigos, gracias Inma, Marivi, Rosa y Raquel, por esos primeros años que compartisteis conmigo, por enseñarme todo (como usar el MDA, el ultraturrax, el TUNEL, el HPLC y demás) gracias por vuestra amistad, paciencia y comprensión; gracias Sole, Sara, Tere, Cris y Jaime, que deciros que no sepáis.. gracias por aguantarme ⁽²⁾, por ayudarme, por estar ahí siempre que necesitaba una mano, por esas risas y llantos que hemos compartido, por ser los mejores compañeros de laboratorio que se pueda pedir; gracias al resto de personas y amigos de la universidad, Ana y Mariola, Cris (T), Laura T, Maria G, Maria M, María S, Juanjo, Bego, Enric, Nacho, Marta, Vanesa (T), Jose Antonio, Ana, Aloma, Loles, Victoria Valles, Sara Marques, a la señora Carmen, Lorena, y a todos los que me dejo, por vuestra ayuda, vuestra disponibilidad y por hacer que la vida en el subterráneo fuera más fácil ⁽²⁾.

Gracias a mi familia y amigos, ya que sin vosotros esto no hubiera sido posible[©]. Gracias por creer en mí siempre, por vuestros ánimos y apoyo, y sobre todo por esas risas; gracias Miguel y Dani, por disfrutar tanto de la ciencia, por vuestra ayuda y por hacer que las cosas siempre se vuelvan tan fáciles.

Gracias en especial a mis padres, a mi hermana y a Borja, por no dejar que me rindiera, por aguantar lo pesada que he sido, por acompañarme al CEU los fines de semana y esperar en el parking, por aprender que es la retinosis, los ratones rd1, el HPLC, además de todo lo relacionado con las prácticas de anatomía.., por apoyarme incondicionalmente en todas mis decisiones y por enseñarme que es lo realmente importante, esta Tesis os la dedico a vosotros. Muchísimas

Index:

Abbreviations	I				
INTRODUCCION	1				
1.The Retina					
1.1. Structure of the retina					
1.2. Retinal cell types					
1.2.1. Retinal pigment epithelium					
1.2.2. Neuronal retina	5				
1.2.2.1. Photoreceptors	6				
1.2.2.2. Bipolar cells	8				
1.2.2.3. Horizontal cells	9				
1.2.2.4. Amacrine cells	10				
1.2.2.5. Ganglion cells	10				
1.2.3. Other retinal cells: glia	12				
1.2.3.1. Müller cells	12				
1.2.3.2. Astrocytes	12				
1.2.3.3. Microglial cells microglia	13				
1.3. Retinal function: phototransduction	13				
1.4. Electroretinography and Optical coherence tomography	15				
1.4.1. Electroretinography	15				
1.4.2. Optical coherence tomography	16				
2. Retinitis Pigmentosa	18				
3. Oxidative Stress	20				
3.1. Free radicals	21				
3.1.1. Physiological role of free radicals	21				
3.1.2. Pathophysiological role of free radicals	22				
3.1.3. Free radical can be produced from different sources	22				
3.2. Antioxidants defenses	23				
3.2.1 Metabolism of glutathione and other amino acids	24				
3.2.1.1. Glutamic acid	25				
3.2.1.2. Glutathione system	29				
3.2.1.3 Cysteine and cysteine	33				
3.3. Retinitis Pigmentosa and oxidative stress	35				
3.4. Therapeutic strategies in Retinitis Pigmentosa	37				
3.4.1. Replacement	37				
3.4.1.1. Transplantation	37				
3.4.2. Rescue	38				
3.4.2.1. Gene therapy	38				
3.4.2.2. Light protection	39				
3.4.2.3. Neurotrophic factors	39				
3.4.2.4. Antioxidants	40				
3.4.2.5. Neurosteroids	41				
4. Structure and functions of progesterone	43				
4.1. Steroids					
4.2. Progesterone synthesis					
4.3. Metabolism of Progesterone					
4.4. Functions of progesterone in the central nervious system (CNS)					
4.5. Progesterone in the retina					
5. Animais models					
5.1. rd1 mouse	50				

HYPOTHESIS	52			
OBJECTIVES	53			
MATERIALS AND METHODS				
1. Chemical products and solutions				
2. Research design and methods	59			
2.1. Animals				
2.2. Animal care	59			
2.3. Collection of samples	59			
2.3.1. Characterization of glutamate and thiol metabolism in rd1 mice retina				
2.3.2. Evaluation of the protector role of progesterone in rd1 mice retina				
2.4. Experimental design				
2.4.1. Time course glutamate and thiol metabolism in rd1 mice				
2.4.1.1. Biochemical determinations	61			
 Determinations of proteins by Lowry's method 	61			
-Determination of glutamate, glutathione, glutathione	62			
disulfide and cysteine concentrations				
-Optical coherence tomography	64			
2.4.1.2. Hematoxylin and eosin staining	66			
-Hematoxylin and eosin staining	66			
2.4.1.3. Inmunohistochemical detections	68			
- Tunnel assay	68			
-Inmunohistochemistry	69			
2.4.1.4. Electrophysiological recording techicnes	/1			
-Electroretinograms	71			
	72			
1 Characterization of glutamate and thiol metabolism in rd1 mice retina	75			
1. Glutamate	75			
1.2 Glutathione	76			
1.2. Glutathione disulfide				
1.4. Cysteine	78			
2. Evaluation of progesterone effect in rd1 mice retina	80			
2.1. Progesterone reduce oxidative stress	80			
2.1.1. Determination of glutamate and thiol metabolism in wt, rd1 and rd1	80			
treated mice				
2.1.2. GSH immunohistochemistry	84			
2.1.3. GAD-65 inmunohistochemistry	87			
2.2. Progesterone delays rod and cone death in rd1 mice	89			
2.2.1. Optical coherence tomography	89			
2.2.2. Histological study	90			
2.3. Progesterone treatment reduces apoptosis in the rd1 mice model	94			
2.4. Progesterone reduces gliosis in rd1 mice model	99			
2.4.1. Inmunohistochemistry GFAP	99			
2.5. Progesterone preserves photoreceptors function in rd1 mice	101			
DISCUSSION	104			
1. Characterization of temporal glutamate and thiol metabolism in rd1 mice	105			
1.1. Glutamate				
1.2. Thiol metabolism				
2. Evaluation of progesterone activity in rd1 mice retina				
2.1. Flogestellolle reduce oxidative stress				
z.i.i. Determination of grutamate and thior metabolism in wt, fut and fut 1.				

2.1.2. GSH immunohistochemistry	114		
2.1.3. GAD-65 inmunohistochemistry	115		
2.2. Progesterone delays rod and cone death in rd1 mice	116		
2.3. Progesterone treatment reduces apoptosis in the rd1 mice model	117		
2.4. Progesterone reduces gliosis in rd1 mice model			
2.5. Progesterone preserves photoreceptors function in rd1 mice	120		
CONCLUSIONS	122		
BIBLIOGRAPHY	123		
ANNEX	194		

INDEX OF FIGURES:

Figure 1. Vertical sagital section of the adult human eye.1Figure 2. Cellular structure of normal refina from a control mouse.2Figure 3. Single diagram of the organization of the retina.5Figure 4. A mammalian rod and cone photoreceptor in mammalian retina.6Figure 5. ON and OFF pathways along bipolar cells.9Figure 6. Processing of cone-derived signals along ON and OFF pathways.11Figure 7. Phototransduction cascade.14Figure 9. Amplitud and implicit time measurements of the ERG waveform.16Figure 10. Representation of retinal layers in OCT and histology.17Figure 13. Chemical structure of glutathione.29Figure 13. Chemical structure of glutathione.29Figure 14. Schematic diagram of a glutamate synapse.27Figure 15. Function of GSH as an antioxidant.32Figure 16. Chemical structure of Cysteine and Cystine.34Figure 19. Retinal glutamate concentrations in rd1 and wild type mice at PN3, PN7, 75PN11, PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28.75Figure 22. Retinal GSK concentrations in rd1 and wild type mice at PN3, PN7, PN11, 78PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28.76Figure 23. Glutamate concentrations in rd1 and wild type mice at PN3, PN7, PN11, 78PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28.76Figure 24. Sels concentrations in retinas from wild type rd1 and rd1 treated mice at at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17.Figure 25. GSG concentrations in retinas from wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice at 29PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17.Figure 26. Cyc sconcentrations in retinas from wild type, rd1 and		
Figure 2. Cellular structure of normal retina from a control mouse.2Figure 3. Simple diagram of the organization of the retina.5Figure 4. A mammalian rod and cone photoreceptor in mammalian retina.6Figure 5. ON and OFF pathways along bipolar cells.9Figure 6. Processing of cone-derived signals along ON and OFF pathways.11Figure 7. Phototransduction cascade.14Figure 8. Biphasic waveform of a typical normal patient.15Figure 9. Amplitud and implicit time measurements of the ERG waveform.16Figure 10. Representation of retinal layers in OCT and histology.17Figure 11. Glutamate activates two types of receptor.25Figure 12. Schematic diagram of a glutamate synapse.27Figure 13. Chemical structure of glutathione.29Figure 14. Schematic diagram of glutathione synthesis.30Figure 15. Function of GSH as an antioxidant.32Figure 18. ONL reduction in rd1 retina compared to wild type.51Figure 18. ONL reduction in rd1 retina compared to wild type mice at PN3, PN7, 75PN11, PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28.75Figure 20. Retinal GSG concentrations in rd1 and wild type mice at PN3, PN7, PN11, 79PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28.76Figure 23. Glutamate concentrations in rd1 and wild type mice at PN3, PN7, PN11, 79PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28.76Figure 24. GSH concentrations in retinas from wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice at 20PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28.76Figure 25. Cyc sconcentrations in retinas from wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice at 20	Figure 1. Vertical sagital section of the adult human eye.	1
Figure 3. Simple diagram of the organization of the retina.5Figure 4. A mammalian rod and cone photoreceptor in mammalian retina.6Figure 5. No and OFF pathways along bipolar cells.9Figure 6. Processing of cone-derived signals along ON and OFF pathways.11Figure 8. Biphasic waveform of a typical normal patient.15Figure 9. Amplitud and implicit time measurements of the ERG waveform.16Figure 10. Representation of retinal layers in OCT and histology.17Figure 11. Glutamate activates two types of receptor.25Figure 12. Schematic diagram of a glutamine synapse.27Figure 13. Chemical structure of glutathione.29Figure 14. Schematic diagram of a glutathione.30Figure 15. Function of GSH as an antioxidant.32Figure 16. Chemical structure of cysteine and Cystine.51Figure 17. Scheme Progesterone Synthesis.44Figure 19. Retinal glutamate concentrations in rd1 and wild type mice at PN3, PN7, PN11, PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28.Figure 20. Retinal GSH concentrations in rd1 and wild type mice at PN3, PN7, PN11, PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28.Figure 23. Glutamate concentrations in retinas from wild type rd1 and rd1 treated mice at PN11, PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28.Figure 24. GSH concentrations in retinas from wild type rd1 and rd1 treated mice at PN11, PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28.Figure 25. Cys concentrations in retinas from wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17.Figure 26. Cys concentrations in retinas from wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice at 2PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17.Figure 26. Cys concentrations in r	Figure 2. Cellular structure of normal retina from a control mouse.	2
Figure 4. A mammalian rod and cone photoreceptor in mammalian retina.6Figure 5. ON and OFF pathways along bipolar cells.9Figure 6. Processing of cone-derived signals along ON and OFF pathways.11Figure 7. Phototransduction cascade.14Figure 8. Biphasic waveform of a typical normal patient.15Figure 9. Amplitud and limplicit time measurements of the ERG waveform.16Figure 10. Representation of retinal layers in OCT and histology.17Figure 11. Glutamate activates two types of receptor.25Figure 13. Chemical structure of glutatinone synthesis.20Figure 14. Schematic diagram of a glutamate synapse.27Figure 15. Chemical structure of Cysteine and Cystine.34Figure 16. Chemical structure of Cysteine and Cystine.34Figure 17. Scheme Progesterone Synthesis.44Figure 19. Netinal glutamate concentrations in rd1 and wild type mice at PN3, PN7, PN11, PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28.77Figure 21. Retinal GSG concentrations in rd1 and wild type mice at PN3, PN7, PN11, PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28.78Figure 22. Retinal GSSG concentrations in rd1 and wild type mice at PN3, PN7, PN11, PN13, PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28.78Figure 23. Glutamate concentrations in rd1 and wild type mice at PN3, PN7, PN11, PN13, PN13, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28.78Figure 24. GSH concentrations in retinas from wild type rd1 and rd1 treated mice81PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17.79Figure 25. GSSG concentrations in retinas from wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice at79PN13, PN15 and PN17.79Figure 2	Figure 3. Simple diagram of the organization of the retina.	5
Figure 5. ON and OFF pathways along bipolar cells.9Figure 6. Processing of cone-derived signals along ON and OFF pathways.11Figure 7. Phototransduction cascade.14Figure 8. Biphasic waveform of a typical normal patient.15Figure 9. Amplitud and implicit time measurements of the ERG waveform.16Figure 10. Representation of retinal layers in OCT and histology.17Figure 11. Glutamate activates two types of receptor.25Figure 12. Schematic diagram of a glutamate synapse.27Figure 13. Chemical structure of glutathione.29Figure 14. Schematic diagram of glutathione synthesis.30Figure 15. Function of GSH as an antioxidant.32Figure 16. Chemical structure of Cysteine and Cystine.51Figure 17. Scheme Progesterone Synthesis.44Figure 19. Retinal glutamate concentrations in rd1 and wild type mice at PN3, PN7, 75PN11, PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28.75Figure 20. Retinal GSH concentrations in rd1 and wild type mice at PN3, PN7, PN11, 78PN13, PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28.79Figure 22. Retinal Cys concentrations in retinas from wild type rd1 and rd1 treated mice at at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17.Figure 23. Glutamate concentrations in retinas from wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17.Figure 24. GSH concentrations in retinas from wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17.Figure 25. GSSG concentrations in retinas from wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17.Figure 26. Mice retina immunolabelled for GSH from retinas of wild type, rd1 and progeste	Figure 4. A mammalian rod and cone photoreceptor in mammalian retina.	6
Figure 6. Processing of cone-derived signals along ON and OFF pathways.11Figure 7. Phototransduction cascade.14Figure 8. Biphasic waveform of a typical normal patient.15Figure 9. Amplitud and implicit time measurements of the ERG waveform.16Figure 10. Representation of retinal layers in OCT and histology.17Figure 11. Glutamate activates two types of receptor.25Figure 12. Schematic diagram of a glutamate synapse.27Figure 13. Chemical structure of glutathione.30Figure 15. Function of GSH as an antioxidant.32Figure 15. Chemical structure of Cysteine and Cystine.34Figure 19. Retinal glutamate concentrations in rd1 and wild type mice at PN3, PN7, 75PN11, PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28.Figure 20. Retinal GSH concentrations in rd1 and wild type mice at PN3, PN7, PN11, 78PN13, PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28.Figure 22. Retinal GSG concentrations in rd1 and wild type mice at PN3, PN7, PN11, 78PN13, PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28.Figure 22. Retinal GSG concentrations in rd1 and wild type rd1 and rd1 treated miceat PN11, PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28.Figure 23. GSG concentrations in retinas from wild type rd1 and rd1 treated miceat PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17.Figure 24. GSH concentrations in retinas from wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice atPN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17.Figure 27. Mice mid-peripheral retina immunolabelled for GSH from retinas of wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice atPN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17.Figure 23. OCT of representation of retinal sections from retinas of owild type, untreat	Figure 5. ON and OFF pathways along bipolar cells.	9
Figure 7. Phototransduction cascade.14Figure 8. Biphasic waveform of a typical normal patient.15Figure 9. Amplitud and implicit time measurements of the ERG waveform.16Figure 10. Representation of retinal layers in OCT and histology.17Figure 11. Glutamate activates two types of receptor.25Figure 12. Schematic diagram of glutathione synthesis.27Figure 13. Chemical structure of glutathione synthesis.30Figure 16. Chemical structure of Cysteine and Cystine.34Figure 16. Chemical structure of Cysteine and Cystine.34Figure 18. ONL reduction in rd1 retina compared to wild type.51Figure 18. ONL reduction in rd1 retina compared to wild type mice at PN3, PN7, 75PN11, PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28.75Figure 20. Retinal GSH concentrations in rd1 and wild type mice at PN3, PN7, PN11, 71PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28.76Figure 21. Retinal GSG concentrations in rd1 and wild type mice at PN3, PN7, PN11, 79PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28.79Figure 22. Retinal Cyst concentrations in rd1 and wild type mice at PN3, PN7, PN11, 79PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28.71Figure 23. Glutamate concentrations in retinas from wild type rd1 and rd1 treated mice at 29PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17.79Figure 24. GSH concentrations in retinas from wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice at 29PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17.71Figure 25. CSSG concentrations in retinas from wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice at 29PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17.71Figure 24. Nice retina immunolabeled	Figure 6. Processing of cone-derived signals along ON and OFF pathways.	11
Figure 8. Biphasic waveform of a typical normal patient.15Figure 9. Amplitud and implicit time measurements of the ERG waveform.16Figure 11. Glutamate activates two types of receptor.25Figure 12. Schematic diagram of a glutamate synapse.27Figure 13. Chemical structure of glutathione.29Figure 14. Schematic diagram of glutathione synthesis.30Figure 15. Function of GSH as an antioxidant.32Figure 16. Chemical structure of Cysteine and Cystine.44Figure 17. Scheme Progesterone Synthesis.44Figure 18. Not. reduction in rd1 retina compared to wild type.51Figure 21. Retinal glutamate concentrations in rd1 and wild type mice at PN3, PN7, PN1,PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28.75Figure 21. Retinal GSSG concentrations in rd1 and wild type mice at PN3, PN7, PN11,PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28.76Figure 22. Retinal GSSG concentrations in rd1 and wild type mice at PN3, PN7, PN11,PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28.71Figure 23. Glutamate concentrations in rd1 and wild type mice at PN3, PN7, PN11,PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28.71Figure 23. Glutamate concentrations in rd1 and wild type mice at PN3, PN7, PN11,PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28.71Figure 24. GSH concentrations in retinas from wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice at 82PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17.71Figure 25. Cycs concentrations in retinas from wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice at 84PN13, PN15 and PN17.71Figure 28. Mice retina immunolabelled for GSH from retinas of wild 86 <t< td=""><td>Figure 7. Phototransduction cascade.</td><td>14</td></t<>	Figure 7. Phototransduction cascade.	14
Figure 9. Amplitud and implicit time measurements of the ERG waveform.16Figure 10. Representation of retinal layers in OCT and histology.17Figure 11. Glutamate activates two types of receptor.25Figure 12. Schematic diagram of a glutamate synapse.27Figure 13. Chemical structure of glutathione.29Figure 15. Function of GSH as an antioxidant.32Figure 16. Chemical structure of Cysteine and Cystine.34Figure 17. Scheme Progesterone Synthesis.44Figure 18. ONL reduction in rd1 retina compared to wild type.51Figure 20. Retinal glutamate concentrations in rd1 and wild type mice at PN3, PN7, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28.77Figure 21. Retinal GSG concentrations in rd1 and wild type mice at PN3, PN7, PN11, PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28.78Figure 23. Glutamate concentrations in rd1 and wild type mice at PN3, PN7, PN11, PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28 days.78Figure 23. Glutamate concentrations in retinas from wild type rd1 and rd1 treated mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17.79Figure 24. GSH concentrations in retinas from wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17.78Figure 25. CSSC concentrations in retinas from wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice at 84711, PN13, PN15 and PN17.Figure 26. Cys concentrations in retinas from wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice at 84711, PN13, PN15 and PN17.Figure 27. Mice mid-peripheral retina immunolabelled for GSH from retinas of wild type, untreated rd1 mice at 79, PN60 and PN90.79Figure 29. Changes of rd1 mouse retinal thickness during degeneration at PN15, PN17, 8979PN60 and PN90. <td>Figure 8. Biphasic waveform of a typical normal patient.</td> <td>15</td>	Figure 8. Biphasic waveform of a typical normal patient.	15
Figure 10. Representation of retinal layers in OCT and histology.17Figure 11. Glutamate activates two types of receptor.25Figure 13. Chematic diagram of a glutamate synapse.27Figure 13. Chemical structure of glutathione.29Figure 14. Schematic diagram of a glutathione synthesis.30Figure 15. Function of GSH as an antioxidant.32Figure 16. Chemical structure of Cysteine and Cystine.34Figure 17. Scheme Progesterone Synthesis.44Figure 18. ONL reduction in rd1 retina compared to wild type.51Figure 20. Retinal GSH concentrations in rd1 and wild type mice at PN3, PN7, PN11,77PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28.78Figure 21. Retinal GSSG concentrations in rd1 and wild type mice at PN3, PN7, PN11,78PN13, PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28.79Figure 22. Retinal Cys concentrations in rd1 and wild type mice at PN3, PN7, PN11,79PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28.79Figure 23. Gutamate concentrations in retinas from wild type rd1 and rd1 treated mice81at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17.71Figure 24. GSH concentrations in retinas from wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice at82PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17.71Figure 25. GSSG concentrations in retinas from wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice at84PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17.71Figure 26. Cys concentrations in retinas from wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice at84PN14, PN13, PN15 and PN17.71Figure 27. Mice mid-peripheral retina immunolabelled for GSH from retinas of wild type, rd1 and pr	Figure 9. Amplitud and implicit time measurements of the ERG waveform.	16
Figure 11. Glutamate activates two types of receptor.25Figure 12. Schematic diagram of a glutamate synapse.27Figure 13. Chemical structure of glutathione.29Figure 14. Schematic diagram of glutathione synthesis.30Figure 15. Function of GSH as an antioxidant.32Figure 16. Chemical structure of Cysteine and Cystine.34Figure 17. Scheme Progesterone Synthesis.44Figure 18. ONL reduction in rd1 retina compared to wild type.51Figure 19. Retinal glutamate concentrations in rd1 and wild type mice at PN3, PN7, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28.Figure 21. Retinal GSG concentrations in rd1 and wild type mice at PN3, PN7, PN11,Figure 22. Retinal GSSG concentrations in rd1 and wild type mice at PN3, PN7, PN11,PN35, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28.Figure 23. Glutamate concentrations in rd1 and wild type mice at PN3, PN7, PN11,PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28.Figure 23. Glutamate concentrations in retinas from wild type rd1 and rd1 treated miceat PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17.Figure 24. GSH concentrations in retinas from wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice atPN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17.Figure 27. Mice mid-peripheral retina immunolabelled for GSH from retinas of wild 86type, rd1 and progesterone-treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17.Figure 29. Changes of rd1 mouse retinal thickness during degeneration at PN15, PN17, PNPN60 and PN90.Figure 31. Hematoxilin-eosin staining of retinal sections from retinas of control, rd1 and 92progesterone-treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17.Figure 29. Changes of rd1 mouse retinal thickness du	Figure 10. Representation of retinal layers in OCT and histology.	17
Figure 12. Schematic diagram of a glutamine synapse.27Figure 13. Chemical structure of glutathione.29Figure 14. Schematic diagram of glutathione.30Figure 15. Function of GSH as an antioxidant.32Figure 16. Chemical structure of Cysteine and Cystine.34Figure 17. Scheme Progesterone Synthesis.44Figure 18. ONL reduction in rd1 retina compared to wild type.51Figure 19. Retinal glutamate concentrations in rd1 and wild type mice at PN3, PN7, 75PN11, PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28.Figure 21. Retinal GSG concentrations in rd1 and wild type mice at PN3, PN7, PN11, 71PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28.Figure 22. Retinal Cys concentrations in rd1 and wild type mice at PN3, PN7, PN11, 79PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28.Figure 23. Glutamate concentrations in rd1 and wild type mice at PN3, PN7, PN11, 79PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28 days.Figure 24. GSH concentrations in retinas from wild type, rd1 and treated mice at 27Figure 25. GSSG concentrations in retinas from wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice at 27Figure 26. Cys concentrations in retinas from wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice at 27Figure 27. Mice mid-peripheral retina immunolabelled for GSH from retinas of wild 36type, rd1 and progesterone-treated rd1 mice at 27Figure 28. Mice retina immunolabelled for GAD-65 from retinas of wild type, untreated 37Figure 30. CT of representative animals of progesterone-treated rd1 mice at 28PN030 and PN30.Figure 31. Hematoxilin-eosin staining of retinal sections from retinas of control, rd1 and 32Figure 32. Graphical representation o	Figure 11. Glutamate activates two types of receptor.	25
Figure 13. Chemical structure of glutathione.29Figure 14. Schematic diagram of glutathione synthesis.30Figure 15. Function of GSH as an antioxidant.32Figure 16. Chemical structure of Cysteine and Cystine.34Figure 17. Scheme Progesterone Synthesis.44Figure 18. ONL reduction in rd1 retina compared to wild type.51Figure 20. Retinal glutamate concentrations in rd1 and wild type mice at PN3, PN7, PN17, PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28.Figure 21. Retinal GSK concentrations in rd1 and wild type mice at PN3, PN7, PN11,77PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28.Figure 22. Retinal Cys concentrations in rd1 and wild type mice at PN3, PN7, PN11,78PN13, PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28.75Figure 23. Glutamate concentrations in rd1 and wild type mice at PN3, PN7, PN11,79PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28 days.71Figure 24. GSH concentrations in retinas from wild type, rd1 and rd1 treated mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17.78Figure 25. GSSG concentrations in retinas from wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice at 8181PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17.71Figure 26. Cys concentrations in retinas from wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice at 8184PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17.79Figure 27. Mice mid-peripheral retina immunolabelled for GSH from retinas of wild 86type, rd1 and progesterone-treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17.89Figure 28. Changes of rd1 mouse retinal thickness during degeneration at PN15, PN17, PN60 and PN90.88and progesterone-treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17.79	Figure 12. Schematic diagram of a glutamate synapse.	27
Figure 14. Schematic diagram of glutathione synthesis.30Figure 15. Function of GSH as an antioxidant.32Figure 15. Function of GSH as an antioxidant.34Figure 16. Chemical structure of Cysteine and Cystine.34Figure 17. Scheme Progesterone Synthesis.44Figure 18. ONL reduction in rd1 retina compared to wild type.51Figure 19. Retinal glutamate concentrations in rd1 and wild type mice at PN3, PN7, PN11,77PN11, PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28.71Figure 21. Retinal GSSG concentrations in rd1 and wild type mice at PN3, PN7, PN11,78PN13, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28.79Figure 22. Retinal Cys concentrations in rd1 and wild type mice at PN3, PN7, PN11,79PN13, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28 days.79Figure 23. Glutamate concentrations in retinas from wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice at81at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17.79Figure 24. GSH concentrations in retinas from wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice at82PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17.79Figure 25. Cys concentrations in retinas from wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice at84PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17.71Figure 25. Cys concentrations in retinas from wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice at84PN13, PN15 and PN17.71Figure 28. Mice retina immunolabeled for GAD-65 from retinas of wild type, untreated84and progesterone-treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17.89Figure 30. OCT of representative animals of progesterone-treated rd1 mice compare to90untreated rd1 mices at PN11,	Figure 13. Chemical structure of glutathione.	29
Figure 15. Function of GSH as an antioxidant.32Figure 16. Chemical structure of Cysteine and Cystine.34Figure 17. Scheme Progesterone Synthesis.44Figure 18. ONL reduction in rd1 retina compared to wild type.51Figure 19. Retinal glutamate concentrations in rd1 and wild type mice at PN3, PN7, PN11, PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28.77Figure 20. Retinal GSG concentrations in rd1 and wild type mice at PN3, PN7, PN11, PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28.77Figure 21. Retinal GSG concentrations in rd1 and wild type mice at PN3, PN7, PN11, PN13, PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28.78Figure 22. Retinal Cys concentrations in rd1 and wild type mice at PN3, PN7, PN11, PN13, PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28.79Figure 23. Glutamate concentrations in retinas from wild type rd1 and rd1 treated mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17.81Figure 24. GSH concentrations in retinas from wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17.82Figure 25. GSGS concentrations in retinas from wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17.83Figure 25. GSGs concentrations in retinas from wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17.84Figure 28. Mice retina immunolabeled for GAD-65 from retinas of wild type, untreated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17.89Figure 30. OCT of representative animals of progesterone-treated rd1 mice at PN15, PN17, PN60 and PN90.81Figure 31. Hematoxilin-eosin staining of retinal sections from retinas of control, rd1 and progesterone-treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17.91Figure 32. Graphical representation of the number of rows in the far peripheral retina. </td <td>Figure 14. Schematic diagram of glutathione synthesis.</td> <td>30</td>	Figure 14. Schematic diagram of glutathione synthesis.	30
Figure 16. Chemical structure of Cysteine and Cystine.34Figure 17. Scheme Progesterone Synthesis.44Figure 18. ONL reduction in rd1 retina compared to wild type.51Figure 19. Retinal glutamate concentrations in rd1 and wild type mice at PN3, PN7, 75PN11, PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28.Figure 20. Retinal GSH concentrations in rd1 and wild type mice at PN3, PN7, PN11, 77PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28.Figure 21. Retinal GSSG concentrations in rd1 and wild type mice at PN3, PN7, PN11, 78PN13, PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28.Figure 22. Retinal Cys concentrations in rd1 and wild type mice at PN3, PN7, PN11, 79PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28 days.Figure 23. Glutamate concentrations in retinas from wild type rd1 and rd1 treated mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17.Figure 24. GSH concentrations in retinas from wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17.Figure 26. Cys concentrations in retinas from wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice at 84PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17.Figure 27. Mice mid-peripheral retina immunolabelled for GSH from retinas of wild 86type, rd1 and progesterone-treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17.Figure 28. Mice retina immunolabelel for GAD-65 from retinas of wild type, untreated and progesterone-treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17.Figure 29. Changes of rd1 mouse retinal thickness during degeneration at PN15, PN17, 89PN60 and PN90.Figure 30. OCT of representative animals of progesterone-treated rd1 mice compare to 90untreated rd1 groups at PN17.Figure 31. Hematoxilin-eosin staining of retinal sections from retinas of control, rd1 and 92progesterone-treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15	Figure 15. Function of GSH as an antioxidant.	32
Figure 17. Scheme Progesterone Synthesis.44Figure 18. ONL reduction in rd1 retina compared to wild type.51Figure 19. Retinal glutamate concentrations in rd1 and wild type mice at PN3, PN7, 75PN11, PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28.Figure 20. Retinal GSG concentrations in rd1 and wild type mice at PN3, PN7, PN11, 77PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28.Figure 21. Retinal GSG concentrations in rd1 and wild type mice at PN3, PN7, PN11, 78PN12, PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28.Figure 23. Retinal Cys concentrations in rd1 and wild type mice at PN3, PN7, PN11, 79PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28 days.Figure 23. Glutamate concentrations in retinas from wild type rd1 and rd1 treated mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17.Figure 24. GSH concentrations in retinas from wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17.Figure 25. GSSG concentrations in retinas from wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17.Figure 26. Cys concentrations in retinas from wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17.Figure 27. Mice mid-peripheral retina immunolabelled for GSH from retinas of wild type, rd1 and progesterone-treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17.Figure 29. Changes of rd1 mouse retinal thickness during degeneration at PN15, PN17, 89PN60 and PN90.Figure 31. Hematoxilin-eosin staining of retinal sections from retinas of control, rd1 and progesterone-treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17.Figure 31. Hematoxilin-eosin staining of retinal sections from retinas of control, rd1 and progesterone-treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17.Figure 32. Graphical representation of the number of rows in the far peri	Figure 16. Chemical structure of Cysteine and Cystine.	34
Figure 18. ONL reduction in rd1 retina compared to wild type.51Figure 19. Retinal glutamate concentrations in rd1 and wild type mice at PN3, PN7, 75PN11, PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28.Figure 20. Retinal GSG concentrations in rd1 and wild type mice at PN3, PN7, PN11, 77PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28.Figure 21. Retinal GSSG concentrations in rd1 and wild type mice at PN3, PN7, PN11, 78PN13, PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28.Figure 22. Retinal Cys concentrations in rd1 and wild type mice at PN3, PN7, PN11, 79PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28 days.Figure 23. Glutamate concentrations in retinas from wild type rd1 and rd1 treated mice at at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17.Figure 24. GSH concentrations in retinas from wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17.Figure 25. GSSG concentrations in retinas from wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17.Figure 27. Mice mid-peripheral retina immunolabelled for GSH from retinas of wild type, rd1 and progesterone-treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17.Figure 28. Mice retina immunolabeled for GSH from retinas of wild type, untreated 88and progesterone-treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17.Figure 30. OCT of representative animals of progesterone-treated rd1 mice compare to untreated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17.Figure 31. Hematoxilin-eosin staining of retinal sections from retinas of control, rd1 and 92progesterone-treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17.Figure 32. Graphical representation of the number of rows in the far peripheral retina.Figure 33. Graphical representation of the number of rows in the area of the retina 93ONL in wil	Figure 17. Scheme Progesterone Synthesis.	44
Figure 19. Retinal glutamate concentrations in rd1 and wild type mice at PN3, PN7, 75 PN11, PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28. Figure 20. Retinal GSH concentrations in rd1 and wild type mice at PN3, PN7, PN11, 77 PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28. Figure 21. Retinal GSG concentrations in rd1 and wild type mice at PN3, PN7, PN11, 78 PN13, PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28. Figure 22. Retinal Cys concentrations in rd1 and wild type mice at PN3, PN7, PN11, 79 PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28 days. Figure 23. Glutamate concentrations in retinas from wild type rd1 and rd1 treated mice 81 at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17. Figure 24. GSH concentrations in retinas from wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice at 82 PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17. Figure 25. GSSG concentrations in retinas from wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice at 84 PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17. Figure 26. Cys concentrations in retinas from wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice at 84 PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17. Figure 27. Mice mid-peripheral retina immunolabelled for GSH from retinas of wild 86 type, rd1 and progesterone-treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17. Figure 28. Mice retina immunolabeled for GAD-65 from retinas of wild type, untreated 88 and progesterone-treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17. Figure 29. Changes of rd1 mouse retinal thickness during degeneration at PN15, PN17, 89 PN60 and PN90. Figure 30. OCT of representative animals of progesterone-treated rd1 mice compare to 90 untreated rd1 groups at PN17. Figure 31. Hematoxilin-eosin staining of retinal sections from retinas of control, rd1 and 92 progesterone-treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17 in far peripheral retina. Figure 32. Graphical representation of the number of rows in the far peripheral retina 93 ONL in wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice. Figure 34. Graphical representation of the number of rows in the area of the retina 93 of the ONL in wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice.	Figure 18. ONL reduction in rd1 retina compared to wild type.	51
 PN11, PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28. Figure 20. Retinal GSH concentrations in rd1 and wild type mice at PN3, PN7, PN11, 77 PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28. Figure 21. Retinal GSSG concentrations in rd1 and wild type mice at PN3, PN7, PN11, 78 PN13, PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28. Figure 22. Retinal Cys concentrations in rd1 and wild type mice at PN3, PN7, PN11, 79 PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28 days. Figure 23. Glutamate concentrations in retinas from wild type rd1 and rd1 treated mice 81 at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17. Figure 24. GSH concentrations in retinas from wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice at 82 PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17. Figure 25. GSSG concentrations in retinas from wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice at 84 PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17. Figure 26. Cys concentrations in retinas from wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice at 84 PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17. Figure 27. Mice mid-peripheral retina immunolabelled for GSH from retinas of wild 86 type, rd1 and progesterone-treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17. Figure 29. Changes of rd1 mouse retinal thickness during degeneration at PN15, PN17, 89 PN60 and PN90. Figure 30. OCT of representative animals of progesterone-treated rd1 mice compare to 90 untreated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17. Figure 31. Hematoxiline-osin staining of retinal sections from retinas of control, rd1 and 92 progesterone-treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17 in far peripheral retina. Figure 32. Graphical representation of the number of rows in the far peripheral retina 93 Of the ONL in wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice. Figure 34. Graphical representation of the number of rows in the area of the retina 94 close to the optic nerve in the ONL in wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice. 	Figure 19. Retinal glutamate concentrations in rd1 and wild type mice at PN3, PN7,	75
 Figure 20. Retinal GSH concentrations in rd1 and wild type mice at PN3, PN7, PN11, 77 PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28. Figure 21. Retinal GSSG concentrations in rd1 and wild type mice at PN3, PN7, PN11, 78 PN13, PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28. Figure 22. Retinal Cys concentrations in rd1 and wild type mice at PN3, PN7, PN11, 79 PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28 days. Figure 23. Glutamate concentrations in retinas from wild type rd1 and rd1 treated mice 81 at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17. Figure 24. GSH concentrations in retinas from wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice at 82 PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17. Figure 25. GSSG concentrations in retinas from wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17. Figure 26. Cys concentrations in retinas from wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice at 84 PN11, PN3, PN15 and PN17. Figure 27. Mice mid-peripheral retina immunolabelled for GSH from retinas of wild 86 type, rd1 and progesterone-treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17. Figure 28. Mice retina immunolabeled for GAD-65 from retinas of wild type, untreated 88 and progesterone-treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17. Figure 29. Changes of rd1 mouse retinal thickness during degeneration at PN15, PN17, 89 PN60 and PN90. Figure 30. OCT of representative animals of progesterone-treated rd1 mice compare to 90 untreated rd1 groups at PN17. Figure 31. Hematoxilin-eosin staining of retinal sections from retinas of control, rd1 and 92 progesterone-treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17 in far peripheral retina. Figure 32. Graphical representation of the number of rows in the far peripheral retina of 93 ONL in wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice. Figure 34. Graphical representation of the number of rows in the area of the retina 94 close to the optic nerve in the ONL in wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice. <	PN11, PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28.	
 PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28. Figure 21. Retinal GSSG concentrations in rd1 and wild type mice at PN3, PN7, PN11, 78 PN13, PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28. Figure 22. Retinal Cys concentrations in rd1 and wild type mice at PN3, PN7, PN11, 79 PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28 days. Figure 23. Glutamate concentrations in retinas from wild type rd1 and rd1 treated mice 81 at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17. Figure 24. GSH concentrations in retinas from wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice at 82 PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17. Figure 25. GSSG concentrations in retinas from wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice at 84 PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17. Figure 26. Cys concentrations in retinas from wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice at 84 PN11, PN3, PN15 and PN17. Figure 27. Mice mid-peripheral retina immunolabelled for GSH from retinas of wild 86 type, rd1 and progesterone-treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17. Figure 29. Changes of rd1 mouse retinal thickness during degeneration at PN15, PN17, 89 PN60 and PN90. Figure 30. OCT of representative animals of progesterone-treated rd1 mice compare to 90 untreated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17. Figure 31. Hematoxilin-eosin staining of retinal sections from retinas of control, rd1 and 92 progesterone-treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17, regure 32. Graphical representation of the number of rows in the far peripheral retina. Figure 33. Graphical representation of the number of rows in the area of the retina 93 of the ONL in wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice. Figure 34. Graphical representation of the number of rows in the area of the retina 94 close to the optic nerve in the ONL in wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice. 	Figure 20. Retinal GSH concentrations in rd1 and wild type mice at PN3, PN7, PN11,	77
 Figure 21. Retinal GSSG concentrations in rd1 and wild type mice at PN3, PN7, PN11, 78 PN13, PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28. Figure 22. Retinal Cys concentrations in rd1 and wild type mice at PN3, PN7, PN11, 79 PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28 days. Figure 23. Glutamate concentrations in retinas from wild type rd1 and rd1 treated mice 81 at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17. Figure 24. GSH concentrations in retinas from wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice at 82 PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17. Figure 25. GSSG concentrations in retinas from wt, rd1 and treated rd1 mice at PN11, 83 PN13, PN15 and PN17. Figure 26. Cys concentrations in retinas from wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice at 84 PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17. Figure 26. Cys concentrations in retinas from wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice at 84 PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17. Figure 27. Mice mid-peripheral retina immunolabelled for GSH from retinas of wild 86 type, rd1 and progesterone-treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17. Figure 29. Changes of rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17. Figure 30. OCT of representative animals of progesterone-treated rd1 mice compare to 90 untreated rd1 groups at PN17. Figure 31. Hematoxilin-eosin staining of retinal sections from retinas of control, rd1 and 92 progesterone-treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17 in far peripheral retina. Figure 32. Graphical representation of the number of rows in the far peripheral retina of 93 ONL in wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice. Figure 34. Graphical representation of the number of rows in the area of the retina 94 close to the optic nerve in the ONL in wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice. 	PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28.	
 PN13, PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28. Figure 22. Retinal Cys concentrations in rd1 and wild type mice at PN3, PN7, PN11, 79 PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28 days. Figure 23. Glutamate concentrations in retinas from wild type rd1 and rd1 treated mice 81 at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17. Figure 24. GSH concentrations in retinas from wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice at 82 PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17. Figure 25. GSSG concentrations in retinas from wt, rd1 and treated rd1 mice at PN11, 83 PN13, PN15 and PN17. Figure 26. Cys concentrations in retinas from wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice at 84 PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17. Figure 27. Mice mid-peripheral retina immunolabelled for GSH from retinas of wild 86 type, rd1 and progesterone-treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17. Figure 28. Mice retina immunolabeled for GAD-65 from retinas of wild type, untreated 88 and progesterone-treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17. Figure 29. Changes of rd1 mouse retinal thickness during degeneration at PN15, PN17, 89 PN60 and PN90. Figure 30. OCT of representative animals of progesterone-treated rd1 mice compare to 90 untreated rd1 groups at PN17. Figure 31. Hematoxilin-eosin staining of retinal sections from retinas of control, rd1 and 92 progesterone-treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17 in far peripheral retina. Figure 32. Graphical representation of the number of rows in the far peripheral retina of 93 ONL in wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice. Figure 34. Graphical representation of the number of rows in the area of the retina 94 close to the optic nerve in the ONL in wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice. 	Figure 21. Retinal GSSG concentrations in rd1 and wild type mice at PN3, PN7, PN11,	78
Figure 22. Retinal Cys concentrations in rd1 and wild type mice at PN3, PN7, PN11, 79PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28 days.Figure 23. Glutamate concentrations in retinas from wild type rd1 and rd1 treated mice 81at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17.Figure 24. GSH concentrations in retinas from wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice at 82PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17.Figure 25. GSSG concentrations in retinas from wt, rd1 and treated rd1 mice at PN11, 83PN13, PN15 and PN17.Figure 26. Cys concentrations in retinas from wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice at 84PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17.Figure 27. Mice mid-peripheral retina immunolabelled for GSH from retinas of wild 86type, rd1 and progesterone-treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17.Figure 28. Mice retina immunolabeled for GAD-65 from retinas of wild type, untreated 88and progesterone-treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17.Figure 29. Changes of rd1 mouse retinal thickness during degeneration at PN15, PN17, 89PN60 and PN90.Figure 30. OCT of representative animals of progesterone-treated rd1 mice compare to 90untreated rd1 groups at PN17.Figure 31. Hematoxilin-eosin staining of retinal sections from retinas of control, rd1 and 92progesterone-treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17 in far peripheral retina.Figure 32. Graphical representation of the number of rows in the far peripheral retina of 93ONL in wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice.Figure 33. Graphical representation of the number of rows in the mid peripheral retina 93of the ONL in wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice.Figure 34. Graphical representation of the number	PN13, PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28.	
 PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28 days. Figure 23. Glutamate concentrations in retinas from wild type rd1 and rd1 treated mice 81 at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17. Figure 24. GSH concentrations in retinas from wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice at 82 PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17. Figure 25. GSSG concentrations in retinas from wt, rd1 and treated rd1 mice at PN11, 83 PN13, PN15 and PN17. Figure 26. Cys concentrations in retinas from wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice at 84 PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17. Figure 27. Mice mid-peripheral retina immunolabelled for GSH from retinas of wild 86 type, rd1 and progesterone-treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17. Figure 28. Mice retina immunolabeled for GAD-65 from retinas of wild type, untreated 88 and progesterone-treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17. Figure 29. Changes of rd1 mouse retinal thickness during degeneration at PN15, PN17, 89 PN60 and PN90. Figure 30. OCT of representative animals of progesterone-treated rd1 mice compare to 90 untreated rd1 groups at PN17. Figure 31. Hematoxilin-eosin staining of retinal sections from retinas of control, rd1 and 92 progesterone-treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17 in far peripheral retina. Figure 32. Graphical representation of the number of rows in the far peripheral retina of 93 ONL in wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice. Figure 33. Graphical representation of the number of rows in the mid peripheral retina 93 of the ONL in wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice. Figure 34. Graphical representation of the number of rows in the area of the retina 94 close to the optic nerve in the ONL in wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice. 	Figure 22. Retinal Cys concentrations in rd1 and wild type mice at PN3, PN7, PN11,	79
Figure 23. Glutamate concentrations in retinas from wild type rd1 and rd1 treated mice 81 at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17. Figure 24. GSH concentrations in retinas from wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice at 82 PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17. Figure 25. GSSG concentrations in retinas from wt, rd1 and treated rd1 mice at PN11, 83 PN13, PN15 and PN17. Figure 26. Cys concentrations in retinas from wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice at 84 PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17. Figure 27. Mice mid-peripheral retina immunolabelled for GSH from retinas of wild 86 type, rd1 and progesterone-treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17. Figure 28. Mice retina immunolabeled for GAD-65 from retinas of wild type, untreated 88 and progesterone-treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17. Figure 29. Changes of rd1 mouse retinal thickness during degeneration at PN15, PN17, 89 PN60 and PN90. Figure 30. OCT of representative animals of progesterone-treated rd1 mice compare to untreated rd1 groups at PN17. Figure 31. Hematoxilin-eosin staining of retinal sections from retinas of control, rd1 and 92 progesterone-treated rd1 mice. Figure 32. Graphical representation of the number of rows in the far peripheral retina. Figure 33. Graphical representation of the number of rows in the mid peripheral retina of 93 ONL in wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice. Figure 34. Graphical representation of the number of rows in the area of the retina 94 close to the optic nerve in the ONL in wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice.	PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28 days.	
at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17. Figure 24. GSH concentrations in retinas from wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice at 82 PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17. Figure 25. GSSG concentrations in retinas from wt, rd1 and treated rd1 mice at PN11, 83 PN13, PN15 and PN17. Figure 26. Cys concentrations in retinas from wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice at 84 PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17. Figure 27. Mice mid-peripheral retina immunolabelled for GSH from retinas of wild 86 type, rd1 and progesterone-treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17. Figure 28. Mice retina immunolabeled for GAD-65 from retinas of wild type, untreated 88 and progesterone-treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17. Figure 29. Changes of rd1 mouse retinal thickness during degeneration at PN15, PN17, 89 PN60 and PN90. Figure 30. OCT of representative animals of progesterone-treated rd1 mice compare to 90 untreated rd1 groups at PN17. Figure 31. Hematoxilin-eosin staining of retinal sections from retinas of control, rd1 and 92 progesterone-treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17 in far peripheral retina. Figure 32. Graphical representation of the number of rows in the far peripheral retina of 93 ONL in wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice. Figure 33. Graphical representation of the number of rows in the mid peripheral retina 93 of the ONL in wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice. Figure 34. Graphical representation of the number of rows in the area of the retina 94 close to the optic nerve in the ONL in wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice.	Figure 23. Glutamate concentrations in retinas from wild type rd1 and rd1 treated mice	81
Figure 24. GSH concentrations in retinas from wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17. Figure 25. GSSG concentrations in retinas from wt, rd1 and treated rd1 mice at PN11, 83 PN13, PN15 and PN17. Figure 26. Cys concentrations in retinas from wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17. Figure 27. Mice mid-peripheral retina immunolabelled for GSH from retinas of wild 86 type, rd1 and progesterone-treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17. Figure 28. Mice retina immunolabeled for GAD-65 from retinas of wild type, untreated 88 and progesterone-treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17. Figure 29. Changes of rd1 mouse retinal thickness during degeneration at PN15, PN17, 89 PN60 and PN90. Figure 30. OCT of representative animals of progesterone-treated rd1 mice compare to untreated rd1 groups at PN17. Figure 31. Hematoxilin-eosin staining of retinal sections from retinas of control, rd1 and 92 progesterone-treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17 in far peripheral retina. Figure 32. Graphical representation of the number of rows in the far peripheral retina of 93 ONL in wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice. Figure 34. Graphical representation of the number of rows in the mid peripheral retina 93 of the ONL in wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice. Figure 34. Graphical representation of the number of rows in the area of the retina 94 close to the optic nerve in the ONL in wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice.	at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17.	
 PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17. Figure 25. GSSG concentrations in retinas from wt, rd1 and treated rd1 mice at PN11, 83 PN13, PN15 and PN17. Figure 26. Cys concentrations in retinas from wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice at 84 PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17. Figure 27. Mice mid-peripheral retina immunolabelled for GSH from retinas of wild 86 type, rd1 and progesterone-treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17. Figure 28. Mice retina immunolabeled for GAD-65 from retinas of wild type, untreated 88 and progesterone-treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17. Figure 29. Changes of rd1 mouse retinal thickness during degeneration at PN15, PN17, 89 PN60 and PN90. Figure 30. OCT of representative animals of progesterone-treated rd1 mice compare to 90 untreated rd1 groups at PN17. Figure 31. Hematoxilin-eosin staining of retinal sections from retinas of control, rd1 and 92 progesterone-treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17 in far peripheral retina. Figure 32. Graphical representation of the number of rows in the far peripheral retina of 93 ONL in wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice. Figure 34. Graphical representation of the number of rows in the area of the retina 94 close to the optic nerve in the ONL in wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice. 	Figure 24. GSH concentrations in retinas from wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice at	82
Figure 25. GSSG concentrations in retinas from wt, rd1 and treated rd1 mice at PN11, 83 PN13, PN15 and PN17. Figure 26. Cys concentrations in retinas from wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice at 84 PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17. Figure 27. Mice mid-peripheral retina immunolabelled for GSH from retinas of wild 86 type, rd1 and progesterone-treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17. Figure 28. Mice retina immunolabeled for GAD-65 from retinas of wild type, untreated 88 and progesterone-treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17. Figure 29. Changes of rd1 mouse retinal thickness during degeneration at PN15, PN17, 89 PN60 and PN90. Figure 30. OCT of representative animals of progesterone-treated rd1 mice compare to 90 untreated rd1 groups at PN17. Figure 31. Hematoxilin-eosin staining of retinal sections from retinas of control, rd1 and 92 progesterone-treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17 in far peripheral retina. Figure 32. Graphical representation of the number of rows in the far peripheral retina of 93 ONL in wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice. Figure 34. Graphical representation of the number of rows in the area of the retina 94 close to the optic nerve in the ONL in wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice.	PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17.	
 PN13, PN15 and PN17. Figure 26. Cys concentrations in retinas from wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice at 84 PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17. Figure 27. Mice mid-peripheral retina immunolabelled for GSH from retinas of wild 86 type, rd1 and progesterone-treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17. Figure 28. Mice retina immunolabeled for GAD-65 from retinas of wild type, untreated 88 and progesterone-treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17. Figure 29. Changes of rd1 mouse retinal thickness during degeneration at PN15, PN17, 89 PN60 and PN90. Figure 30. OCT of representative animals of progesterone-treated rd1 mice compare to 90 untreated rd1 groups at PN17. Figure 31. Hematoxilin-eosin staining of retinal sections from retinas of control, rd1 and 92 progesterone-treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17 in far peripheral retina. Figure 32. Graphical representation of the number of rows in the far peripheral retina of 93 ONL in wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice. Figure 34. Graphical representation of the number of rows in the area of the retina 94 close to the optic nerve in the ONL in wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice. 	Figure 25. GSSG concentrations in retinas from wt, rd1 and treated rd1 mice at PN11,	83
 Figure 26. Cys concentrations in retinas from wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice at 84 PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17. Figure 27. Mice mid-peripheral retina immunolabelled for GSH from retinas of wild 86 type, rd1 and progesterone-treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17. Figure 28. Mice retina immunolabeled for GAD-65 from retinas of wild type, untreated 88 and progesterone-treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17. Figure 29. Changes of rd1 mouse retinal thickness during degeneration at PN15, PN17, 89 PN60 and PN90. Figure 30. OCT of representative animals of progesterone-treated rd1 mice compare to 90 untreated rd1 groups at PN17. Figure 31. Hematoxilin-eosin staining of retinal sections from retinas of control, rd1 and 92 progesterone-treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17 in far peripheral retina. Figure 32. Graphical representation of the number of rows in the far peripheral retina of 93 ONL in wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice. Figure 34. Graphical representation of the number of rows in the area of the retina 94 close to the optic nerve in the ONL in wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice. 	PN13, PN15 and PN17.	
 PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17. Figure 27. Mice mid-peripheral retina immunolabelled for GSH from retinas of wild 86 type, rd1 and progesterone-treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17. Figure 28. Mice retina immunolabeled for GAD-65 from retinas of wild type, untreated 88 and progesterone-treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17. Figure 29. Changes of rd1 mouse retinal thickness during degeneration at PN15, PN17, 89 PN60 and PN90. Figure 30. OCT of representative animals of progesterone-treated rd1 mice compare to 90 untreated rd1 groups at PN17. Figure 31. Hematoxilin-eosin staining of retinal sections from retinas of control, rd1 and 92 progesterone-treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17 in far peripheral retina. Figure 32. Graphical representation of the number of rows in the far peripheral retina of 93 ONL in wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice. Figure 34. Graphical representation of the number of rows in the area of the retina 94 close to the optic nerve in the ONL in wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice. 	Figure 26. Cys concentrations in retinas from wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice at	84
 Figure 27. Mice mid-peripheral retina immunolabelled for GSH from retinas of wild 86 type, rd1 and progesterone-treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17. Figure 28. Mice retina immunolabeled for GAD-65 from retinas of wild type, untreated 88 and progesterone-treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17. Figure 29. Changes of rd1 mouse retinal thickness during degeneration at PN15, PN17, 89 PN60 and PN90. Figure 30. OCT of representative animals of progesterone-treated rd1 mice compare to 90 untreated rd1 groups at PN17. Figure 31. Hematoxilin-eosin staining of retinal sections from retinas of control, rd1 and 92 progesterone-treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17 in far peripheral retina. Figure 32. Graphical representation of the number of rows in the far peripheral retina of 93 ONL in wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice. Figure 34. Graphical representation of the number of rows in the area of the retina 94 close to the optic nerve in the ONL in wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice. 	PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17.	
 type, rd1 and progesterone-treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17. Figure 28. Mice retina immunolabeled for GAD-65 from retinas of wild type, untreated 88 and progesterone-treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17. Figure 29. Changes of rd1 mouse retinal thickness during degeneration at PN15, PN17, 89 PN60 and PN90. Figure 30. OCT of representative animals of progesterone-treated rd1 mice compare to 90 untreated rd1 groups at PN17. Figure 31. Hematoxilin-eosin staining of retinal sections from retinas of control, rd1 and 92 progesterone-treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17 in far peripheral retina. Figure 32. Graphical representation of the number of rows in the far peripheral retina of 93 ONL in wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice. Figure 34. Graphical representation of the number of rows in the area of the retina 94 close to the optic nerve in the ONL in wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice. 	Figure 27. Mice mid-peripheral retina immunolabelled for GSH from retinas of wild	86
 Figure 28. Mice retina immunolabeled for GAD-65 from retinas of wild type, untreated 88 and progesterone-treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17. Figure 29. Changes of rd1 mouse retinal thickness during degeneration at PN15, PN17, 89 PN60 and PN90. Figure 30. OCT of representative animals of progesterone-treated rd1 mice compare to 90 untreated rd1 groups at PN17. Figure 31. Hematoxilin-eosin staining of retinal sections from retinas of control, rd1 and 92 progesterone-treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17 in far peripheral retina. Figure 32. Graphical representation of the number of rows in the far peripheral retina of 93 ONL in wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice. Figure 34. Graphical representation of the number of rows in the area of the retina 94 close to the optic nerve in the ONL in wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice. 	type, rd1 and progesterone-treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17.	
 and progesterone-treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17. Figure 29. Changes of rd1 mouse retinal thickness during degeneration at PN15, PN17, 89 PN60 and PN90. Figure 30. OCT of representative animals of progesterone-treated rd1 mice compare to 90 untreated rd1 groups at PN17. Figure 31. Hematoxilin-eosin staining of retinal sections from retinas of control, rd1 and 92 progesterone-treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17 in far peripheral retina. Figure 32. Graphical representation of the number of rows in the far peripheral retina of 93 ONL in wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice. Figure 33. Graphical representation of the number of rows in the mid peripheral retina 93 of the ONL in wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice. Figure 34. Graphical representation of the number of rows in the area of the retina 94 close to the optic nerve in the ONL in wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice. 	Figure 28. Mice retina immunolabeled for GAD-65 from retinas of wild type, untreated	88
 Figure 29. Changes of rd1 mouse retinal thickness during degeneration at PN15, PN17, 89 PN60 and PN90. Figure 30. OCT of representative animals of progesterone-treated rd1 mice compare to 90 untreated rd1 groups at PN17. Figure 31. Hematoxilin-eosin staining of retinal sections from retinas of control, rd1 and 92 progesterone-treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17 in far peripheral retina. Figure 32. Graphical representation of the number of rows in the far peripheral retina of 93 ONL in wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice. Figure 33. Graphical representation of the number of rows in the mid peripheral retina 93 of the ONL in wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice. Figure 34. Graphical representation of the number of rows in the area of the retina 94 close to the optic nerve in the ONL in wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice. 	and progesterone-treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17.	
 PN60 and PN90. Figure 30. OCT of representative animals of progesterone-treated rd1 mice compare to 90 untreated rd1 groups at PN17. Figure 31. Hematoxilin-eosin staining of retinal sections from retinas of control, rd1 and 92 progesterone-treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17 in far peripheral retina. Figure 32. Graphical representation of the number of rows in the far peripheral retina of 93 ONL in wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice. Figure 33. Graphical representation of the number of rows in the mid peripheral retina 93 of the ONL in wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice. Figure 34. Graphical representation of the number of rows in the area of the retina 94 close to the optic nerve in the ONL in wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice. 	Figure 29. Changes of rd1 mouse retinal thickness during degeneration at PN15, PN17,	89
 Figure 30. OCT of representative animals of progesterone-treated rd1 mice compare to untreated rd1 groups at PN17. Figure 31. Hematoxilin-eosin staining of retinal sections from retinas of control, rd1 and 92 progesterone-treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17 in far peripheral retina. Figure 32. Graphical representation of the number of rows in the far peripheral retina of 93 ONL in wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice. Figure 33. Graphical representation of the number of rows in the mid peripheral retina 93 of the ONL in wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice. Figure 34. Graphical representation of the number of rows in the area of the retina 94 close to the optic nerve in the ONL in wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice. 	PN60 and PN90.	
 untreated rd1 groups at PN17. Figure 31. Hematoxilin-eosin staining of retinal sections from retinas of control, rd1 and 92 progesterone-treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17 in far peripheral retina. Figure 32. Graphical representation of the number of rows in the far peripheral retina of 93 ONL in wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice. Figure 33. Graphical representation of the number of rows in the mid peripheral retina 93 of the ONL in wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice. Figure 34. Graphical representation of the number of rows in the area of the retina 94 close to the optic nerve in the ONL in wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice. 	Figure 30. OCT of representative animals of progesterone-treated rd1 mice compare to	90
 Figure 31. Hematoxilin-eosin staining of retinal sections from retinas of control, rd1 and progesterone-treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17 in far peripheral retina. Figure 32. Graphical representation of the number of rows in the far peripheral retina of 93 ONL in wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice. Figure 33. Graphical representation of the number of rows in the mid peripheral retina 93 of the ONL in wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice. Figure 34. Graphical representation of the number of rows in the area of the retina 94 close to the optic nerve in the ONL in wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice. 	untreated rd1 groups at PN17.	
 progesterone-treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17 in far peripheral retina. Figure 32. Graphical representation of the number of rows in the far peripheral retina of 93 ONL in wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice. Figure 33. Graphical representation of the number of rows in the mid peripheral retina 93 of the ONL in wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice. Figure 34. Graphical representation of the number of rows in the area of the retina 94 close to the optic nerve in the ONL in wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice. 	Figure 31. Hematoxilin-eosin staining of retinal sections from retinas of control, rd1 and	92
 Figure 32. Graphical representation of the number of rows in the far peripheral retina of ONL in wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice. Figure 33. Graphical representation of the number of rows in the mid peripheral retina of the ONL in wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice. Figure 34. Graphical representation of the number of rows in the area of the retina 94 close to the optic nerve in the ONL in wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice. 	progesterone-treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17 in far peripheral retina.	
ONL in wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice. Figure 33. Graphical representation of the number of rows in the mid peripheral retina 93 of the ONL in wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice. Figure 34. Graphical representation of the number of rows in the area of the retina 94 close to the optic nerve in the ONL in wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice.	Figure 32. Graphical representation of the number of rows in the far peripheral retina of	93
 Figure 33. Graphical representation of the number of rows in the mid peripheral retina 93 of the ONL in wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice. Figure 34. Graphical representation of the number of rows in the area of the retina 94 close to the optic nerve in the ONL in wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice. 	ONL in wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice.	
of the ONL in wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice. Figure 34. Graphical representation of the number of rows in the area of the retina 94 close to the optic nerve in the ONL in wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice.	Figure 33. Graphical representation of the number of rows in the mid peripheral retina	93
Figure 34. Graphical representation of the number of rows in the area of the retina 94 close to the optic nerve in the ONL in wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice.	of the ONL in wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice.	
close to the optic nerve in the ONL in wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice.	Figure 34. Graphical representation of the number of rows in the area of the retina	94
	close to the optic nerve in the ONL in wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice.	

Figure 35. TUNEL staining in retinas from wild type, untreated and progesterone- 96 treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17.

Figure 36. Graphical representation of the number of TUNEL-positive cells at PN11, 97 PN13, PN15 and PN17 in the retina from wt, rd1 and progesterone-treated rd1 mice in the far peripheral region of the ONL.

Figure 37. Graphical representation of the number of TUNEL-positive cells at PN11, 97 PN13, PN15 and PN17 in the retina from wt, rd1 and progesterone-treated rd1 mice in the mid peripheral retina of the ONL.

Figure 38. Graphical representation of the number of TUNEL-positive cells at PN11, 98 PN13, PN15 and PN17 in the retina from wt, rd1 and progesterone-treated rd1 mice in the central retina of the ONL.

Figure 39. Photographs representative of immunofluorescent labelling for GFAP in the 100 retina from wild type, rd1 and progesterone-treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17.

Figure 40. Progesterone significantly improved the a- and b-wave amplitude in 102 progesterone-treated rd1 mice compared to untreated mice, indicating improved retinal function.

Figure 41. Graphical values of ERG a-wave amplitude from wt, rd1 and treated rd1 mice 103 at PN13, PN15 and PN17.

Figure 42. Graphical values of ERG a-wave latency time from wt, rd1 and treated rd1 103 mice at PN13, PN15 and PN17.

Figure 43. Graphical values of ERG b-wave amplitude from wt, rd1 and treated rd1 mice 104 at PN13, PN15 and PN17.

Figure 44. Graphical values of ERG b-wave latency time from wt, rd1 and treated rd1 104 mice at PN13, PN15 and PN17.

INDEX OF TABLES Table 1. Layers of the retina. 4 Table 2. Antibodies used. 70 Table 3. Measurement of the area occupied by GSH immunolabeling in the ONL from 85 wt, rd1 and treated by progesterone rd1 mice at PN11 and PN17. Table 4. Percentage of the cells in the ONL that are TUNEL-positive in the retina from 98-99 wt, rd1 and progesterone-treated rd1 mice in far peripheral, mid peripheral and central retina at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17. Table 5. Glutamate concentrations at PN3, PN7, PN11, PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and 195 PN28 in rd1 and wild type mice retina. Table 5.1. Glutamate concentrations at PN3, PN7, PN11, PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and 195-197 PN28 in the retina from wild type and rd1 mice. Pairwise comparisons. Table 6. GSH concentrations in the retina from rd1 and wild type mice at PN3, PN7, 198 PN11, PN13, PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28. Table 6.1. GSH concentrations at PN3, PN7, PN11, PN13, PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and 198-200 PN28 in the retina from rd1 and wild type mice. Pairwise comparisons. Table 7. GSSG concentrations at PN3, PN7, PN11, PN13, PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and 201 PN28 in the retina from wt and rd1 mice. Table 7.1. GSSG concentrations in the retina from rd1 and wt mice at PN3, PN7, PN11, 201-203 PN13, PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28. Pairwise comparisons. Table 8. Cys concentrations at PN3, PN7, PN11, PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28 in 204 the retina from rd1 and wt mice. Table 8.1. Cys concentrations in the retina from wt and rd1 mice at PN3, PN7, PN11, 204-205 PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28. Pairwise comparisons. Table 9. Means ± error standard of number of rows at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17 in 206 far peripheral, mid peripheral and central retina in the ONL of wt, rd1 and progesterone-treated rd1 mice. Table 10. Means ± error standard of number of TUNEL positive cells at PN11, PN13, 207 PN15 and PN17 in far peripheral, mid peripheral and central retina in the ONL of wt, rd1 and progesterone-treated rd1 mice. Table 11. a- and b-waves amplitude and a- and b- latency values of wt, rd1 and 208

Table 12. Glutamate concentrations at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17 in the retinas from209

progesterone-treated rd1 mice at PN13, PN15 and PN17.

wt, rd1 and progesterone-treated rd1 mice.

Table 13. Cys concentrations at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17 in the retina from wt, rd1209and progesterone-treated rd1 mice.

Table 14. GSH concentrations at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17 in the retina from wt, rd1209and progesterone-treated rd1 mice.

Table 15. GSSG concentrations at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17 in the retina from wt, 210 rd1 and progesterone-treated with rd1 mice.

-ABBREVIATIONS

- ALLO: 3α , 5α tetrahydroprogesterone
- AMD: age-related macular degeneration
- AMPA: amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionate
- ApoE: apolipoprotein E
- ARVO: Association for Research in Vision and Ophthalmology
- BBB: blood-brain barrier
- BSA: bovine serum albumin
- BRB: blood-retina barrier
- Ca²⁺: calcium ion
- cAMP: cyclic adenosine monophosphate
- cGMP: cyclic guanosine monophosphate
- Cl⁻: atomic chlorine
- CNG: cation nucleotide-gated
- CNS: central nervous system
- CNTF: cilliary neurotrophic factor
- CO₂: Carbon dioxide
- Cys: Cysteine
- CysS: Cystine
- DAPI: 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole
- DHEA: dehydroepiandrosterone
- DHEAS: dehydroepiandrosterone sulfate
- DHP: 5*a* dihydroprogesterone
- DNFB: 1-fluoro-2, 4-dinitrobenzene
- DPX: mounting xilene free
- ERG: electroretinogram
- Et-OH: ethanol
- GABA: γ-aminobutyric acid
- GAD-65: glutamic acid decarboxylase
- GCL: ganglion cell layer
- GDNF: glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor
- GFAP: Glial fibrilary acidic protein
- GLAST: glial L-glutamate/ L-aspartate transporter

- GMP: guanosine monophosphate
- GPx: glutathione peroxidase
- GR: glutathione reductase
- GS: glutamine synthetase
- GSH: glutathione
- GSSG: glutathione disulfide
- GTP: guanosine triphosphate
- HPLC: High-performance liquid chromatography
- IAA: iodoacetic acid
- IL-1β: interleukin-1β
- ILM: inner limiting membrane
- INL: inner nuclear layer
- iNOS: inducible nitric oxide synthase
- IPL: inner plexiform layer
- i.p.: intraperitoneal
- IS: inner segment
- LCA: Leber's congenital amaurosis
- mGluR: metabotropic glutamate receptors
- MS: multiple sclerosis
- Na⁺: sodium ion
- NADPH: Nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate
- NFkB: Nuclear factor Kappa B
- NMDA: N-methyl-D-aspartate
- 'NO: nitric oxide
- NO²⁻: nitric dioxide
- $O_2^{\cdot -}$: superoxide anion
- OCT: optical coherence tomography
- OGD: oxygen-glucose deprivation
- 'OH: hidroxyl radical
- OLM: outer limiting membrane
- ONL: outer nuclear layer
- OPL: outer plexiform layer

- OS: outer segment
- P4: progesterone
- PB: phosphate buffer
- PBS: phosphate buffered saline
- PCA: perclhoric acid
- PDE: phosphodiesterase
- PDE6: phosphodiesterase 6
- PFA: paraformaldehyde
- PN: post-natal day
- PREG: pregnenolone
- RCS: reactive chlorine species
- rd1: retinal degeneration 1
- rd10: retinal degeneration 10
- rds: retinal degeneration slow
- RHO: rhodopsin
- ROS: reactive oxygen species
- RNS: reactive nitrogen species
- RP: retinitis pigmentosa
- RPE: retinal pigment epithelium
- RT: room temperature
- SOD: superoxide dismutase
- TBI: traumatic brain injury
- TGF-β: Transforming growth factor beta
- TNF-α: tumor necrosis factor
- TPx: peroxiredoxins
- TUDCA: tauroursodeoxycholic acid
- TUNEL: Terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase dUTP nick end labelling
- wt: wild-type

-INTRODUCTION

The visual sense is the most precious of all human senses and blindness is the most feared sensory health threat in our society. This is particularly true when one realizes life habits in Spain and developed countries, where visual communication dominates, playing a fundamental role in social integration.

The eye (Figure 1) is the organ that facilitates vision in vertebrates and most of the invertebrate organisms. It forms, together with the optic nerve and the primary visual cortex among other structures and circuits, the visual system.

In some eye diseases the retina becomes damaged or compromised and degenerative changes eventually lead to death of the retinal neurons and, as a consequence, to vision loss (Krumpaszky & Klauss, 1996). Major retinal pathologies include glaucoma, where elevated pressure in the eye leads to retinal ganglion cell death; diabetic retinopathy, where blood vessels in the retina become leaky with serious consequences; age related macular degeneration (AMD) and others less common like retinitis pigmentosa (RP) Stargardt disease, and Usher syndrome.

The present study focuses mostly on an animal model that mimics the RP condition, while the broader aim is to better understand retinal photoreceptor degeneration in an animal model of RP (rd1) in order to try to find a possible treatment.



Figure1. Vertical sagital section of the adult human eye (Kolb, 2003).

1. THE RETINA

The retina is a specialized sensory organ capable of transforming light into electric signals that are transmitted via the optic nerve to the visual centers of the brain. It is composed of a thin sheet of neural tissue covering 2/3 of the inner surface of the posterior part of the eye (Lamba *et al.*, 2009). It is essentially a portion of the forebrain projected towards frontal or anterior surface of the organism, and consists of highly specialized neurons with the exclusive function of light perception and first order signal processing.

The retina and optic nerve are formed during the last stages of embryonic development (Duke-Elder, 1963; Mann, 1964; Sadler, 1990; Kolb, 2003). The retina derives during embryogenesis from the neuroectoderm, a part of the ectoderm that gives rise to the central nervous system formation (CNS) (Sadler, 1990). The mature mammalian retina consists of two distinct tissues: the neural retina, composed of neurons and glial cells, and the retinal pigmented epithelium (RPE), a single epithelial cell layer (Figure 2). The cells of the neural retina derive from multipotent progenitor cells and their differentiation follows a precise chronological order that is found in many species (Turner & Cepko, 1987; Holt *et al.*, 1988; Wetts & Fraser, 1988; Cepko, 1993).



Figure 2. Cellular structure of normal retina from a control mouse. RPE: retinal pigment epithelium; OLM: outer limiting membrane; ONL: outer nuclear layer; OPL: outer plexiform layer; INL: inner nuclear layer; IPL: inner plexiform layer; GCL: ganglion cell layer; ILM: inner limiting membrane (Scale bar = 50μ m).

The retina has numerous similarities with the brain: the principal cells are neurons, such as the photoreceptors; the blood retina barrier (BRB) is analogous to the bloodbrain barrier (BBB) and has similar functionality; both employ glial cells (micro- and macroglia) to maintain the health and function of the neurons (Dowling, 1987).

The retina is a seven-layer structure, with light entering the ganglion cell layer (GCL) first. From there light must penetrate each layer with its cells (bipolar, amacrine and horizontal cells) before reaching the rods and cones, the two types of photoreceptors that absorb light and transform it into electrical signals that initiate downstream events that are essential for the sense of sight (Dowling, 1987).

The signal is transmitted to bipolar cells, responsible for processing and transmitting the signal. Amacrine and horizontal cells are also involved in perpetuating the signal from the photoreceptors. Ganglion cells receive the signal from the bipolar cells and connect, via the optic nerve, directly to the brain for further processing and visual perception (Dowling, 1987; Kolb, 2003; Sancho-Pelluz *et al.*, 2008).

Metabolic supply is partially provided by the retinal pigment epithelium cells.

1.1. STRUCTURE OF THE RETINA

The mature neural retina shows a highly organized structure (Duke-Elder & Shimkin, 1926) composed of three cellular layers (Figure 2 and Table 1):

- the outer nuclear layer (ONL), composed of photoreceptors,
- the inner nuclear layer (INL), containing neurons (horizontal, bipolar, amacrine and interplexiform cells) and retinal Müller glial cells, and
- the ganglion cell layer (GCL), that contains in addition to ganglion cells also displaced amacrine cells and astrocytes (Ogden, 1978; Boycott & Hopkins, 1981).

And two synaptic layers separate these nuclear layers:

- the outer plexiform layer (OPL) and
- the inner plexiform layer (IPL).

The axons of the ganglion cells converge to the exit of the optic nerve, forming the nerve fiber layer.

Retinal pigment epithelium	RPE	Monolayer of cells located behind the retina, which supports the neuroretina, among other responsabilities.
Outer limiting membrane	OLM	Barrier between the subretinal space and the outer nuclear layer.
Outer nuclear layer	ONL	Where the photoreceptor nuclei and cell bodies are located.
Outer plexiform layer	OPL	The synapses between rods and cones and the INL neuronal cells are established in this layer.
Inner nuclear layer	INL	Include cell bodies of bipolar, horizontal, and amacrine cells. Müller cell somas are located here.
Inner plexiform layer	IPL	Synapses between the INL cells and the ganglion cells.
Ganglion cell layer	GCL	Monolayer composed of ganglion cells.
Optic nerve fiber layer		Formed by the axons of ganglion cells on their way to the brain.
Inner limiting membrane	ILM	Separate the retina from the intravitreal space.

Table 1. Layers of the retina.

1.2. RETINAL CELL TYPES

The retina is constituted by the pigment epithelium (non-neuronal layer) and the neuronal retina (neuronal cell layers).

1.2.1. Retinal Pigment epithelium

The retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) consists of a single layer of cuboid shaped epithelial cells, situated between the photoreceptors of the neural retina and the choroid, where it controls the flow of nutrients from the choroidal vascular system to the retina. This layer extends from the optic nerve until the ora serrata. The RPE serves many purposes: development and maintenance of the neural retina (Raymond & Jackson, 1995), phagocytosis of the old photoreceptor outer segments discs, formation of a barrier between the choroid and the photoreceptor layer (Cunha-Vaz, 1979), and absorption and dispersion of the light. It also harbours components of the retinoid acid cycle and is involved in the formation and continuous regeneration of visual pigments

(Young, 1978; Bok, 1985; Clark, 1986; Raymond & Jackson, 1995; Bok, 1999; Marmor, 1999; Kolb, 2003; Forrester *et al.*, 2005).

1.2.2. Neuronal retina

The neuronal retina consists of the rest of the layers mentioned above. The principal neurons of the retina are (Figure 3):



Figure 3. Simple diagram of the organization of the retina (Kolb, 2003).

1.2.2.1. Photoreceptors

Photoreceptors are polarized neurons that capture light and transform this energy into a chemical message through a process called phototransduction (Fu & Yau 2007; Wensel, 2008). Photoreceptors have a very high metabolic demand, which in normal conditions is supplied by the choroidal and intraretinal circulation (Bill & Sperber, 1990; Foulds, 1990). Their cell bodies are localized in the outer nuclear layer and are in tight contact with the RPE and retinal Müller glial cells, forming synapses with cells of the inner nuclear layer (bipolar and horizontal cells) (Figure 3).

Photoreceptors are the most abundant cell type in the retina and are divided into two types, rods and cones (Figure 4). Rods are specialized for low-light vision. They are extremely sensitive and can signal the absorption of single photons (Fu & Yau, 2007). Cones mediate daylight vision. They are much less sensitive to light than rods, but have higher temporal resolution. The presences of typically more than one type of cones in the retina mediates color vision (Fu & Yau, 2007).



Figure 4. A mammalian rod (a) and a cone (b) photoreceptor in mammalian retina. Light enters the photoreceptors through the inner segment and is funneled to the outer segment that contains the photopigments (Baylor, 1987).

Both are composed of an outer segment (OS), inner segment (IS), nucleus, and synaptic body. Rows of membranous discs comprise the outer segment and contain the visual pigments and other proteins involved in phototransduction. These discs are constantly replaced in the base of the segment, and are phagocytosed by the pigment epithelium cells (Steinberg *et al.*, 1977). The inner segments contain the mitochondria. The segments are separated from the nucleus and the synaptic body by the barrier between the subretinal space and the outer nuclear layer (OLM). The visual pigments contained in the discs are called the opsins of which there are several different types. In rods, for instance, we find rhodopsin; while in cones there are at least four different classes of opsins, also known as photopsins (Nathans *et al.*, 1986). The synaptic

terminations of rods are called spherules (De Robertis & Franchi, 1956), while the cone synaptic terminations are known as pedicles.

Photoreceptors synapse onto the neuronal cells of the INL. They release the neurotransmitter glutamate in dark conditions, when the membrane is depolarized and cations (Na⁺, Ca²⁺) flow freely across cell membranes. When exposed to light, cyclic-nucleotide-gated (CNG) channels close, the cell goes into a hyperpolarized state, and photoreceptors stop releasing glutamate (Davson, 1980; Kolb, 2003; Forrester *et al.*, 2005).

The adult human retina contains about 96 million photoreceptors, of which approximately 5% are cones, and the remainder are rod photoreceptors (Curcio *et al.*, 1990). In mouse, only 1% of the photoreceptors are cones (Jeon *et al.*, 1998). In the human retina, photoreceptors have not a homogenous distribution. Rods are more concentrated in the periphery (or ora serrata), and cones are progressively concentrated toward the centre of the retina (Curcio *et al.*, 1990).

Humans, primates, and some birds (e.g. eagles) have a fovea, an extremely cone rich spot that perceptually facilitates highly detailed and finely focused images. In the centre of the retina lies the papilla, a portion of the inner surface of the eye without photoreceptors (blind spot), where the optic nerve leaves the retina on its way to the lateral geniculate nucleus, further perpetuating the neural information to the visual cortex. The papilla also serves as the portal through which the vasculature accesses the retina (Davson, 1980).

Rods photoreceptor cells

Rods are cylindrically shaped cells (Figure 4). Their outer segment discs contain rhodopsin.

In rods, sensitivity to light is very high, allowing them to function well even in dim light. They are largely responsible for peripheral and night vision. In the human retina, rods are more concentrated in the periphery than in the centre (Curcio *et al.*, 1990).

7

Cones photoreceptor cells

Cones mainly facilitate daylight vision, colour perception, and visual acuity (central vision). Cones are larger than rods but fewer in number.

There are three classes of cones in humans, each one absorbing light of different wavelengths: red cones or long wave length cones (maximum absorption at 564 nm, also referred to as L-cones), green cones or medium wave length cones (maximum absorption at 533 nm, M-cones), and blue cones or short wave length cones (maximum absorption at 437 nm, S-cones) (Wald, 1951; Marks *et al.*, 1964; Nathans *et al.*, 1986). Opposite to humans and primates, most mammals have only two types of cones: M and S. Mice are dichromats expressing an M opsin and an ultraviolet (UV)-wavelength sensitive opsin (Jacobs *et al.*, 1991).

1.2.2.2. Bipolar cells

There are more than eleven different classes of human bipolar cells. Dendrites from these cells link in the OPL with the synaptic axons of photoreceptors and horizontal cells (Figure 3). Cell bodies are located in the INL and the axons project to the IPL.

Bipolar cells are stimulated by photoreceptors and transmit their signals to the ganglion cells. Glutamate, the photoreceptor neurotransmitter is constantly released in the dark (Kolb, 2003) rendering the photoreceptor depolarized. Upon light stimulation the photoreceptor responds with a hyperpolarization, and inhibition of transmitter release. The postsynaptic bipolar cells respond with either hyperpolarization or depolarization of their membranes. The hyperpolarizing type of bipolar cell is called an OFF-center cell while the depolarizing bipolar cell is called an ON-center cell (Kolb, 2001). Rod photoreceptors transfer the signal to ON bipolar cells, cone photoreceptors signal to either ON or OFF bipolar cells (Figure 5). Signals from ON and OFF bipolar cells stimulated by cones are transmitted to ganglion cells, signals from ON bipolar cells stimulated by rods are transmitted to amacrine cells.

Introduction



Figure 5. ON and OFF pathways along bipolar cells. Photoreceptors transmit information to bipolar cells using the molecule glutamate, but different bipolar cells respond differently to the presence of the molecule; some fire in response, whereas others cease firing, depending on the kind of glutamate receptor on their surface. ON bipolar cells have a depolarizing receptive field (a, b); OFF cells have a hyperpolarizing receptive field (c). Contrary to what one might expect, photoreceptors stop releasing glutamate when stimulated by light, in turn causing ON bipolar cells to release glutamate (from Hauck, 2005).

1.2.2.3. Horizontal cells

The horizontal cells modulate the electric signal coming from photoreceptors laterally and provide a first level of treatment of visual information. They are situated in the inner nuclear layer neighboring the outer plexiform layer where they form synapses with photoreceptors (Kolb, 2003).

Mammals have three classes of horizontal cells (HI, HII, and HIII). HI maintains connections with pedicles of the three different types of cones and HII may link mostly with pedycles from the S cones. HIII does not connect with S cones, but with the other classes (Kolb *et al.*, 1994). HII links also with the synapses of the rod spherules (Kolb, 1974). Even though their primary function is related to amplifying and transferring signals to ganglion cells, they also seem to help in depolarising the cones after being hyperpolarised by photon stimulation (Kamermans & Spekreijse, 1999).

Horizontal cells are also responsible for allowing eyes to adjust to see well under both bright and dim light conditions (Fu, 2010).

1.2.2.4. Amacrine cells

The name of these cells was first proposal by Santiago Ramon y Cajal because they are nerve cells thought to lack an axon (Ramon y Cajal, 1892). Their cellular bodies lie in the INL, while their neuritic prolongations are found in the IPL. Rather than direct synapses with the photoreceptors, amacrine cells are connected by way of bipolar and other amacrine cells (Dowling and Boycott, 1966). Currently, it is well known that certain large field amacrine cells of the vertebrate retina can have long "axon-like" processes. There are about 40 different morphological subtypes of amacrine cells. They are classified by the width of their receptive field, which layer(s) of the stratum in the IPL they are in, and by neurotransmitter type. Horizontal cells and amacrine cells directly modify the activation or inhibition of bipolar cells by releasing amino acids, catecholamines, peptides and nitric oxide (Kolb, 2003; Forrester *et al.*, 2005).

1.2.2.5. Ganglion cells

These cells are larger than the other retinal neurons and receive their information directly from cone-bipolar cells or from amacrine cells (rod-derived signals) and transmit action potentials along their axons to higher brain centers for the processing of visual information. The axons of the ganglion cells together form the optic nerve fiber layer (Forrester *et al.*, 2005).

In human retinas, two basic types of ganglion cells exist that receive signals from cone-bipolar cells (Kuffler, 1973): ON-center ganglion cells are activated when a spot of light falls in the center of their receptive field and are inactivated when light falls on the cell's periphery (see Figure 6, left panel). OFF-center ganglion cells react the opposite way (see Figure 6, left panel). In the human fovea, which contains only cone photoreceptors, a different type of ganglion cells exists: the midget ganglion cell, connected in a one-to-one ratio with midget bipolar cells (see Figure 6, right panel). The one-to-one signal transmission produces a point-to-point image from the fovea transferred to the brain (Kolb, 2001).

10



Interestingly, some ganglion cells are light-sensitive themselves, and appear to be involved in the regulation of the pupillary reflex and circadian rhythm (Berson, 2007).

Figure 6. Processing of cone-derived signals along ON and OFF pathways. Human retinas have two types of ganglion cells: ON-center and OFF-center cells. ON center ganglion cells are activated when a spot of light falls in the center of their receptive fields, whereas OFF-center ganglion cells fire in response to light falling on their fields' periphery leaving their center dark. Horizontal cells convey antagonistic surround signals to bipolar cells and thence to ganglion cells. Ganglion cells have receptive fields with a Mexican-hat shape, reflecting their integration of opposing information about centers and surrounds. This kind of processing helps sharpen the boundaries of images. In the fovea (right), ganglion cells have much narrower receptive fields; in fact, each carries information from a single cone. A cone feeds information to two of these midget ganglion cells; at all times each foveal cone transmits either an ON or an OFF signal to the brain. This signal also carries a colour message regarding the type of cone (red or green) it comes from (Hauck, 2005).

1.2.3. Other retinal cells: Glia

The retina also contains glial cells: astro- and microglia. Three basic types of glial cell are found in the retina: Müller cells, microglia and astroglia (Ogden, 1978; Boycott & Hopkins, 1981). They primarily provide support for the neurons, but they can also act as part of the innate immune system, like the microglia which may phagocytose invasive molecules.

1.2.3.1. Müller cells

Considered the "oligodendrocytes of the retina", the Müller cells are the most numerous glial cells in the retina. Müller cell bodies are located in the inner nuclear layer (INL) and project irregularly thick and thin processes from the internal limiting membrane (ILM) to the bases of the rods and cones where they form a row of junctional complexes called the outer limiting membrane. Müller cells derive from the same progenitor cells as neurons during retinal development and they play a primordial role in the orientation, migration and movement of neurons (Rakic, 1981). Apart from critical architectural structural support, the functions of Müller cells are diverse: they provide metabolic support for retinal neurons, play a central role in the homeostatic regulation of the retina and maintain low synaptic levels of neurotransmitters protecting neurons from neurotransmitter excesses, mop up neural waste products (such as carbon dioxide and ammonium), phagocytose dead tissue, participate in the recycling of amino-acids, secrete neuroactive substances, such as GABA (y-aminobutyric acid), taurine or dopamine, and synthesize retinoic acid from retinol (Newman et al., 1984; Karwoski et al., 1989; Edwards, 1994; Otori et al., 1994; Derouiche & Rauen, 1995; Reichenbach & Robinson, 1995; Poitry-Yamate et al., 1995; Poitry et al., 2000). They contribute to the generation of the electroretinogram (ERG) b-wave (Miller & Dowling, 1970; Newman & Odette, 1984), the slow P3 component of the ERG (Karwoski & Proenza, 1977) and the scotopic threshold response (STR) (Frishman & Steinberg, 1989).

1.2.3.2. Astrocytes

Astrocytes are not intrinsic to the retina, but during development they migrate along the optic nerve and insert into the retina (Watanabe & Raff, 1988). These cells

12

are characterized by a flat cellular body and radial filaments. In the mature retina, astrocytes are found in the ganglion cell layer and optic fiber layer where also numerous blood vessels are situated (Bussow, 1980). Astrocytes play a role in the construction of the blood-retina barrier and can modulate the growth of endothelial cells (Jiang *et al.*, 1993) and it seems that they act to protect the synaptic surfaces of the neurons (Forrester *et al.*, 2005).

<u>1.2.3.3. Microglial cells or microglia</u>

Microglia defends against pathogenic agents by phagocytosis (Del Río-Hortega, 1949). During embryonic development, progenitor myeloid cells from bone marrow enter the CNS and specifically into the retina (Kreutzberg, 1996). Once there, they become microglial cells, in charge of the innate immune response in nervous tissue. In the healthy retina, these cells are quiescent in the inner layers, but they become active and migrate wherever there is degeneration, trauma, cell death, inflammation or any form of lesion (Hao *et al.*, 2002; Kolb, 2003).

1.3. RETINAL FUNCTION: PHOTOTRANSDUCTION

Light enters the mammalian eye through the pupil and after transversion of the vitreous body enters the retina. The photons pass all retinal layers and are finally absorbed at the outer segments of rod and cone photoreceptors where the electromagnetic wave is transformed into an electrochemical signal (phototransduction) (Wald, 1951; Molday, 1998; Fu & Yau 2007; Wensel 2008).

The phototransduction cascade (Figure 7) in rods is initiated by rhodopsin, a seven transmembrane-domains protein covalently linked to an 11-*cis* retinal chromophore. Photoexcitation converts 11-*cis* retinal to its all-*trans* isomer, creating Meta II rhodopsin, which catalyses the activation of the G-protein transducin. This in turn leads to the activation of phosphodiesterase (PDE), which hydrolyses cGMP to 5'-GMP. One rhodopsin molecule can activate multiple PDE molecules, amplifying the signal. The decrease in intracellular cGMP causes the cGMP-gated cation channels in the outer segment membrane to close (Kennan *et al.*, 2005). Without the balanced influx of Ca²⁺ the cell becomes hyperpolarized. This leads to inhibition of neurotransmitter glutamate release from the synaptic region of the photoreceptors and subsequently to

13

the generation of an electric signal in the downstream neuron of the activated photoreceptor (bipolar cell) (Massey, 1990) which is finally sent to the visual cortex. The resting phase is restored when Meta II rhodopsin is inactivated by rhodopsin kinase and binds to arrestin (S-antigen). Transducin and PDE are inactivated and disassociate due to the hydrolysis of the bound GTP by intrinsic GTPase activity of the transducin α subunit. The low level of intracellular Ca²⁺ caused by the closure of the cGMP-gated channels activates guanylate cyclase, which synthesizes cGMP. As intracellular levels of cGMP increase, the cGMP-gated Na⁺ and Ca²⁺ channels reopen and a depolarized dark state is reestablished. As Ca²⁺ levels raise again, guanylate cyclase activity is inhibited and cGMP synthesis returns to basal levels (Slaughter & Miller, 1981; Dowling, 1987; Pierce, 2001; Masland, 2001; Kolb, 2003; Forrester *et al.,* 2005).



Figure 7. Phototransduction cascade. Light induced phototransduction takes place in the membrane discs of rod outer segments. The absorption of a photon induces the formation of activated rhodopsin, which then activates transducin. Activated transducin activates phosphodiesterase, which then catalyses the hydrolysis of cGMP to GMP. This leads to a closure of cGMP-gated cation channels in the outer membrane segment and to a hyperpolarisation of the photoreceptor cell (Farrar *et al.,* 2002).

1.4. ELECTRORETINOGRAPHY AND OPTICAL COHERENCE TOMOGRAPHY

1.4.1 Electroretinography

Electroretinography (ERG) is an important electrophysiological technique used to evaluate retinal function in humans and animals (Narfström & Nilsson, 1985; Ofri, 2002). It is used for the evaluation of retinal function prior to cataract surgery, as well as applied in the early diagnosis of inherited retinal degenerations, in the diagnosis of sudden acquired retinal degeneration and optic neuritis, and more recently, in the monitoring of therapeutic responses and in the evaluation of new drugs in toxicity studies (Ford *et al.,* 2003; Ropstad *et al.,* 2007; Messias *et al.,* 2008; Vaegan & Narfström, 2008; Marmor *et al.,* 2009; Jeong *et al.,* 2010).

The basic method of recording the electrical response known as the global or fullfield ERG, is performed by stimulating the eye with a bright light source such as a flash produced by light emiting diodes (LEDs) or a strobe lamp. The flash light elicits a biphasic waveform recordable at the cornea similar to that illustrated below (Figure 8). The two components that are most often measured are the a- and b-waves. The awave is the first large negative component, followed by the b-wave which is corneal positive and usually larger in amplitude (http://webvision.med.utah.edu/).



The basic waveform of the ERG

Figure 8. Biphasic waveform of a typical normal patient (http://webvision.med.utah.edu/).

The a-wave reflects the general physiological health of the photoreceptors in the outer retina (Penn & Hagins, 1969; Miller & Dowling, 1970). In contrast, the b-wave reflects the health of the inner layers of the retina, including the ON bipolar cells and the Müller cells (Miller & Dowling, 1970; Stockton & Slaughter, 1989; Xu & Karwoski,

1994; Robson & Frishman, 1995; Shiells & Falk, 1999; Jeong *et al.*, 2010; Jae *et al.*, 2013). Two other waveforms that are sometimes recorded in the clinic are the c-wave, originated in the pigment epithelium (Marmor & Hock, 1982) and the d-wave indicating activity of the OFF bipolar cells.

Two principal measures of the ERG waveform can be taken: 1) The amplitude (a) from the baseline to the negative trough of the a-wave, and the amplitude of the b-wave measured from the trough of the a-wave to the following peak of the b-wave; and 2) the time (t) from flash onset to the trough of the a-wave and the time (t) from flash onset to the trough of the a-wave and the time (t) from flash onset to the bewave (Figure 9). These times, reflecting peak latency, are referred to as "implicit times" in the jargon of electroretinography (http://webvision.med.utah.edu/).



Figure 9. Amplitud and implicit time measurements of the ERG waveform (http://webvision.med.utah.edu/).

1.4.2 Optical Coherence Tomography

Optical coherence tomography (OCT) is a novel method of retinal imaging (Fujimoto, 2003; Fischer *et al.*, 2009). Recent studies with custom-made equipment support the applicability of OCT in rodents, the ability to detect retinal lesions, and the potential to follow disease processes over time (Kim *et al.*, 2008; Xu *et al.*, 2009).

Technically, OCT uses a weakly coherent infrared laser to analyze the reflectance properties of a sample (Fujimoto, 2003). Each resulting data set consists of a large number of topographically ordered depth profiles (A-Scans), which together represent a two-dimensional slice (similar to an ultrasound scan) across the sample. Several
consecutive slices together may be used to generate a three-dimensional data set ("volume scan") (Fischer *et al.,* 2009).

The OCT provides a high-resolution depth profile based on reflectivity that correlates well with histomorphological sections (Figure 10) (Fischer *et al.,* 2009). However, the resulting image is different as conventional imaging and histology are based on absorption (light shade-little absorption, dark shade-strong absorption), whereas OCT is based on reflectivity (light shade-weak reflectivity, dark shade-strong reflectivity) (Fischer *et al.,* 2009). In particular, membranous surfaces are extremely well detected in OCT regardless of their physical extension. Consequently, membrane-rich but less optically dense layers like plexiform and nerve-fiber layers are represented in a darker shade of gray than optically more dense layers with less membrane content like the outer nuclear layer (Fischer *et al.,* 2009) (Figure 10).

The high resolution capability together with other properties such as ease of use, lack of ionizing radiation, patient comfort, and low cost have made OCT extremely popular for imaging retinal cell layers in the macular cube in both ophthalmology (Fujimoto *et al.*, 2003) and neurology (Jindahra *et al.*, 2010). For example, patients have quantitative abnormalities of different retinal layers in multiple sclerosis (MS) (Frohman *et al.*, 2008; Saidha *et al.*, 2011; 2012), type 1 diabetes (van Dijk *et al.*, 2009), Alzheimer's disease (Kirbas *et al.*, 2013), Parkinson's disease (Hajee *et al.*, 2009), and glaucoma (Guedes *et al.*, 2003).



Figure 10. Representation of retinal layers in OCT and histology (Fischer *et al.,* 2009).

2. RETINITIS PIGMENTOSA

The disease named Retinitis pigmentosa (RP) is a genetically and phenotypically heterogeneous family of inherited blinding diseases that result in selective photoreceptor cell death. Inherited retinal degeneration is a broad term applied to dystrophies including retinitis pigmentosa, Ushers syndrome and others, which result in a progressive loss of vision.

RP is the most widespread of these disorders, affecting approximately 1.5 million individuals worldwide, and causing visual disability among younger people (Herse, 2005) with an incidence of 1 in 4000 (Bunker *et al.*, 1984).

It is believed to be the most prevalent cause of registered blindness in working populations in non-tropical countries (Doonan & Cotter, 2004).

Main symptoms of RP include progressive loss of visual functions with nightblindness or nyctalopia, which results from rod photoreceptor degeneration (typically, rod photoreceptor cells permit vision under dim light conditions). As rod cells die, cone photoreceptor viability (the source of high-resolution colour vision in daylight), is compromised and the disease progresses towards loss of peripheral fields, tunnel vision and finally blindness. Other characteristic features include pigmentary deposits in advanced RP and attenuation of the retinal blood vessels (Doonan & Cotter, 2004).

Mutations in 36 different genes have been found to cause RP, and mutations in many more cause widespread rod cell death in association with syndromes that have extraocular manifestations (Miranda *et al.*, 2010). Inheritance can follow an autosomal dominant (30-40% of cases), autosomal recessive (50-60%), Xlinked or digenic pattern (5-15%) (Hartong *et al.*, 2006).

The enormous genetic heterogeneity among the diseases that constitute RP is a problem for the development of treatments that deal with primary genetic defects.

Genes implicated in RP include, rhodopsin (RHO), the catalytic phosphodiesterase (PDE) subunits α (PDE6 α) and β (PDE6 β), the α subunit of rod cGMP-gated channel (CNGA1) and arrestin (SAG) (Danciger *et al.*, 1995; Dryja *et al.*, 1990a; 1990b; 1995, Huang *et al.*, 1995; McLaughlin *et al.*, 1993; 1995; Nakamachi *et al.*, 1998). Mutations in the rhodopsin gene are the most common and may affect protein folding, stability

or trafficking (Sung *et al.*, 1991). Structural components of photoreceptors, such as peripherin also harbour mutations causative of RP. Peripherin is located in rod and cone outer segment discs and appears to be critical for the formation and stabilization of photoreceptor outer segments (Goldberg *et al.*, 1998). Over 40 mutations have been identified within the peripherin gene of patients with retinal dystrophies (Keen & Inglehearn, 1996). Mutations in genes such as 11-*cis* retinal dehydrogenase (Yamamoto *et al.*, 1999) and cellular retinaldehyde binding protein (Maw *et al.*, 1997), required for recycling of the rhodopsin chromophore 11-*cis* retinaldehyde, also result in RP.

In particular, recessive defects in the gene encoding the β -subunit of cyclic GMP phosphodiesterase have been identified as causes not only of RP in humans (McLaughlin *et al.*, 1993; 1995) but also of retinal degeneration in mice widely used for eye research (rd1 mice) (Bowes *et al.*, 1990; Pittler *et al.*, 1991; Kaneko *et al.*, 2008).

Despite the diversity of retinal degeneration disorders, apoptosis of photoreceptors seems to be a feature common to all (Chang *et al.*, 1993; Dunaief *et al.*, 2002; Carella, 2003). The signalling pathways of apoptosis in photoreceptor cell death are still not fully understood (Sanvicens *et al.*, 2006). RP develops as a result of defects in genes responsible for upholding the structural and/or functional integrity of photoreceptors. In the most typical progression of RP, rods die first due to the mutation and this is followed by a mutation-independent cone cell death (Komeima *et al.*, 2006). It appears that cones depend upon rods for survival and that once rods die, the death of cones is inevitable, although the rate of cone death can vary greatly even among siblings with the same disease-causing mutation. In addition, the death of rods leads to a gradual change in retinal blood vessels that causes them to release a chemoattractant for RPE cells stimulating their transretinal migration. It is remarkable that the death of rods can have these remote effects long after they have departed (Komeima *et al.*, 2006).

Several studies have demonstrated that the eye is particularly sensitive to oxidative damage (Yu *et al.*, 2000, 2004; Shen *et al.*, 2005; Komeima *et al.*, 2006; Sanz *et al.*, 2007; Miranda *et al.*, 2010). Because of its high oxygen request and content of unsaturated lipids and its constant exposure to light, retina may be an elective site for

oxygen radical production and lipid peroxidation (Yamada *et al.,* 1999; 2001; Liang & Godley *et al.,* 2003; Okoye *et al.,* 2003).

After the death of rods, cone photoreceptors begin to die and the mechanism remains unknown. Since rods is the most numerous cell type in the retina and also the most metabolically active and the biggest consumers of oxygen, as mentioned above, one would predict that oxygen levels would increase in the outer retina as rods die. Measurements with oxygen electrodes in models of RP have shown that prediction to be correct (Yu *et al.,* 2000; 2004). The increased levels of oxygen has been shown to result in progressive oxidative damage to cones in a transgenic pig model (Shen *et al.,* 2005) and a mouse model of RP, the rd1/rd1 mice (Komeima *et al.,* 2006).

3. OXIDATIVE STRESS

Oxidative stress was defined by Helmut Sies as: "a change in the prooxidantantioxidant balance in favour of the former, potentially leading to biological damage" (Sies, 1991).

Free radicals are molecules with an unpaired electron in their outer orbit. The unpaired electron is highly reactive as it seeks to pair with another free electron.

The most common reported cellular free radicals are:

ROS (Reactive oxygen species)

- Superoxide Anion (O₂⁻⁻).
- Hidroxyl radical (·OH).

RNS (Reactive nitrogen species)

- Nitric oxide ('NO).
- Nitric dioxide (NO₂⁻).

RCS (Reactive chlorine species)

• Atomic Chlorine ('Cl).

Other molecules like hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂), peroxynitrite (ONOO-), hyplochlorus acid (HOCL) are not free radicals but they are reported to generate free radicals through various chemical reactions (Packer *et al.*, 2000; Gilgun-Sherki *et al.*, 2001).

3.1 FREE RADICALS

Free radicals play a very important role in the origin of life and biological evolution, leaving beneficial effects on the organisms (McCord, 2000).

Oxygen free radicals are involved in many biochemical activities of cells such as signal transduction, gene transcription and regulation of soluble guanylate cyclase activity (Uttara *et al.*, 2009). Nitric oxide ('NO) is an important signaling molecule that essentially regulates the relaxation and proliferation of vascular smooth muscle cells, leukocytes adhesion, platelets aggregation, angiogenesis, thrombosis, vascular tone and hemodynamics (Zheng & Storz, 2000).

Human body produce oxygen free radicals and other reactive oxygen species as byproducts through numerous physiological and biochemical processes. Oxygen related free radicals (superoxide and hydroxyl radicals) and other reactive species (hydrogen peroxide, nitric oxide, peroxynitrile and hypochlorous acid), are produced in the body, primarily as a result of aerobic metabolism (Halliwell, 1994; Poulson *et al.*, 1998)

Humans are also constantly exposed to free radicals created by electromagnetic radiation from the man-made environment such as pollutants and cigarette smoke. Natural resources such as radon, cosmic radiation, as well as cellular metabolism (respiratory burst, enzyme reactions) also add free radicals to this microenvironment (Uttara *et al.*, 2009).

3.1.1 Physiological role of free radicals

It has been demonstrated the role of free radicals in the antimicrobial and antitumor defense, as well as messengers and inductors of genes (Satriano *et al.*, 1993; Zimmerman *et al.*, 2002; Al-Shabrawey *et al.*, 2008; Hardy, 2004). They may be involved in the inactivation or activation of certain enzymes, (Fillebeen & Pantopoulos, 2002; Minamiyama *et al.*, 2007), or contribute to the regulation of the extent of the inflammatory process (Bourbon *et al.*, 2004). In addition, it has been postulated that oxidant-antioxidant balance may be involved in the apoptotic process (Gupta *et al.*, 2007; Svensk, 2004). Nitric oxide is involved in regulating functions of the immune, cardiovascular and nervous systems (Mayer & Hemmens, 1997).

3.1.2 Pathophysiological role of free radicals

Production of free radicals have been related with hypertension (Touyz, 2004; Zalba *et al.*, 2001b; Droge, 2002), cardiovascular dysfunction (Ramachandran *et al.*, 2003a), inflammation (Telfer & Brock, 2004), diabetes (Muriach *et al.*, 2006; Miranda, 2004; Hermenegildo, 1993; Johnsen-Soriano *et al.*, 2007; Arnal *et al.*, 2010), acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS) (Jareño *et al.*, 2002), atherosclerosis (Madamanchi *et al.*, 2005; Mueller *et al.*, 2005), retinitis pigmentosa (Carmody *et al.*, 1999; Hackam et at., 2004), etc.

These radicals can directly or indirectly affect several cellular and physiological mechanisms, and may lead to alterations of vital molecules, including DNA (causing mutations), membrane lipids (causing their peroxidation) and protein (by altering enzyme activities) and ultimately can produce apoptosis and cell death (Carmody *et al.,* 1999; Liang *et al.,* 2003; Yamada *et al.,* 1999; 2001; Okoye *et al.,* 2003).

3.1.3 Free radicals can be produced from different sources

Some <u>endogenous resources</u> that can produce free radicals are:

- Electron transport chain in the mitochondria (Kas & Blattna, 1986);
- Excessive activity of NADPH phagocytosis (Gabig & Babior, 1979);
- Dislocation of transition metals (Fe²⁺, Cu⁺..) from their deposits (Halliwell & Gutteridge, 1986);
- Activation of the metabolism of arachidonic acid during the inflammatory process (Winyard *et al.,* 1994);
- Activation of the enzyme nitric oxide synthase (Beckman & Brent, 1990);
- Decreased capacity of protective antioxidants mechanisms (Giugliano *et al.,* 1996);
- Hyperactivity of xanthine oxidase (Chambers *et al.,* 1985).

And some exogenous resources of free radicals are:

- Electromagnetic or cosmic radiation (Fridovich, 1983);
- Increase of transition metals in metabolic diseases and inflammatory processes (Halliwell & Gutteridge, 1986);
- Drugs or toxic compounds (Trush *et al.,* 1982).

3.2 ANTIOXIDANT DEFENSES

An antioxidant is defined as any substance which, when present at low concentrations compared to the oxidizable substrate, significantly delays or prevents oxidation of that substrate (Halliwell & Gutteridge, 1986). The term "oxidizable substrate" includes almost any macromolecule that is found in living cells, such as proteins, lipids, carbohydrates and DNA.

Detoxification of oxygen free radicals is a prerequisite for aerobic life, so an important antioxidant defense system has been developed. Antioxidants defense systems co-evolved along with aerobic metabolism to counteract oxidative damage from oxygen free radicals (Yu, 1994). Antioxidants, such as glutathione, arginine, citrulline, taurine, creatine, selenium, zinc, vitamin E, vitamin C, vitamin A and tea polyphenols help to regulate the ROS thus generated. Antioxidant defense is further supported with antioxidant enzymes, e.g. superoxide dismutase, catalase, glutathione reductase and glutathione peroxidase (Uday *et al.*, 1990; Poulson *et al.*, 1998; Yun-Zhong *et al.*, 2002).

Antioxidants are classified as exogenous (natural or synthetic) or endogenous compounds, both responsible for removal of free radicals, scavenging ROS or their precursors, inhibiting formation of ROS and binding metal ions needed for catalysis of ROS generation (Gilgun-Sherki *et al.*, 2001; Uttara *et al.*, 2009).

Natural antioxidant system is sorted in two major groups, enzymatic and nonenzymatic. Enzymatic antioxidants are comprised of limited number of proteins such as catalase, glutathione peroxidase as well as superoxide dismutase (SOD) along with some supporting enzymes. Non-enzymatic antioxidants include direct acting antioxidants, which are extremely important in defense against oxygen free radicals. Non-enzymatic antioxidants include ascorbic and lipoic acid, polyphenols and carotenoids, derived from dietary sources. The cell itself synthesizes a minority of these molecules. Indirectly acting antioxidants mostly include chelating agents that bind to redox metals to prevent free radical generation (Gilgun-Sherki *et al.,* 2001; Uttara *et al.,* 2009).

3.2.1 Metabolism of glutathione and other amino acids

3.2.1.1 Glutamic Acid

Glutamic acid or glutamate, its ionized form, is the major excitatory neurotransmitter in the brain and in the retina (Figure 11) (Michaelis, 1998; Thoreson & Witkovsky, 1999; Reis *et al.*, 2009; Kalivas, 2009). But glutamate is also neurotoxic and therefore, glutamate concentrations have to be very closely regulated.

There are two classes of glutamate receptors, divided according to their primary signal transduction mechanism: ionotropic and metabotropic (Nakanishi, 1992; Forsythe & Barnes-Davies, 1997; Zhou *et al.*, 2011) (Figure 11). The ionotropic receptors work via ion channels. The metabotropic receptors are G-protein coupled receptors. There are three subclasses of ionotropic receptors: N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA), amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionate (AMPA), and kainate type receptors. The NMDA receptors are the ones that are most associated with excitatory neurotoxicity and calcium entry into the cells. The calcium entry causes release of caspases from the mitochondria leading to apoptosis (Sucher *et al.*, 1997). NMDA receptors are made up of 3 different subunits, NR1, NR2A-D, and, in some cases, NR3A or B subunits (Chatterton *et al.*, 2002; Zhou *et al.*, 2011). The receptor is probably composed of a tetramer of these subunits. Alternative splicing further helps in adding pharmacologic differences to the action of the receptors (Chatterton *et al.*, 2002; Zhou *et al.*, 2011). There is a diversity of NMDA receptor types in different regions of the CNS (Monyer *et al.*, 1994; Sheng *et al.*, 1994; Laurie *et al.*, 1997).

At least eight metabotropic glutamate receptors (mGluR) are known (Nakanishi, 1994; Zhou *et al.*, 2011). These are subdivided into three subclasses (Conn & Pin, 1997; Schoepp *et al.*, 1999). Type I metabotropic receptors are associated with intracellular phosphatidyl inositol metabolism. Type II and III receptors are associated with an inhibitory cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP) cascade as well as other postsynaptic cascades that lead to the release of Ca²⁺ from intracellular stores. There are some data that suggest that some of the type II mGluRs are neuroprotective (Miyamoto *et al.*, 1997; Lea *et al.*, 2005) (Figure 11).



Figure 11. Glutamate activates two types of receptor: ionotropic receptors have an integral cation permeable pore, whereas metabotropic receptors activate G-protein-coupled second messenger cascades (Forsythe & Barnes-Davies, 1997).

Glutamate participates in many important physiological processes, such as developmental plasticity, long-term potentiation and development (Scheetz & Constantine-Paton, 1994; Bisti *et al.*, 1998).

The retina being an integral component of the CNS, shares many of its organizational features, including the preeminence of glutamate as its excitatory neurotransmitter. Excellent reviews of glutamate's actions in the vertebrate retina have been published (Massey, 1990; Wilson, 1994; Massey & Maguire, 1995; Thoreson & Witkovsky, 1998; Bringmann *et al.*, 2009) and other reviews dealing with retinal electrophysiology (Wu, 1994) or transmitter release (Matthews, 1996) have discussed retinal glutamatergic circuitry.

In the vertebrate retina, glutamate is released from photoreceptors and bipolar cell terminals and exerts its actions by activating postsynaptic ionotropic and/or metabotropic receptors that are expressed in most, if not all, retinal cells (Figure 12) (Thoreson & Witkovsky, 1999). Fine tuning of glutamate uptake and degradation in glial cells is essential to avoid neurotoxicity and to allow normal signal transmission between photoreceptor and bipolar cells (Derouiche & Rauen, 1995; Rauen *et al.,* 1998; Harada *et al.,* 1998; Delyfer *et al.,* 2005; Bringmann *et al.,* 2009).

Previous studies have clarified the mechanisms by which glutamate is accumulated in the extracellular space in retinal tissue (Lieth *et al.*, 2000; Li & Puro, 2002; Silva & Carvalho, 2013). In the retina, glutamate homeostasis is maintained by the glial Lglutamate/ L-aspartate transporter (GLAST) and by glutamine synthetase (GS), both being expressed in Müller glial cells (Linser *et al.*, 1984; Erecinska & Silver, 1990; Derouiche & Rauen, 1995; Rauen *et al.*, 1998; Harada *et al.*, 1998; Izumi *et al.*, 2002; Delyfer *et al.*, 2005; Bringmann *et al.*, 2009).

Glutamate toxicity has been demonstrated both in inner retinal cells and in photoreceptor terminals (Lucas & Newhouse, 1957; Olney, 1969; 1982; Yazulla & Kleinschmidt, 1980; Ingham & Morgan, 1983; Sattayasai & Ehrlich, 1987; Sattayasai *et al.*, 1989; Sahel *et al.*, 1991) and in ganglion cells (Vorwerk *et al.*, 1996; Sucher *et al.*, 1997).

Changes in glutamate metabolism have been observed in several different models of inherited retinal degeneration (Ulshafer *et al.*, 1990; Nakazawa *et al.*, 1993; Noro *et al.*, 1994; Fletcher & Kalloniatis, 1996; Kalloniatis & Tomisich, 1999; Fletcher *et al.*, 2000; Delyfer *et al.*, 2005). Ulshafer *et al.* (1990) were the first to demonstrate an increase in excitatory amino acid levels (glutamate and aspartate) around degenerating photoreceptors in the GUCY1* chick. In the Royal College of Surgeons (RCS) rat Müller's cells, Fletcher and Kalloniatis (1996; Kalloniatis & Tomisich, 1999; Fletcher *et al.*, 2000) observed an increase in aspartate and glutamine levels (both of which are either precursors or metabolites of glutamate), along with alterations in glutamate and GABA (y-aminobutyric acid) manufacturing pathways supposedly linked to abnormal Müller glial cell function. In the rds/rds mouse, an accumulation of

glutamate has also been observed in photoreceptor inner segments (Nakazawa *et al.,* 1993; Noro *et al.,* 1994).



Figure 12. Schematic diagram of a glutamate synapse. Glutamine is imported into the glutamatergic neuron (**A**) and converted into glutamate by glutaminase. Upon release into the synapse, glutamate can interact with AMPA and NMDA ionotropic receptor channels and with metabotropic receptors (MGluR) on the postsynaptic cell (**B**). Synaptic transmission is terminated by active transport of the glutamate into a neighboring glial cell (**C**) by a glutamate transporter (from Katzung *et al.*, 2010).

Finally, Delyfer and coworkers (Delyfer *et al.* 2005) studied glutamate metabolism and observed increases in glutamate and glutamine (a precursor of glutamate) levels and an increase in the expression of the L-glutamate/ L-aspartate transporter (GLAST) (similarly to the results obtained by Rauen in 1998 (Rauen *et al.,* 1998)) and in glutamine synthetase (Linser *et al.,* 1984; Erecinska & Silver, 1990; Delyfer *et al.,* 2005).

The same author demonstrated that injection of recombinant GDNF (glial cell linederived neurotrophic factor) (with neuroprotective effect on photoreceptors) significantly slows down photoreceptor degeneration, but also partially preserves visual function in the rd1 mouse (Frasson *et al.*, 1999) and in several different models of photoreceptor degeneration (McGee-Sanftner *et al.*, 2001; Wu *et al.*, 2002; Lawrence *et al.,* 2004). Although GDNF enhances the expression of GLAST (L-glutamate/ L-aspartate transporter) around the degenerating photoreceptor they failed to demonstrate whether GDNF promoted photoreceptor-survival via a decrease in free glutamate levels (Delyfer *et al.,* 2005).

The mechanisms underlying GDNF neuroprotection remain unknown (Delyfer *et al.,* 2005). In vitro, a direct survival-promoting effect on newborn rodent photoreceptors was observed (Jing *et al.,* 1996; Politi *et al.,* 2001). Now, several arguments support the hypothesis that GDNF exerts its trophic effect on photoreceptors in the rd1 mouse also indirectly through activation of intermediate Müller glial cells (Delyfer *et al.,* 2005) as other trophic factors (Zack, 2000; Harada *et al.,* 2000; Wahlin *et al.,* 2000; 2001).

Increased concentrations of extracellular glutamate have also been implicated in the pathophysiology of neuronal loss in ophthalmic disorders such as glaucoma, ischemia, diabetes, etc (Romano *et al.*, 1995; Ambati *et al.*, 1997; Brooks *et al.*, 1997; Sucher *et al.*, 1997; Lieth *et al.*, 1998; Dkhissi *et al.*, 1999; Kowluru & Kennedy, 2001; Martin *et al.*, 2002; Mattson, 2008; Bringmann *et al.*, 2009).

A dysfunctional electrogenic glutamate transport in Müller cells caused by oxidative stress has been also described in experimental diabetes (Li & Puro, 2002) and in Leber hereditary optic neuropathy (Beretta *et al.,* 2006). Human Müller cells from patients with various retinopathies such as retinal detachment, proliferative vitreoretinopathy, and glaucoma display an increase in the density of the glutamate transporter currents when compared to cells from healthy donors (Reichelt *et al.,* 1997). An increase in GLAST labeling has also been observed in experimental retinal detachment (Sakai *et al.,* 2001).

A downregulation of GLAST has been described in retinas of patients with glaucoma (Naskar *et al.*, 2000). Elevation of the intraocular pressure in experimental glaucoma causes a failure of GLAST activity resulting in a decreased accumulation of glutamate in Müller cells and a significant glutamate uptake by retinal ganglion cells; the failure of GLAST coincides with excitotoxic damage to the retina (Holcombe *et al.*, 2008). The increase in the intraocular pressure also causes inner retinal hypoxia (via compression of blood vessels) resulting in elevated formation of free radicals in the mitochondria

and lipid peroxidation that disrupts the glutamate transport in Müller cells (Bringmann *et al.,* 2009).

A malfunction of the glutamate transport into Müller cells will contribute to the increase in extracellular glutamate to excitotoxic levels (Delyfer *et al.,* 2005; Bringmann *et al.,* 2009). Transient retinal ischemia or diabetes do not significantly alter the expression of GLAST or the amplitude of GLAST-evoked membrane currents (Barnett *et al.,* 2001; Ward *et al.,* 2005; Pannicke *et al.,* 2005, 2006) but reduce the efficiency of the glutamate transport into Müller cells (Barnett *et al.,* 2001; Li & Puro, 2002); under these conditions, a high amount of glutamate is transported into photoreceptor, bipolar and ganglion cells (Barnett *et al.,* 2001).

3.2.1.2 Glutathione system

The glutathione system, includes glutathione (γ -glutamyl-Cysteinyl-glycine; GSH) and the enzymes related to its metabolism, which are also responsible for maintaining the redox state under physiological conditions (Meister & Anderson, 1983). Glutathione is a tripeptide (consisting of glutamic acid, Cysteine and glycine) of interesting biological properties due to two structural characteristics: the γ -glutamyl bond that protects it against peptidases, and the presence of a free thiol group which makes it a very reactive compound with all kinds of substances and even with itself (Meister & Anderson, 1983).

GSH (Figure 13) is the most abundant low-molecular-weight thiol, and GSH/glutathione disulfide (GSH/GSSG) is the major redox couple in animal cells (Dringen, 2000; Dickinson & Forman, 2002; Zeevalk *et al.*, 2008; Park *et al.*, 2009).



Figure 13. Chemical structure of glutathione (Filomeni et al., 2005).

GSH is a small molecule found in almost every cell. It cannot enter most cells directly and therefore must be synthesized inside the cell, from its three constituent

amino acids. It is formed in a two-step enzymatic process including, first, the formation of γ -glutamylCysteine from glutamate and Cysteine (Cys), by the activity of the γ glutamylCysteine synthetase; and second, the formation of GSH by the activity of GSH synthetase (GS) which uses γ -glutamylCysteine and glycine as substrates (Meister & Tate, 1976; Dringen *et al.*, 2000; Dikinson & Forman, 2002; Circu & Aw, 2011) (Figure 14). While its synthesis and metabolism occur intracellularly, its catabolism occurs extracellularly by a series of enzymatic and plasma membrane transport steps (Franco *et al.*, 2007).



Figure 14. Schematic diagram of glutathione synthesis (Yeast Genome Pathway Analysis, 2006).

GSH is considered to be in equilibrium between the different torns that this tripeptide may occur (Kosower & Kosower, 1978): it can be found as reduced thiol (GSH), oxidized form (GSSG) and mixed disulfides GS-S-protein.

The glutathione system is especially important for cellular defense against ROS. GSH reacts directly with radicals in nonenzymatic reactions and is the electron donor in the

reduction of peroxides catalyzed by glutathione peroxidase (GPx) (Figure 15) (Winterbourn & Metodiewa, 1994; Dringen, 2000; Dickinson & Forman, 2002). The product of the oxidation of GSH is glutathione disulfide (GSSG). GSH is regenerated from GSSG within cells in a reaction catalyzed by the flavoenzyme glutathione reductase (GR). This enzyme regenerates GSH by transferring reduction equivalents from NADPH to GSSG (Figure 15) (Filomeni *et al.*, 2005).

During the course of the reactions catalyzed by GPx and GR, GSH is recycled (Figure 15). In contrast, GSH is consumed during the generation of glutathione-S-conjugates by glutathione-S-transferases (Salinas & Wong, 1999) or by the release of glutathione from cells (Kaplowitz *et al.,* 1996). Both processes lower the level of total intracellular glutathione.

GSH, is the most important and effective endogenous antioxidant. It is considered as the body's first line of defense against oxidative stress. As an antioxidant, GSH has important functions, it is a transport and storage form of Cysteine, it is a reaction partner for the detoxification of xenobiotics, and it is a cofactor in isomerization reactions (Meister & Anderson, 1983; Dringen *et al.*, 2000). In addition, GSH maintains the thiol redox potential in cells keeping sulfhydryl groups of cytosolic proteins in the reduced form and it is also suggested that GSH plays a role in the regulation of apoptosis (van den Dobbelsteen *et al.*, 1996; Ghibelli *et al.*, 1998; Hall, 1999; Dringen, 2000; Jones, 2006).

More recent studies of the functions served by GSH in cells include modulation of protein function via thiolation which may control physiological and pathophysiological pathways including DNA synthesis and repair, protein synthesis, amino acid transport, modulation of glutamate receptors and neurohormonal signaling (Kamata & Hirata, 1999; Sen, 2000; Moran *et al.*, 2001; Jones, 2006; Zeevalk *et al.*, 2008).



Figure 15. Function of GSH as an antioxidant. GSH reacts nonenzymatically with radicals and is the electron donor for the reduction of peroxides in the reaction catalyzed by GPx. GSH is regenerated from GSSG by GR which uses NADPH as cofactor (Filomeni *et al.*, 2005).

A number of neurodegenerative diseases and psychiatric disorders have been linked to abnormal GSH levels and S-glutathionylation of proteins, such as Parkinson's disease (Sian *et al.,* 1994; Chinta *et al.,* 2006), Alzheimer's disease (Zhu *et al.,* 2006; Di Domenico *et al.,* 2009), Huntington's disease (Klepac *et al.,* 2007), and schizophrenia (Steullet *et al.,* 2006; Raffa *et al.,* 2009; Radonjic *et al.,* 2010).

Modifications of the cellular redox state of the eye are believed to contribute to the pathogenesis of many diseases and it has been demonstrated that ROS are key signalling molecules in driving apoptosis both in in vitro and in vivo models of retinal diseases (Sanvicens *et al.,* 2004; Komeima *et al.,* 2008; Miranda *et al.,* 2010).

It is known that GSH is present in the retina (Hermann & Moses, 1945) and that this tissue also has enzymatic activity associated with GSH metabolism like glutathione peroxidase, glutathione disulfide reductase, and glutathione S-transferase (Reim et al., 1972; Castorina *et al.*, 1992; Puertas et al., 1993; Dickinson & Forman, 2002; Hayes *et al.*, 2005; Chinta *et al.*, 2007). In the mammalian retina, the GSH content has been studied by biochemical analysis of total retinal GSH (Kowluru *et al.*, 1994).

No GSH immunoreactivity has been reported in outer segments of rod and cone photoreceptors from rodent, primate and zebrafish retinas (Pow & Crook, 1995; Schuette & Werner, 1998; Marc & Cameron, 2001), but Müller cells and inner retinal neurons appear to contain substantial pools of this compound (Organisciak *et al.*, 1984; Pow & Crook, 1995; Huster *et al.*, 2000). It has been postulated that because of the lack of GSH in rod and cone segments, the most useful form to mitigate lipid peroxidation damage is phagocytosis and degradation of retinal photoreceptor outer segment material by the retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) (Winkler, 2008).

Oxidative damage has been reported to be present in cone photoreceptor degeneration (Delyfer *et al.,* 2005; Ristoff *et al.,* 2007; Miranda *et al.,* 2010).

Moreover, it has been suggested that glutathione alterations can also be observed in humans affected with photoreceptors dystrophy. Two sisters with severe glutathione synthetase deficiency, an autosomal recessive inborn error of metabolism resulting in very low intracellular levels of GSH showed progressive retinal dystrophy with hyperpigmentations and maculopathy. These findings agree with a rod/cone type of retinal dystrophy (Ristoff *et al.*, 2007).

3.2.1.3 Cysteine and Cystine

Cysteine (Figure 16) is the rate-limiting substrate for GSH synthesis (Meister & Anderson, 1983). The thiol/disulfide redox couple Cysteine (Cys) and its disulfide Cystine (CysS) are the most abundant low-molecular weight thiol/disulfide redox couple in plasma and are also present in tissues (Nkabyo *et al.*, 2005; Iyer *et al.*, 2009; Go & Jones, 2011).



Figure 16. Chemical structure of Cysteine and Cystine (Griffiths et al., 2002)

Cys and CysS, are transported into the cell via sodium dependent and independent transporters, respectively (Bannai, 1984; Bannai *et al.*, 1984).

Several in vitro studies demonstrate that reversible redox reactions of thiol/disulfide couples play roles in the regulation of important cellular processes such as proliferation, differentiation, and apoptosis and have been implicated in human disease (Georges-Labouesse *et al.,* 1996; Samiec *et al.,* 1998; Kirlin *et al.,* 1999; Jones *et al.,* 2002; Moriarty-Craige *et al.,* 2005).

However, cellular thiol/disulfide are not in redox equilibrium and respond differently to chemical toxicants and physiological stimuli (Jones, 2006). The reduction potentials for the redox couples GSH/GSSG and Cys/CysS in plasma are useful indicators of systemic oxidative stress (Moriarty *et al.*, 2003) and other medically relevant physiological states.

It has been demonstrated that modifications of extracellular Cys/CysS could directly regulate cell proliferation by acting as an oxidant-reductant redox switch (Jones *et al.,* 2000). A reducing extracellular redox state modulated by Cys/CysS has been demonstrated to increase cell proliferation through a growth factor-signaling pathway in colon carcinoma cells (Jonas *et al.,* 2002), whereas cells grown under oxidizing

conditions are more sensitive to oxidant-induced apoptosis (Jiang *et al.,* 2005). A study in lung fibroblasts showed that an oxidizing extracellular redox state modulated by Cys/CysS stimulated cell proliferation and extracellular matrix expression (Ramirez *et al.,* 2007).

These combined results suggest that extracellular Cys/CysS redox-dependent proliferation may be cell type specific (Chaiswing *et al.*, 2012).

3.3 RETINITIS PIGMENTOSA AND OXIDATIVE STRESS

Increasing evidence suggests that oxidative stress contributes to the pathogenesis of many retinal degenerative disorders, including age-related macular degeneration (AMD), glaucoma, diabetic retinopathy, and light damage (Berson *et al.*, 1993, 2004; Ganea & Harding, 2006; Bazan, 2006; Miranda *et al.*, 2010).

Like other tissues, the retina is subject to oxidative damage. However, the retina possesses several features which makes it especially vulnerable to oxidation. These are the very high oxygen consumption rate of the retina, the high content of polyunsaturated fatty acids in the photoreceptor outer segments, and the light exposure of the tissue (Anderson *et al.,* 1984; Handelman & Dratz, 1986; Dentchev *et al.,* 2007).

Oxidative stress has also been implicated in the pathogenesis of RP. This is further supported by reports that show that oxidative damage is present in cone photoreceptor degeneration (Shen *et al.,* 2005; Cingolani *et al.,* 2006; Miranda *et al.,* 2010).

One hypothesis is that after rods die, oxygen utilization in the outer retina is reduced; but choroidal vessels, unlike retinal vessels, are incapable of autoregulation to decrease blood and oxygen level in the outer retina becomes markedly elevated (Usui *et al.*, 2009).

In this sense, Komeima *et al.* (2007) showed that antioxidants (α -tocopherol, ascorbic acid, Mn (III) tetrakis (4benzoic acid) porphyrin, and α -lipoic acid) decreased cone photoreceptor cell death in different mouse models of retinitis pigmentosa. Lu *et al.*, 2009) demonstrated that Gpx4 provided strong protection of retinal

structure and function in an animal model of RP. Usui *et al.* (Usui *et al.*, 2009) showed that, in RP treatment, it may be needed an increase in multiple antioxidants as they found that overexpression of superoxide dismutase 1 (SOD1) in rd1 mice increased oxidative damage and accelerated cone cell death while increased expression of SOD2 and catalase at the same time in the mitochondria of photoreceptors of rd10+/+ mice reduced superoxide radicals and oxidative damage in the retina, provided significant preservation of cone function, and reduced cone cell death. Drack *et al.* evaluated the protective effect of tauroursodeoxycholic acid (TUDCA), the active component in bear bile, on photoreceptor degeneration in different models of retinal degeneration in mice and demonstrated that TUDCA appears to be protective in retinal degenerations with later onset and slower course, in rd10 mice (Drack *et al.*, 2012).

However, our group and others have also found significant protection when antioxidants are administered while rods are dying. We have used a different combination of antioxidants (zeaxanthin, lutein, α -lipoic acid and glutathione) that drastically reduced the number of rod photoreceptors displaying oxidatively damaged DNA, and delayed the degeneration process significantly in rd1 mice (Sanz *et al.*, 2007). A similar treatment (Miranda *et al.*, 2010) increased glutathione peroxidase (GPx) activity and GSH levels and decreased Cystine concentrations in rd1 retinas.

Others have also demonstrated an increased expression of genes involved in cell proliferation pathways and oxidative stress at post-natal day 14 (the peak of rod degeneration is between PN 11-14 days), at PN35 (considered as the terminal stage of rod degeneration) (Carter-Dawson *et al.,* 1978) and at PN50 (during cone degeneration) (Hackam *et al.,* 2004). Carmody *et al.* demonstrated an early and sustained increase in intracellular reactive oxygen species accompanied by a rapid depletion of intracellular glutathione in an in vitro model of photoreceptor apoptosis and that these early changes in the cellular redox state precede disruption of mitochondrial transmembrane potential, nuclear condensation, DNA nicking, and cell shrinkage, all of which are well-characterized events of apoptotic cell death (Kerr *et al.,* 1972; Carmody *et al.,* 1999).

3.4 THERAPEUTIC STRATEGIES IN RETINITIS PIGMENTOSA

Several strategies to preserve visual functions are being studied in different RP animal models. They comprise transplantation of stem cells, RPE or retina, delivery of a large number of neuroprotective cytokines, gene therapy including replacement of missing proteins or removal of harmful molecules, implantation of subretinal or epiretinal chips or cortically based electrodes (Eckmiller, 1997; Nishida *et al.*, 2000; Young *et al.*, 2000; Kurimoto *et al.*, 2001; Zrenner, 2001; Alteheld *et al.*, 2004; Lamba *et al.*, 2006; 2009; 2010; Osakada *et al.*, 2008; 2009; Hirami *et al.*, 2009; Meyer *et al.*, 2009; Zhou *et al.*, 2011).

At present, scientific research currently focuses on two alternative ways to find a cure for inherited retinal diseases such as RP: replacement and rescue. Replacement approaches include different techniques to substitute degenerating or lost photoreceptors. And on the other hand, the rescue strategy aims at preserving or prolonging the life-span of affected photoreceptor cells.

Neuroprotection and certain gene-therapies appear to be among the most promising approaches. Because apoptosis is the final pathway of cell removal, prevention of apoptosis is conceptually feasible for all types of mutations.

This is advantageous to gene therapy, where genetic screening for each patient needs to be performed before a therapy strategy can be developed. Either the inhibition of apoptosis as the downstream final event in retinal degeneration or prolongation of photoreceptor survival by neuroprotective molecules appears to be a reasonable approach. However, successful prevention of apoptosis will require, at least in part, the understanding of neuroprotective signalling and apoptotic death mechanisms in the retina.

3.4.1 Replacement

3.4.1.1 Transplantation

Numerous attempts have been made to transplant tissue into animal models of retinal degeneration. Two different types of tissue have been transplanted: RPE transplantation, which will help when this layer is affected (Li & Turner, 1988; Sheedlo

et al., 1989; Gouras & Lopez, 1989; Lavail *et al.,* 1992; Jiang & Hamasaki, 1994; Whiteley *et al.,* 1996), and retinal neuronal transplantation, which aims at replacing the degenerated neural tissue (Delyfer *et al.,* 2004).

Many research groups have attempted to transplant embryonic or adult dissociated retinal cells, layers of photoreceptors or even entire retinae in order to replace the function of the dead photoreceptors (Kurimoto *et al.*, 2001; Zhang *et al.*, 2003; Aramant & Seiler, 2004; McGill *et al.*, 2007; Lamba *et al.*, 2006; 2009; 2010; Osakada *et al.*, 2008; 2009; Zhou *et al.*, 2011). In most cases, however, even though the transplanted tissue survives, it does not conform to the architectural organization of the host retina (Hamel, 2006; Yao *et al.*, 2011; West *et al.*, 2012). This is due largely to the nature of the subretinal and epiretinal spaces, which are partially immune privileged (Jiang *et al.*, 1993; Lund *et al.*, 2003) and therefore unaffected by the regulatory functions of the immune system.

Despite the many challenges, there have been some cases demonstrating a certain degree of integration. Latest reports have revealed that post-mitotic rod precursor cells taken from the developing mouse retina at PN1 can integrate, differentiate into rod photoreceptors, form synaptic connections with the host retinal cells and improve overall visual function (MacLaren *et al.*, 2006; Lamba *et al.*, 2006; 2009; 2010; Osakada *et al.*, 2008; 2009; West *et al.*, 2009; 2012; Zhou *et al.*, 2011; Gonzalez-Cordero *et al.*, 2013).

3.4.2. Rescue

3.4.2.1 Gene therapy

Different gene-mediated therapy strategies have been developed for the treatment of inherited retinal degenerations. In mutations leading to loss of function, the principle of gene therapy is to correct the genetic defect by the introduction of a wildtype version of the mutated gene into the cells in which normal functioning of this gene is required (Delyfer *et al.,* 2004).

Lately, different gene-mediated therapy strategies have been developed for the treatment of inherited retinal degenerations, using either viral or non-viral vectors and has resulted in the slowing down of the photoreceptor degeneration process in the rd1

mouse (Bennett *et al.,* 1996; Jomary *et al.,* 1997; Kumar-Singh & Farber, 1998; Takahashi *et al.,* 1999), in the rds mouse (Ali *et al.,* 2000) and in the RCS rat (Vollrath *et al.,* 2001).

Much progress has been made over the past years, especially in some forms of inherited retinal degeneration, such as Leber's congenital amaurosis (LCA), which can be caused by a mutation in the RPE65 gene (Li *et al.*, 2009; Zheng *et al.*, 2012).

Since the genetic causes for RP are known in many cases, gene therapy would in principle be the first choice for treatment. However, due to the variety of genes and mutations, therapy would often need to be individually designed for each patient. Furthermore, RP causing mutations usually kill the cells harbouring the mutation. By the time a human subject experiences RP symptoms, the cells carrying the original mutation are lost and hence no longer available for gene therapy.

3.4.2.2. Light Protection

Clinical evidence and data from animal studies suggest that some pigmentary retinopathies are particularly susceptible to light damage (Wang *et al.,* 1997). Patients with RP are advised to wear dark glasses outdoors. The use of amber spectacles should block ultraviolet rays and visible wavelengths up to about 527 nm (Musarella & MacDonald, 2011).

Outdoors, it is ideal to use spectacles that block ultraviolet rays and light up to approximately 550nm to filter blue light (Musarella & MacDonald, 2011). However, this therapy has been substituted by others more effective.

3.4.2.3. Neurotrophic factors

One approach towards treatment is the application of neuroprotective substances, including neurotrophic factors, growth factors, cytokines or combinations of these, in order to prevent or delay photoreceptor cell loss (LaVail *et al.*, 1998; Caffé *et al.*, 2001b; Chaum, 2003; Rhee & Yang, 2003; Azadi *et al.*, 2007). The feasibility of such an approach is supported by evidence that, when the retina degenerates, there is an activation of endogenous neuroprotective pathways, inducing production of molecules known to promote cell survival (Wen *et al.*, 1995, 1998; Gao & Hollyfield, 1996; Akhtar

et al., 2004; Roth, 2004). However, the endogenous neuroprotective programs are apparently insufficient to rescue photoreceptors from cell death.

Several neuorotrophic factors have been shown to protect photoreceptors from degeneration, including ciliary neurotrophic factor (CNTF) (Musarella & MacDonald, 2011). In different animal models of retinal degeneration, CNTF was shown to delay photoreceptor degeneration (LaVail *et al.*, 2000; Liang *et al.*, 2001; Bok *et al.*, 2002; Frasson *et al.*, 2002). A thicker outer nuclear layer was observed in treated animals, reflecting preservation of the photoreceptors and anatomical rescue (Musarella & MacDonald, 2011). Electrophysiological recordings performed to evaluate retinal function demonstrated an improvement in the scotopic and photopic responses recorded from CNTF-treated eyes compared to untreated eyes (LaVail *et al.*, 2000; Bok *et al.*, 2002; Musarella & MacDonald, 2011). Glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor (GDNF) has also been shown to have a neuroprotective effect on degenerating photoreceptors by slowing down the degeneration of rods while preserving visual function (Frasson *et al.*, 2002).

While neuroprotective factors may offer promising results in the treatment of RP in animal models, effective treatment strategies need to be developed for clinical delivery. Direct intravitreal or subretinal neurotrophic factor injections have been performed in animal models with therapeutic effect (Li *et al.*, 2010); however, an implantable device allows for long term delivery avoiding repeated injections with the risk of mechanical or infectious complications (Musarella & MacDonald, 2011).

3.4.2.4 Antioxidants

It has been reported in epidemiological studies that many antioxidant compounds possess anti-inflammatory, antiatherosclerotic, antitumor, antimutagenic, anticarcinogenic, antibacterial and antiviral activities to greater or lesser extent (Mitscher *et al.,* 1996; Owen *et al.,* 2000; Sala *et al.,* 2002).

Several studies have shown that the use of antioxidants, in vitro and in vivo delayed the photoreceptor degeneration process significantly in different RP animal models (Komeima *et al.*, 2006; 2007; Sanz *et al.*, 2007; Miranda *et al.*, 2010; Berson *et al.*, 2012; Drack *et al.*, 2012).

Komeima *et al.* (2007) showed that antioxidants (α -tocopherol, ascorbic acid, Mn (III) tetrakis (4benzoic acid) porphyrin, and α -lipoic acid) decreased cone photoreceptor cell death in different mouse models of retinitis pigmentosa. Drack *et al.* evaluated the protective effect of tauroursodeoxycholic acid (TUDCA), the active component in bear bile, on photoreceptor degeneration in different models of retinal degeneration in mice and demonstrated TUDCA appears to be protective in retinal degenerations with later onset and slower course, like the rd10 mice (Drack *et al.,* 2012). Berson *et al.* (Berson *et al.,* 2012) demonstrate that w-3 rich diet should make it possible for many patients with typical retinitis pigmentosa to retain both visual acuity and central visual field for most of their lives.

Our group and others have also found significant protection when antioxidants are administered while rods are dying. We have used a different combination of antioxidants (zeaxanthin, lutein, α -lipoic acid and glutathione) that drastically reduced the number of rod photoreceptors displaying oxidatively damaged DNA, and delayed the degeneration process significantly in rd1 mice (Sanz *et al.*, 2007). A similar treatment (Miranda *et al.*, 2010) increased glutathione peroxidase (GPx) activity and glutathione (GSH) levels and decreased Cystine concentrations in rd1 retinas.

3.4.2.5 Neurosteroids

During the last decade, a significant amount of evidence has been accumulated with respect to the neuroprotective actions played by steroids such as dehydroepiandrosterone (DHEA), dehydroepiandrosterone sulfate (DHEAS), progesterone, testosterone, and estradiol (Schumacher et al., 2000; Garcia-Segura et al., 2001; Stein, 2001; Wise et al., 2001; McEwen, 2002). It has been shown that steroids may prevent neuronal cell death triggered by different stimuli including excitotoxicity, and changes in steroidal environment may influence the neuronal fate in various neurological and mental disorders (Guarneri et al., 2003). Progesterone and estrogen influence the outcome of ischemic and traumatic injury in female and male brain, and in different ways they promote the reduction in the consequences of the injury cascade (Garcia-Segura et al., 2001; Stein, 2001; Wise et al., 2001); estrogens prevent or delayed the onset of Alzheimer's disease and cognitive deficit (Garcia-Segura et al., 2001; Wise et al., 2001) and local progesterone synthesis promotes

myelin formation during regenerating processes of injured peripheral nerves (Schumacher *et al.,* 2000).

Other studies demonstrate that 17β -estradiol protects against cell death after oxygen-glucose deprivation (OGD) in primary oligodendrocyte cultures and that protection by estrogen is dose-dependent (Takao *et al.*, 2004; Gerstner *et al.*, 2008). In both male and female astrocytes, physiological levels of 17β -estradiol added to the culture medium prevent cell death following OGD (Liu *et al.*, 2007; 2008). Other evidence indicates that both long-term and short-term pretreatments with 10 nM 17β estradiol protect cerebral endothelial cells after OGD (Guo *et al.*, 2010).

In vitro, estrogen also protects neurons against insults induced by glutamate, and beta-amyloid peptide (Sribnick *et al.,* 2004; Yao *et al.,* 2007). A number of studies have suggested that estrogen may suppress microglial activation, an effect that could help mediate estrogen neuroprotection (Mor *et al.,* 1999).

Similar knowledge is now emerging in some forms of primary and secondary retinal degeneration: estrogen is thought to have a role in age- and gender-associated ocular disease and to prevent retinal ischemia reperfusion injury (Sator *et al.,* 1997; Ogueta *et al.,* 1999; Nonaka *et al.,* 2000; Wickham *et al.,* 2000; Munaut *et al.,* 2001); DHEA, DHEAS, progesterone and 17β -estradiol protect against retinal exocitotoxicity (Cascio *et al.,* 2000; 2002); administration of intraperitoneal (i.p.) injection of progesterone protected the inner nuclear and nerve fiber layers in a model of retinal ischemia in rats (Lu *et al.,* 2008) but neither i.p. administration (60 mg/kg) nor daily dosage (2.5 mg/day) with progesterone protected rat photoreceptors from cell death in models of light damage (O'Steen, 1977; Kaldi & Berta, 2004). However other studies have shown that administration of norgestrel protects mouse photoreceptor cells in both a light-induced and an inherited retinal degeneration model (Doonan *et al.,* 2011).

4. STRUCTURE AND FUNCTIONS OF PROGESTERONE

4.1 STEROIDS

According to most commonly used definition, neurosteroids cover both steroid hormones, which are synthesized *de novo* in neurons and glial cells, and their metabolites acting within the central nervous system (CNS) (Compagnone and Mellon, 2000).

Steroids are a group of structurally related compounds widely distributed in animals and plants. Examples of steroids include Vitamin D, cholesterol, the sex hormones, estradiol and testosterone, cholic acid, some carcinogenic hydrocarbons and certain sapogenins (Schroepfer, 1981).

Steroid hormones play a regulatory role in a variety of cellular processes such as reproduction, development, differentiation, apoptosis, and brain function (Tsai & O'Malley, 1994).

Evidence suggests a close relationship among steroid availability, neuronal susceptibility, and rate of occurrence of seizures, ischemic and traumatic injury, Alzheimer's disease, and also the likelihood of cognitive aging (Garcia-Segura *et al.*, 2001; Stein, 2001; Wise *et al.*, 2001; McEwen, 2002; Stein *et al.*, 2008) and finally some ophthalmic disease such as age-related macular degeneration, and retinal ischemic conditions (Ogueta *et al.*, 1999; Nonaka *et al.*, 2000; Wickham *et al.*, 2000; Munaut *et al.*, 2001).

4.2 PROGESTERONE SYNTHESIS

Neuroactive steroids or neurosteroids, are steroid hormones synthesized within the central nervous system from the precursor cholesterol (Figure 17) (Rupprecht *et al.,* 1996).

One of these neurosteroids, progesterone, also known as P4 (pregn-4-ene-3, 20dione) is a C-21 steroid hormone and the biosynthesis of this hormone is a multistep process (Garcia-Segura & Melcangi, 2006).



Figure 17. Scheme Progesterone Synthesis (Conaway, 2011).

In the CNS, the first step in the synthesis of all steroid hormones is the synthesis of pregnenolone (PREG) from cholesterol which is transformed into different steroid products through enzymatic reactions in a tissue-specific manner by a cytochrome P450 isozyme in myelinating glial cells (Figure 17) (Le Goascogne et al., 1987; Baulieu, 1997; Feeser & Loira, 2011; Miller & Auchus, 2011; Li et al., 2013). In this process, cholesterol mobilized from cytosolic lipid droplets or from lysosomes is transported to mitochondria and becomes localized to the inner mitochondrial membrane (Miller & Auchus, 2011; Miller, 2013). PREG is converted to progesterone in two reactions, both catalyzed by 3-beta-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase/isomerase (3βHSD). Subsequent steps require several enzymes including, 3β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase (3βHSD), 17α -hydroxylase/C17-20-lyase (17 β HSD) and 17 β -hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase (17βHSD). Specifically, pregnenolone is converted to 17-hydroxypregnenolone and dehydroepiandrosterone by CYP17 (17 α -hydroxylase). Dehydroepiandrosterone is converted to androstenedione by 3β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase. then Androstenedione can have two fates, it can either be converted to estrone via CYP19 (aromatase/estrogen synthase) or it can be converted to testosterone via 17β hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase. Alternatively, PREG may be converted to dehydroepiandrosterone or dehydroepiandrosterone sulfate (DHEA, DHEA-S) (Rupprecht et al., 1996; Li et al., 2013; Miller, 2013).

The retina is also capable of transforming cholesterol into pregnenolone; then in progestens, corticosteroids and sex stereoids. The retina is considered an authentic steroidogenic structure of the CNS in which steroids synthesis is integrated in its circuits for a conceivable role in the visual function (Guarneri *et al.*, 2003). Most cholesterol is synthetized in neuronal retina and transported to membranes of rod segments of photoreceptors where it is required for continuous renewal during life (Levin & Gordon, 2002). The renewal process also involves RPE where cholesterol is additionally provided from an extracellular source through LDL receptors and the apolipoprotein E (ApoE) synthetized locally (Ong *et al.*, 2001). ApoE is also formed in retinal Müller glial cells and/or internalized by ganglion cells from which it can be rapidly transported into the optic nerve and brain (Ong *et al.*, 2001). Recently high cholesterol in RPE, changes in ApoE expression by Müller glia of the human retina, and the apolipoprotein polymorphism have been suggested to increase the risk of AMD, the impairment of visual function during aging, and the progression of glaucoma (Anderson *et al.*, 2001; Ong *et al.*, 2001; Sen *et al.*, 2002).

Cholesterol is also used from retinal steroidogenesis in the adult rat. The transformation of cholesterol into pregnolone appears to occur at ganglion cells and in some cells of the inner nuclear layer, the amacrine cells, where the cytochrome p450 cholesterol side-chain cleavage (p450scc) is located (Guarneri *et al.*, 1994). In brain peripheral nerves, the cytochrome p450scc is mainly expressed in glial cells (Baulieu, 1997), the only exception is that of cerebellar Purkinje cells and hippocampal neurons which, like the retina, provide a neuronal-type pregnenolone synthesis (Compagnone & Mellon, 2000). This raises the possibility that diverse regulatory mechanisms could exist to control the enzyme expression and activity at different locations (Guarneri *et al.*, 2003).

4.3 METABOLISM OF PROGESTERONE

Progesterone is readily metabolized in the brain to 5α - dihydroprogesterone (DHP) by 5α -reductase, and then further reduced to 3α , 5α - tetrahyroprogesterone (ALLO) by the enzyme 3α -hydroxysteroid reductase (Celotti *et al.*, 1992; Mellon & Vaudry, 2001; Stoffel-Wagner, 2001; Belelli & Lambert, 2005).

4.4 FUNCTIONS OF PROGESTERONE IN THE CENTRAL NERVIOUS SYSTEM (CNS)

Baulieu *et al.* coined the term neurosteroid as a result of their discovery that steroids could be synthesized in the central nervous system (CNS) and affect neurotransmission by interaction with receptors (Baulieu *et al.,* 1996). Several biologic effects of neurosteroids have been observed, such as electrical stimulation of neurons (Arnold & Gorski, 1984), modulation of the function of y-aminobutyric acid (GABA)A receptor, (Mienville & Vicini, 1989) and growth and differentiation of glial cells in vitro (Bauliei, 1991).

Progesterone (P4) has multiple biological functions in the CNS, among which is its ability to afford neuroprotection; it is well documented to promote cell survival and proliferation and to have palliative and disease regression effects in non-neuronal tissue (Rider & Psychoyos, 1994; Makrigiannakis *et al.*, 2000; Svensson *et al.*, 2000; Olive & Pritts, 2001; Lange, 2008). Furthermore, following two decades of compelling preclinical research across several different injury and disease models, progesterone is now recognized as a powerful neurosteroid (Baulieu *et al.*, 2001). It has the ability to attenuate neuronal apoptosis associated with CNS damage such as Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI) (Shahrokhi *et al.*, 2010) and spinal cord injury (Gonzalez *et al.*, 2009) as well as to ameliorate the effects of stroke (Betz & Coester, 1990) and neurodegenerative conditions such as Alzheimer's disease (Frye & Walf, 2009).

Progesterone appears to act on multiple levels and pathways to interrupt or slow destructive processes such as up-regulating the inhibitory neurotransmitter GABA, decreasing lipid peroxidation and oxidative stress, limiting the release of inflammatory cytokines, and decreasing cellular apoptosis (Stein *et al.*, 2008).

Up-regulation of GABA:

Several research teams have reported that progesterone upregulates GABA, an inhibitory neurotransmitter in the central nervous system (Lambert *et al.,* 2003; Pierson *et al.,* 2005; Mani, 2006). GABA-mediated inhibition can decrease excessive injury-induced excitotoxicity caused by the release of glutamate or other excitatory neurotransmitters (Brann *et al.,* 2005).

Antioxidant Effects:

Progesterone does not have the characteristic chemical structure of an antioxidant, but high levels of the hormone appear to reduce free radical damage (Roof *et al.*, 1997; Pajovic *et al.*, 1999). Progesterone administration reduces lipid peroxidation in different types of in vitro free-radical-generating systems in a dose-dependent manner (Shimamura *et al.*, 1995; Sugino *et al.*, 1996). All these studies suggest that progesterone reduces lipid peroxidation and oxidative stress, most likely by decreasing the generation of free radicals and enhancing endogenous free radical scavenging systems (Stein *et al.*, 2008).

Reduction of Inflammatory Cytokines:

An anti-inflammatory role for progesterone has been reported. In particular, progesterone has been observed to suppress the expression of various proinflammatory cytokines following cerebral ischemia, minimizing the ischemia-induced increase in IL-1 β (Gibson *et al.*, 2005), TNF- α (Aggarwal *et al.*, 2008), and TGF- β (Gibson *et al.*, 2005). Additionally, progesterone can decrease the expression of inducible nitric oxide synthase, iNOS or NOS, in vivo (Coughlan *et al.*, 2005; Gibson *et al.*, 2005) and in vitro (Coughlan *et al.*, 2005; Muller & Kerschbaum, 2006).

Progesterone and its active metabolites are also potent antagonists of inflammation in CNS after traumatic brain injury (Stein *et al.*, 2008). Two key mechanisms (McIntosh *et al.*, 1996) appear to play a role in a traumatic brain injury and stroke: antagonism of cytokine release and inhibition of immune cell activation and migration. Contusion injuries produce a marked inflammatory reaction, with gliosis seen in several brain areas, both proximal and distal to the injury. Progesterone acts at the earliest point in the cytokine cascade by modulating gene response elements (Grossman *et al.*, 2004) and preventing or slowing inflammatory reactions induced by cytokines (interleukin-1, interleukin-6, tumor necrosis factor, and others) (Chao *et al.*, 1994; Arvin *et al.*, 1996; Ehring*et al.*, 1998; Grossman *et al.*, 2004; Cutler *et al.*, 2006; Stein *et al.*, 2008).

Decreased Apoptosis

It is also known that progesterone appears to reduce neuronal apoptosis. Nuclear factor kB (NFkB) has been implicated in the initiation of neuron inflammation and

apoptosis after traumatic brain injury (Stein *et al.,* 2008). Progesterone reduces both the nuclear concentration of NFkB and expression of NFkB target genes. It has been shown that after progesterone treatment, mitochondrial RNA and protein for NFkBregulated inflammatory factors such as interleukin-1 β , C3, inducible nitric oxide synthase, and cyclooxygenase-2 are significantly reduced (He *et al.,* 2004; Djebaili *et al.,* 2005; Pettus *et al.,* 2005; Cutler *et al.,* 2006; Stein *et al.,* 2008).

4.5 PROGESTERONE IN THE RETINA

As with the brain, the retina is a target of steroids and a site of steroid production (Guarneri *et al.*, 1994).

The endocrine influence on visual processing was originally proposed in the late 1970s based on the evidence that susceptibility of retinal cells to light damage occurred concomitantly with sexual maturation in both male and female animals (O'Steen & Anderson, 1974). However, recent advances in research have proved the existence of hormone steroid receptors, steroid enzymes for the formation of ex novo steroids or neurosteroids, and their involvement in the physiology and pathology of visual function (Guarneri *et al.*, 2003; Cascio *et al.*, 2007).

In terms of retinal disease, evidence confirms that neurosteroidogenesis occurs in the retina, particularly de novo production of pregnenolone, the precursor for synthesis of progesterone and all other steroids (Guarneri *et al.,* 1994). Nuclear progesterone receptor mRNAs have been identified in the retina and choroid (Wickham *et al.,* 2000) while membrane-associated progesterone receptor component 1 has been identified in photoreceptor and Müller glial cells of the retina (Swiatek-De Lange *et al.,* 2007).

Studies have shown that progesterone does indeed protect against apoptosis by binding to either or both of these receptor types (Friberg *et al.,* 2009; Peluso *et al.,* 2009).

There is a controversy about the beneficial effects of progesterone in retinal related disease. Several retinal studies have failed to show beneficial effects of progesterone, in a model of retinal ganglion cell injury (Nakazawa *et al.*, 2006) and in different studies

that tried to demonstrate its protective effects against light stress (O'Steen, 1977; Kaldi & Berta, 2004). In contrast Lu and colleagues found a beneficial effect of progesterone in a high intraocular pressure model (Lu *et al.,* 2008).

With regard to models of inherited retinal degeneration, literature strongly supports an antioxidant-based therapeutic approach in rd models (Komeima *et al.*, 2007; Usui *et al.*, 2009; Miranda *et al.*, 2010) and in several instances neurotrophic factors attenuate photoreceptor degeneration (Faktorovich *et al.*, 1990; Cayouette *et al.*, 1998; Bok *et al.*, 2002), however little is known about the effects of steroid sex hormones on disease progression.

On the other hand, Calcium (Ca²⁺) is an essential second messenger that mediates multiple cellular responses in neurons to various stimuli including cell proliferation, neurotransmission, and cell death (Berridge *et al.*, 2000; 2003). Tight control of spatial and temporal Ca²⁺ signaling enables neurons to perform these different tasks appropriately. Progesterone is involved in multiple processes in neurons including neuroprotection (Roof *et al.*, 1994; Asbury *et al.*, 1998; Nilsen & Brinton, 2002; 2003; Kaur *et al.*, 2007) and there is increasing evidence from non-neuronal cell models suggesting that P4 is implicated in intracellular Ca²⁺ signaling (Wasserman *et al.*, 1980; Kirkman-Brown *et al.*, 2000). Furthermore, recent results in mouse models of retinal degeneration show that apoptosis and cell loss is delayed and endogenous survival pathways are activated in response to norgestrel (Doonan *et al.*, 2011).

5. ANIMAL MODELS

Rodents are commonly used as animal models to mimic the most prevalent neurodegenerative diseases of the retina. Since they are mainly nocturnal animals, most of the photoreceptor cells in rodent retina are rods. Cones are present, but in a low concentration (3-5%). The murine retina contains only two types of cones (S and M) which are distributed evenly throughout the ONL (in comparison to the human retina where density varies, e.g. in the cone-rich fovea). A large number of rodent retinal degeneration models are available for studies on inherited retinal degenerations (Chang *et al.*, 2002; Dalke & Graw, 2005). These include: rhodopsin mutants (Tam & Moritz, 2007; Trumpler *et al.*, 2008), light-induced damage models

(Reme *et al.,* 1998; Hao *et al.,* 2002; Wenzel *et al.,* 2005), and Royal College of Surgeons (RCS) rats, with a mutation in the Mertk gene (D'Cruz *et al.,* 2000). However the animal model best characterized to date, is the rd1 mouse.

5.1. rd1 MOUSE

The rd1 mouse is also known as retinal degeneration mouse or simply "rd", and was first discovered by Keeler in the mid-twenties (Keeler, 1924).

The rd1 mouse model is characterized by a loss-of-function mutation in the gene encoding for the β -subunit of rod photoreceptor cGMP phosphodiesterase 6 (PDE6) (Bowes et al., 1990; Pittler& Baehr, 1991) which leads to an accumulation of cGMP in rod photoreceptors (Farber & Lolley, 1974). The CNG channels then remain open, allowing an overload of Ca²⁺ into the cytoplasm. The uncontrolled Ca²⁺ influx is believed to be the starting point of an elaborate pathway that involves numerous signalling mechanisms, culminating with widespread cell death (Chang *et al.*, 1993; Fox *et al.*, 1999; Sancho-Pelluz *et al.*, 2008).

The degenerative process in this model starts very early, even before the retina becomes mature, around post-natal (PN) day 10 (Figure 18) (Portera-Cailliau *et al.,* 1994; Otani *et al.,* 2004). The peak of rod photoreceptor cell death occurs between PN 11-14 (Punzo & Cepko, 2007; Paquet-Durand *et al.,* 2007). By PN30 the mutation-dependent degeneration is almost completed, with one or two rows of photoreceptors remaining, mainly cones, which will eventually die within the 6 next months (Carter-Dawson *et al.,* 1978; Farber *et al.,* 1994; LaVail *et al.,* 1997; Pierce, 2001).

Mutations in the PDE6- β and PDE6- α gene are present in about 10% of RP human patients (Bayes *et al.*, 1995; Dryja *et al.*, 1999), and, for this reason the rd1/rd1 mouse serves as an adequate model for human inherited retinal degenerative (McLaughlin *et al.*, 1995).



Figure 18. ONL reduction in rd1 retina compared to wild type (wt or control). When compared, wild type (left picture) and rd1 (right picture) retinae at PN11 seemed to be equally developed and the ONL had the same thickness. Four days later (PN15), the wild type retina remained the same as before, whereas the rd1 ONL had been radically reduced. At PN17, wild type ONL did not change, but in the rd1 there were only one to two rows of photoreceptors left. (Scale bar = $50 \mu m$).


Hereditary retinal degenerations (Retinitis Pigmentosa and related diseases) are characterized by a progressive loss of photoreceptors and result in severe visual impairment and blindness.

As inherited degenerative diseases of the retina are due to genetic defects, gene therapy appears as the most rational approach for curative treatment. However, gene therapy will only work for patient groups with known mutations, hence excluding many from this kind of treatment. Furthermore, a large proportion of patients with RP seek clinical help when photoreceptor degeneration has progressed and night blindness is already prominent. At this stage the rod photoreceptors have largely died, which implicates that many of the cells that harbour the original genetic defect are no longer available for gene therapy.

In the retina of rd1 mice, a model of retinitis pigmentosa, it has been shown changes in glutamate and glutathione concentrations; with this in mind, we propose that regulation of GSH and glutamate concentrations (with oral progesterone treatment), as a mutation-independent therapeutic regime, is not only a means of extending the window of opportunity for other therapies or cures, but a feasible approach on its own towards maintaining survival- and function-upholding of the neuroretina.



1. To characterize the time course of changes in glutamate and thiol metabolism GSH, GSSG and Cys in the retina of rd1 and wt mouse.

2. To determine the possible therapeutic effect of oral administration of progesterone *in vivo* in an experimental model of RP: the rd1 mouse.

- 2.1 To characterize if oral progesterone treatment is able to restore the alterations in glutamate concentration and thiol metabolism observed in the retina of rd1 mice.
- 2.2 To determinate if oral progesterone treatment is able to protect photoreceptors in the retina of rd1 mice from death.
- 2.3 To study if oral progesterone treatment is able to revert the characteristic gliosis observed in the retina of rd1 mice.
- 2.4 To determine if oral progesterone treatment is able to improve retinal functionality in rd1 mice.

-MATERIALS AND METHODS

1. CHEMICAL PRODUCTS AND SOLUTIONS

-Alexa 488 goat anti-mouse (Invitrogen, Oregon, USA).

-Alexa 488 goat anti-rabbit (Invitrogen, Oregon, USA).

-Cysteine (Sigma Aldrich, Madrid, Spain).

-Diamount (mounting xilene free) (Diapath, Martinengo, Italy).

-2,4-Dinitrofluoro-bencene (DNFB) (Sigma Aldrich, Madrid, Spain).

-Eosine (Química Clínica Aplicada, Tarragona, Spain).

-Ethanol 96% (J.T. Baker, Deventer, Holland).

-Ethanol Absolute (J.T. Baker, Deventer, Holland).

-Folin-Ciocalteu's phenol (Sigma Aldrich, Madrid, Spain).

-Glacial acetic acid (J.T. Baker, Deventer, Holland).

-Glial fibrilary Acidic protein (Dako Cytomation, Denmark).

-Glutamic acid (Sigma Aldrich, Madrid, Spain).

-Reduced Glutathione (Sigma Aldrich, Madrid, Spain).

-Oxidized Glutathione (Sigma Aldrich, Madrid, Spain).

-Hematoxiline (Química Clínica Aplicada, Tarragona, Spain).

-Iodoacetic acid (Sigma Aldrich, Madrid, Spain).

-m-cresol (Acros, New Jersey, USA).

-Methanol (MeOH) (J.T. Baker, Deventer, Holland).

-Monoclonal Anti-Glutamic Acid Decarboxylase 65 (Sigma Aldrich, Madrid, Spain).

-Normal Goat Serum (ATOM, Vector laboratories, Burlingame, USA).

-Perchloric Acid (Panreac, Barcelona, Spain).

-Paraformaldehide (J.T. Baker, Deventer, Holland).

-Phosphate buffer (Sigma Aldrich, Madrid, Spain).

-Phosphate buffered saline (Sigma Aldrich, Madrid, Spain).

-Potassium bicarbonate (Sigma Aldrich, Madrid, Spain).

-Potassium hydroxide (Sigma Aldrich, Madrid, Spain).

-Potassium sodium tartrate tetrahydrate (Sigma Aldrich, Madrid, Spain).

-Protein Standar bovine serum albumin (Sigma Aldrich, Madrid, Spain).

-Rabbit polyclonal antibody to Glutathione (Abcam, Cambridge, UK).

-Sacharose (Panreac, Barcelona, Spain).

-Sodium acetate trihydrate (Sigma Aldrich, Madrid, Spain).

-Sodium bicarbonate (Sigma Aldrich, Madrid, Spain).

-Sodium hydroxide (Sigma Aldrich, Madrid, Spain).

-Triton X-100 (Sigma Aldrich, Madrid, Spain).

-Tris (tris (hydroxymethyl aminomethane) (Sigma Aldrich, Madrid, Spain).

-Tween 20 (Sigma Aldrich, Madrid, Spain).

-In situ Cell Death Detection Kit (Roche, Mannheim, Germany.)

-Vectashield with Dapi (ATOM, Vector laboratories, Burlingame, USA).

-Water (J.T. Baker, Deventer, Holland).

-Xilol (Scharlab, Barcelona, Spain).

2. RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS

2.1 ANIMALS

Rd1 and C3H (wild type, wt) mice have been used in this work, donation from Dr. Theo Van Veen, Lund University (Sweden).

2.2 ANIMAL CARE

Mice housing was held in cages under controlled conditions of temperature (20° C) and humidity (60%) under standard white cycling lighting (8:00 to 20:00 and 20:00 to 8:00). During housing, the animals had free access to water and a standard diet by Harlan Ibérica SL (Barcelona, Spain).

Animal care and protocols were in accordance and approved by the Animal Ethics committee of the institution and conformed to the ARVO Statement for the Use of Animals in Ophthalmic and Vision Research as well as Spanish law regulating animal experiments.

All efforts were made to reduce discomfort and the number of animals used.

At post-natal day (PN) 11, previous observations have detected dramatic and characteristic changes when comparing wt and rd1 retinae (Hauck *et al.*, 2006; Paquet-Durand *et al.*, 2006). Therefore, most comparisons were conducted at this age. Day of birth was considered as PNO. Pups were sacrificed by decapitation prior to age PN9 or decapitated after asphyxiation in a CO_2 chamber for mice older than PN9.

2.3 COLLECTION OF SAMPLES

The processing of the samples varies according to the kind of the determinations to which they are to be used:

2.3.1 Characterization of Glutamate and thiol metabolism in rd1 mice retina

For the analysis of biochemical parameters, following decapitation, the eyes were quickly enucleated and the retina was dissected and homogenized at 13.000 rpm for 1 minute with an ULTRA TURRAX T25 (Labortech, IKA, Staufen, Germany) in prechilled 0.2 M phosphate buffer (PB), pH 7.0. At this point, this homogenate was centrifuged at

6000 rpm during 2 minutes at 4° C. Immediately after, 180 μ L of homogenate were acidified with 20 μ l of 20% of perclhoric acid (PCA) and supernatant was collected and store at –20° C until used.

2.3.2 Evaluation of progesterone effect in rd1 mice retina

Rd1 and wild type mice were used to evaluate the possible progesterone protective action. Mice were divided in 3 groups:

- Group 1, rd1 mice (n = 6) received an oral administration of 100 mg/kg body/weight of progesterone on alternate days starting at PN7. Animals were sacrificed at different post-natal days (PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17). Day of birth was considered as PN0.
- Group 2, rd1 mice (n = 6) were treated with vehicle (olive oil) on alternate days starting at PN7. Animals were sacrificed at different post-natal days (PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17). Day of birth was considered as PN0.
- Group 3, wt mice (n = 6) were treated with vehicle (olive oil) on alternate days starting at PN7. Animals were sacrificed at different post-natal days (PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17). Day of birth was considered as PN0.

Administration of progesterone in wild type mice group has not showed differences compared to wt treated with olive oil (group 3).

For biochemical determinations, retinas were also quickly enucleated and the retina was dissected and homogenized at 13.000 rpm for 1 minute by ULTRA TURRAX T25 (Labortech, IKA, Staufen, Germany) in prechilled 0.2 M phosphate buffer, pH 7.0. The homogenate was centrifuged at 6000 rpm during 2 minutes at 4° C. 180 μ l of this homogenate were acidified with 20 μ l of 20% of PCA and supernatant was collected and store at -20° C as above.

For immunohistochemistry analysis, the retinas were fixed, cryoprotected, and cryosectioned. The retinas were fixed for 2 hours by immersion in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA), washed 3 times in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) 0.1 M, pH

7.4, and cryoprotected using PBS containing increasing concentrations of sucrose (10, 20 and 30%).

10 μ m cross sections of the retinas were then cut on a cryostat (Leica CM 1850 UV, Ag protect, Barcelona, Spain) collected on slides and stored at –4° C until used.

2.4 EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN

2.4.1 Time course Glutamate and thiol metabolism in rd1 mice

Retinas from rd1 and wild type mice (wt) at different post natal days (PN3, PN7, PN11, PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28) have been used to determinate Glutamate, GSH, GSSG Cys and Cyss, concentrations by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) (Gilson, detector UV, ViS 156 Middleton, USA).

2.4.1.1. Biochemical determinations:

-Determination of proteins by Lowry's method:

This type of analysis is performed according to the procedure described by Lowry *et al.* (Lowry *et al.*, 1951) with the modifications routinely used in our laboratory (Peterson, 1977).

Solutions:

- Solution 1: Na₂CO₃ 4% + NaOH 0.8% (in 1 l distilled water).
- Solution 2: •Solution 2a: CuSO₄ 1.25 mM (in 1 l of distilled water).
 - Solution 2b: C₄H₄KNaO₆ 1.42 mM (potassium sodium tartrate tetrahydrate) (dissolved in 1 l of water).
- Solution 3: Folin-Reagent 1 N 1.5 ml + 3 ml distilled water (Sigma Aldrich, Madrid, Spain).
- Solution 4: Standar solution of 1 mg/ml of bovine serum albumin (BSA) (Sigma Aldrich, Madrid, Spain).

(SOLUTION 1+2 = solution1: solution 2a: solution 2b (2:1:1)).

Procedure:

Test tubes are prepared with linear growing amounts of solution 4 (0, 10, 25, 50, 75, 100 μ l) to obtain a calibration curve. Each test tube is filled with 1 ml solution 1+2 and distilled water. Then BSA is added to each tube according to the linear growing value of concentration indicated before. Finally each tube is vortexed. It is necessary to wait 10 minutes before continuing.

At the same time test tubes are also prepared with homogenate samples, they contain 1 ml of solution 1+2, 175 μ l of distilled water and 25 μ l of homogenate. The resulting solutions are vortexed. Both, test tubes for calibration curve and for homogenate samples, are prepared in duplicate.

Finally 100 ml of solution 3 (Folin reagent) is added to each tube. Tubes are vortexed. 25-30 minutes are necessary to allow complete reaction. After this time, the absorbance of each test tube is measured in a spectrophotometer at a wavelength of 696 nm.

The absorbance at 696 nm is directly proportional to the concentration of protein.

The absorbance values of the standard solution tubes are used to obtain a calibration curve:

Y = Absorbance Y = ax + b;

It is possible to extrapolate the concentration of proteins in the homogenate sample from the calibration curve values:

X = ((Y-b)/a) / Vm Vm = volume homogenate

<u>-Determination of Glutamate, Glutathione, Glutathione disulfide and Cysteine</u> <u>concentrations</u>

Concentrations of Glutamate, Glutathione (GSH), Glutathione disulfide (GSSG) and Cysteine (Cys) in the retina are determined by the procedure described by Reed (Reed *et al.*, 1980). The method is based on the iodoacetic acid reaction with thiol groups to form carboxymethyl derivatives followed by chromophore derivatization of amino groups with Sanger's reagent (2,4-dinitrofluoro-bencene). These N-DNP derivatives are readily separated by HPLC, which allows the quantification of nanomolar levels of Glutamate, GSH, GSSH and Cys.

• Equipment:

We worked with a Gilson HPLC consisting of the following components:

-Pumps for Chromatography: Gilson 322 Pumps.

-Detector: Gilson 156UV/VIS.

-UV and visible lamp.

-Gilson 864 Degasser.

-Gilson 234 Auto-injector loop of 100 Pl.

-Gilson serial Input/ Output Chanel (GSIOC).

-Computer 486/50 Hz

-Software: Unipoint [™] System.

For the chromatographic separation we used a Kromasil Amino 5 μm, 250 x 4.6 mm column (Análisis Vínicos, Barcelona, Spain).

The initial conditions of the flow are 1.0 ml/min, 80% mobile phase A and 20% mobile phase B.

These initial conditions are maintained for 10 minutes, and then are followed by a linear gradient to 95% mobile phase B for 40 minutes, finally rebalanced with the initial conditions for 10 minutes before starting with the next sample analysis. The wavelength used is 365 nm.

The range of the UV detector use is 0,005 and the response time is 5 seconds. The injection of each sample is performed automatically with an auto-injector for up to 45 samples.

The mobile phase A is prepared with 80% methanol (800 ml HPLC grade water + 3.2 l of methanol) and mobile phase B is prepared with 5 M sodium acetate 80% methanol (800 ml of sodium acetate + 3.2 l methanol). Subsequently both phases are filtered through a filter membrane (Schleicher und Schuell, Barcelona, Spain) 0.45 micron pore and 47 mm in diameter.

63

Solutions:

-Calibration stock solution: It is prepared daily and stored in a refrigerator at 4° C. These stocks are concentrations of 10 mM of Glutamate, GSH, GSSG and Cys in ultra-pure water.

-Solution 1: It is prepared with iodoacetic acid, IAA (100 mM) and 0.2 mM m-cresol.

-Solution 2: It is prepared with KOH 10 M and KHCO₃ 3 M.

-Solution 3: It is prepared with DNFB (1-fluoro-2, 4-dinitrobenzene) 1.5% v/v in pure ethanol.

This solution is made fresh daily and stored at 4° C protected from light.

- Procedure:
 - 1. 90 μl of the sample and 10 μl of Solution 1 are pipetted in 2 ml Eppendorf tubes.
 - 2. Each solution is adjusted to a pH of 8.5 to 9 by adding 30 μ l of Solution 2.
 - 3. Tubes are incubated for 30 min in darkness, at room temperature.
 - 4. 100 μl of Solution 3 is added. N-DNP derivatives are then formed, but require a minimum of four hours in darkness at 4° C for their formation.

After this time tubes are centrifuged at 13.000 rpm for 10 min and the supernatant is used for analysis in HPLC equipment. The minimum sample of amount injected is 115 μ l.

In each analysis a white and a standard calibration curve (0, 20, 40, 50, 60, 80 and 100 mM) is prepared.

The peak area obtained is directly proportional to the concentrations of each thiol derivates in the sample. Concentrations are calculated from the standard curve.

-Optical Coherence Tomography:

Optical coherence tomography (OCT) is a fundamentally new type of optical imaging modality. It performs high-resolution, cross-sectional tomographic imaging of the internal microstructure in materials and biological systems by measuring backscattered or backreflected light. OCT images are two-dimensional data sets which

represent the optical backscattering in a cross-sectional plane through the tissue. Image resolutions of 1 to 15 μ m can be achieved one to two orders of magnitude higher than conventional ultrasound. Imaging can be performed *in situ* and in real time.

Spectral Domain OCT:

Spectral domain OCT, also known as fourier-domain OCT, is a significant improvement on time domain OCT. Spectral domain OCT eliminates the moving reference mirror found in time domain, enabling scan rates up to 100 times faster. With spectral domain OCT, all of the wavelengths of returning light are analyzed simultaneously, resulting in faster collection of more data. Faster scan rates are desirable to help limit motion artifact and to obtain more information in order to locate the position of the scan in the eye.

This method was used according to manufacturer instructions (Heidelberg Engineering) that selected a long wavelength superluminescent diode (SLD) with a peak wavelength of 870 nm to enable the best penetration of infrared light through cloudy media.

Animals were anesthetized with intraperitoneal injection of ketamine and azepromazine (100 mg/kg body weight and 2.5 mg/kg body weight, respectively). Pupils were dilated with 1% tropicamide (Alcon Labs, Barcelona, Spain) followed by the administration of topical artificial tear gel (Hyabak, Thea Labs, Barcelona, Spain). Mice were then placed on a pad heated to 37-39° C.

Mouse eyes were subjected to SD-OCT with a commercially available SpectralisTM HRA+OCT device from Heidelberg Engineering featuring a broadband superluminescent diode at λ = 880 nm as a low coherent light source. Each two-dimensional B-scan recorded at 30° field of view consists of 1536 A-Scans, which are acquired at a speed of 40.000 scans per second. Optical depth resolution is ca. 7 µm, with digital resolution reaching 3.5 µm (Wolf-Schnurrbusch *et al.*, 2008).

We mounted a commercially available 78-D double aspheric fundus lens (Volk Optical, Inc., Mentor, OH) directly in front of the camera unit to adapt the equipment to the special characteristics of the mouse eye. Imaging was performed using the proprietary software package Eye Explorer, version 3.2.1.0 from Heidelberg Engineering. Length of the reference pathway was adjusted manually according to manufacturer's instructions using the "OCT debug window" to adjust for the optical length of the scanning pathway. The combination of scanning laser retinal imaging and SD-OCT allows for real-time tracking of eye movements and real-time averaging of OCT scans, reducing speckle noise in the OCT images considerably (Wolf-Schnurrbusch *et al.*, 2008).

To quantify central retinal thickness based on high-resolution volume scans, we used the proprietary software Eye Explorer. Briefly, each volume scan consisted of at least 70 B-scans recorded at 30° field of view centered on the optic disc, which were used to calculate an interpolate retinal thickness map across the scanned retinal area. Central retinal thickness was quantified using the circular OCT grid subfield at 3 mm diameter with the center located on the optic disc.

2.4.1.2 Hematoxylin and Eosin Staining:

-Hematoxylin and Eosin Staining:

Hematoxylin and eosin (H-E) is a routine staining procedure of tissue sections. This staining method uses two separate dyes, one staining the nucleus and the other staining the cytoplasm and connective tissue. Hematoxylin is a dark purplish dye that stains the chromatin (nuclear material) within the nucleus. Eosin is an orangish-pink to red dye that stains the cytoplasmic material including connective tissue and collagen.

Solutions:

-Hematoxylin (Química Clínica Aplicada, Tarragona, Spain).

-Eosine (Química Clínica Aplicada, Tarragona, Spain).

-Ethanol (Et-OH) 96% and Et-OH 100% (J.T. Baker, Deventer, Holland).

-Xilol (Scharlab, Barcelona, Spain).

Procedure:

 Stain retinal sections in hematoxylin (Química Clínica Aplicada, Tarragona, Spain) for 3 minutes.

2. Rinse in running tap water for 5 minutes.

3. Differentiate with eosine (Química Clínica Aplicada, Tarragona, Spain) for 1-2 minutes.

4. Rinse in running tap water.

5. Wash retinal sections in 70% ethanol (Et-OH) (diluted from Et-OH 96% (J.T. Baker, Deventer, Holland)) for 1 minute.

6. Wash retinal sections in 96% Et-OH (J.T. Baker, Deventer, Holland) for 2 minutes.

7. Wash retinal sections in 100% Et-OH (J.T. Baker, Deventer, Holland) for 1 minute.

8. Wash retinal sections in 100% Et-OH (J.T. Baker, Deventer, Holland) for 1 minute.

9. Wash retinal sections in Xilol (Scharlab, Barcelona, Spain) for 5 minutes.

10. Wash retinal sections in Xilol (Scharlab, Barcelona, Spain) for 5 minutes.

11. Dehydrate, clear and mount with Diamount (DPX) (mounting xilene free) (Diapath, Martinengo, Italy).

Microscopy and cell counting

To analyze the thickness of the retina, images were captured using Nikon DS-Fi1 camera attached to Leica DM2000 microscope, Leica application Suite version 2.7.0 R1 software (Leica Microsystem); the study of photoreceptor cell death requires observation in the same exact region of the retina over time; for that reason we have divided the retina in three sections: far periphery, middle periphery and central area. Adobe Photoshop CS5 was used for primary image processing.

The number of rows in the outer nuclear layer (ONL) was counted manually in the three areas described above. All data given represent the means and standard error of the means from three retinal sections each, for at least 6 different animals.

c) Immunohistochemical detections:

-TUNEL assay:

TUNEL method (Terminal deoxynucleotidyl Transferase Biotin-dUTP Nick End Labeling) identifies apoptotic cells in situ. It shows the free 3'-OH that have been exposed during the process of fragmentation of double-stranded DNA by activation of endogenous endonuclease, during the process of apoptosis. The enzyme terminal transferase (TdT) incorporates label nucleotides (biotindUTP) to these ends. The site that is marked with biotin is revealed with fluorescein conjugated avidin (avidin-FITC).

Although initially thought to detect only apoptosis (Gavrieli *et al.*, 1992), the TUNEL assay was later on shown to label cells undergoing diverse types of cell death, including necrosis (Grasl-Kraupp *et al.*, 1995).

To detect TUNEL positive cells in retinal sections, the In situ Cell Detection Kit (Roche, Mannheim, Germany) was used according to manufacturer instructions.

- Solutions:
 - PBS buffer pH 7.1.
 - Normal Goat Serum.
 - BSA, Protein Standard (Sigma, Madrid, Spain).
 - In situ Cell Detection Kit (Roche, Mannheim, Germany).
 - Vectashield with DAPI (Vector).
- Procedure:

Retinal sections were dried for 15-30 minutes at room temperature (RT) and washed 3 times during 5 minutes in PBS. Subsequently retinas were blocked with 20% Normal Goat Serum, diluted in PBS + BSA 1% pH 7.1 for 45 min at 37° C.

Then, sections were washed 3 times in PBS at RT for 5 min and incubated with TUNEL solution. TUNEL solution is obtained by dilution of the contents of vial 1 (blue): enzyme solution (terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase from calf thymus, recombinant in E.coli, in storage buffer) and vial 2 (violet): label solution (nucleotide

mixture in reaction buffer) with PBS and subsequent mixing. The incubation is done at 37° C in dark for 1 hour. Then the last washing step was done and slides were mounted with Vectashield[®] with DAPI (Vector Laboratories), and observed under the microscope.

Microscopy and cell counting

Light and fluorescence microscopy was performed on a Nikon DS-Fi1 camera attached to Leica DM2000 microscope, Leica application Suite version 2.7.0 R1 software (Leica Microsystem); representative pictures were taken from far periphery, middle periphery and central areas of the retina. Adobe Photoshop CS5 was used for primary image processing.

Positive TUNEL cells in retinal sections were counted manually in the three areas described above (far periphery, middle periphery and central area) at 20 × magnification and this result was divided by the value of the outer nuclear layer (ONL) area. All data given represent the means and standard error from three retinal sections each, for at least 6 different animals.

-Immunohistochemistry:

Immunohistochemistry is a method of detection for proteins or peptides in tissue sections by the principle of antigen-antibody specificity. For that, a specialized antigen recognizing antibody is added to the tissue. After that, a secondary antibody that binds specifically to the primary is included. Instead the secondary antibody may also be linked to a fluorophore which emits fluorescence when exposed to a particular wavelength. In this case, the technique is also known as immunofluorescence.

Procedure:

Frozen retinal sections were dried at room temperature (RT) or 37° C. Subsequently, the tissue was rehydrated in PBS and pre-incubated for 1 hour at RT in blocking solution: 20% of normal goat serum in PBS-BSA 1% and Triton 0,3%.

Immunohistochemistry was performed overnight at 4° C, using primary antibodies (Table 2) (GSH, 1:100 (Sigma Aldrich, Madrid, Spain); Gad-65, 1:200 (Sigma Aldrich, Madrid, Spain); GFAP, 1:500 (Dako cytomation, Denmark)) diluted in PBS-BSA-Triton

69

0,3%. Then, sections were washed next day and incubated for 60 min in dark at RT with secondary antibodies (Alexa 488 goat anti-rabbit, 1:200 and Alexa 488 goat antimouse, respectively) diluted in buffer. Tissue was rinsed again and mounting medium was added (Vectashield with DAPI) to avoid bleaching.

Antibody	Dilution	Name	Company
GSH	1:100	Glutathione	Sigma Aldrich, Madrid, Spain
GAD-65	1:200	Anti-Glutamic Acid Decarboxylase 65	Sigma Aldrich, Madrid, Spain
GFAP	1:500	Glial fibrilary acidic protein	Dako Cytomation, Denmark
Alexa Fluor [®] 488	1:200	Goat anti-rabbit IgG (H+L)	Invitrogen, Oregon USA
Alexa Fluor [®] 488	1:200	Goat anti-rabbit IgG (H+L)	Invitrogen, Oregon USA

Table 2. Antibodies used.

Negative controls were performed by omitting the primary antibody and incubating tissue sections with secondary antibodies alone.

Microscopy

Light and fluorescence microscopy was performed on a Nikon DS-Fi1 camera attached to Leica DM2000 microscope, Leica application Suite version 2.7.0 R1 software (Leica Microsystem); representative pictures were taken from far periphery, middle periphery and central areas of the retina. Adobe Photoshop CS5 was used for primary image processing. Measurement of the area immunolabeled of the ONL was determined with ImageJ software (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA).

d) Electrophysiological recording tecniches:

-Electroretinograms:

ERGs were performed under dim red illumination (Advanced Headlight LED x6, Energizer[®], Barcelona, Spain).

Animals were anesthetized with intraperitoneal injection of ketamine and azepromazine (100 mg/ kg body weight and 2,5 mg/ kg body weight, respectively) after dark-adapted overnight. Pupils were dilated with 0,1% tropicamide (Alcon Labs, Barcelona, Spain) followed by the administration of topical artificial tear gel (Hyabak, Thea Labs, Barcelona, Spain). Mice were then placed on a pad heated to 37-39° C.

The ERG was carried out only in one eye and always during the morning. The corneal electrode was a gold wire loop (Roland Consult, Brandenburg, Germany); the reference needle electrode was placed on the forehead and a ground electrode was put subcutaneous near the tail.

Recordings were taken in a darkened room under dim red illumination to ensure a dark-adapted state and with electrical impedance balanced. Responses were analyzed using a RetiScan RetiPort electrophysiology unit (Roland Consult, Brandenburg, Germany). Each ERG session consisted of one scotopic response. Stimuli consisted of white LED-flashes with an intensity 3 cd.s/m². The electrodes were connected to a preamplifier, and signals were amplified with a band pass filter between 1 and 300 Hz. Responses were amplified, averaged and stored with the system interface and the amplitude (μ V) and implicit times (ms) of the different ERG components were analysed.

The amplitude of the *a*-wave was calculated from the baseline to the first negative deflection, and the amplitude of the *b*-wave was measured from the trough of the *a*-wave to the peak of the *b*-wave. Implicit times of *a*- and *b*-waves were calculated from the onset of light stimulus to the peak of each wave respectively.

3. STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Statistical analysis of the data from this study was carried out using SPSS version 15. The results are presented as mean values \pm error standard from at least six animals in each group.

To determine statistical differences in glutamate, GSH, GSSG and Cys retinal concentrations at PN3, PN7, PN11, PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28, we applied a two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with primary treatment (age) as the first factor and secondary treatment (strain) as the second factor. When the ANOVA indicated a significant difference, a post hoc Dunnett's T3 test was applied to demonstrate the differences. In order to simplify the results, only the statistical differences between the rd1 and wild type retinas at each age are noted in each figure.

To determine statistical differences in all the other parameters evaluated in this work, one way ANOVA with LSD or Dunnett's post hoc analysis was applied.

A 95% confidence interval was used to assess significance.

-RESULTS

1. CHARACTERIZATION OF GLUTAMATE AND THIOL METABOLISM IN RD1 MICE

1.1. Glutamate

Figure 19 shows that, glutamate concentrations were significantly decreased in rd1 retinas when compared to wt (wild type or control) retinas at PN3 day (PN3 (F(1) = 7.494, p < 0.05 vs rd1)).

At the onset of degeneration (PN7), glutamate levels started to be slightly higher in rd1 retinas wich was statistically significant at PN7 (PN7 (F(1) = 18.138; p < 0.05 vs wt) and PN11 (PN11 (F(1) = 48.470; p < 0.05 vs wt), at PN15 (PN15 (F(1) = 31.048; p < 0.05 vs wt), and PN17 (PN17 (F(1) = 10.629; p < 0.05 vs wt).

Glutamate concentrations in wt and rd1 retinas were comparable at PN day 21 and day 28.

There was a statistically significant interaction between strain and age on glutamate concentration (F(7) = 11.752; p < 0.05).



Figure 19. Retinal glutamate concentrations between the two groups of study, rd1 and wild type (wt) mice at PN3, PN7, PN11, PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28 as determined by HPLC. The markers represent the mean (at least n = 8 for each age and strain) of glutamate concentrations. The error bars represent the standard error of the mean (* p< 0.05 vs rd1 and wt, respectively).

1.2 Glutathione (GSH)

Figure 20 shows GSH concentrations in rd1 and wt mice retinas at different postnatal (PN) days (PN3, PN7, PN11, PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28).

A decrease in GSH concentration with increasing age in control mice was observed; however, this pattern was not observed in the rd1 retinas.

No significant changes were observed in GSH retinal concentrations between rd1 and wt mice when the degenerative process became detectable (PN3-PN11) and rods die (PN11) (Figure 20).

At PN15 the concentration of GSH in retina from rd1 mice was statistically lower compared to retinas concentrations in wt (wild type or control) mice (F(1) = 4.575; p < 0.05 vs rd1).

Immediately after PN15, GSH concentration started to increase in rd1 retinas when compared to wild type retinas (F(1) = 10.821; p < 0.05 vs wt) and PN19 (F(1) = 13.939; p < 0.05 vs wt) (Figure 20).

At PN28, GSH concentrations from wt and rd1 mice retinas were again similar (Figure 20).

There was a statistically significant interaction between strain and age on GSH concentration (F(7) = 4.108; p < 0.05).



Figure 20. Retinal glutathione (GSH) concentrations in the two groups of study, rd1 and wild type (wt) mice at post-natal (PN) PN3, PN7, PN11, PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28 as determined by HPLC. The markers represent the mean (at least n = 8 for each age and strain) of GSH concentrations. The error bars represent the standard error of the mean (* p< 0.05 vs rd1 and wt, respectively).

1.3 Glutathione Disulfide (GSSG)

Figure 21 represents GSSG concentrations in the retina of the two groups of mice studied, wt and rd1 at PN3, PN7, PN11, PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28.

At the beginning of the experiment (PN3-PN9) and when the degenerative process became detectable (PN11-PN15), there were no differences in GSSG concentrations between wt and rd1 mice retinas (Figure 21).

At PN17, GSSG concentrations, started to be slightly higher in rd1 mice retinas when compared with wt mice retinas, finding statistically significant differences between strains at PN21 (F(1) = 8.948; p < 0.05 vs wt) and PN28 (F(1) = 69.885; p < 0.05 vs wt) (Figure 21).

These results were in accordance with the decrease found in GSH concentrations in the retina of rd1 mice at PN19, PN21 and PN28 (Figure 21).

There was a statistically significant interaction between strain and age on GSSG concentration (F(7) = 9.272; p < 0.05).



Figure 21. Retinal glutathione disulfide (GSSG) concentrations in the two groups of study, rd1 and wild type (wt) mice at post-natal (PN) days PN3, PN7, PN11, PN13, PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28 as determined by HPLC. The markers represent the mean (at least n = 8 for each age and strain) of GSSG concentrations. The error bars represent the standard error of the mean (* p< 0.05 vs wt).

1.4 Cysteine (Cys)

Cys concentrations were measured in rd1 and wt mice retinas at PN3, PN7, PN11, PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28 days by HPLC, but no significant changes were observe between the two genotypes (wt and rd1) and age (Figure 22), though a tendency to decrease with age can be observed (F(7) = 1.812; p = 0.087) in both groups of study (Figure 22).



Figure 22. Retinal Cysteine (Cys) concentrations in the two groups of study, rd1 and wild type mice (wt) at post-natal (PN) days PN3, PN7, PN11, PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28 days as determined by HPLC. The markers represent the mean (at least n = 8 for each age and strain) of Cys concentrations. The error bars represent the standard error of the mean.

2. EVALUATION OF PROGESTERONE EFFECT IN RD1 MICE RETINA

2.1 Progesterone reduces oxidative stress

2.1.1 Determination of glutamate and thiol metabolism in wt, rd1 and rd1 treated mice

• Glutamate

Differences in retinal glutamate concentrations in the three groups of mice studied in this work (wt, rd1 and treated with progesterone rd1 mice) at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17 are shown in Figure 23.

At PN11 retinal glutamate concentrations were significantly increased in untreated rd1 mice when compared to wild type mice (* p< 0.05 vs wt). Treatment with progesterone restored retinal glutamate concentrations to values similar to the concentrations observed in retinas from wild type mice (# p< 0.05 vs treated rd1).

At PN13 there was no difference in retinal glutamate concentrations in untreated or treated with progesterone rd1 mice compared to glutamate concentrations in the retina from wt mice. However retinal glutamate concentrations were decreased in treated mice compared to untreated mice.

After the peak of cell death in this animal model (PN15 and PN17), retinal glutamate concentrations were significantly increased in rd1 mice when compared to wild type mice (* p< 0.05 vs wt).

Treatment with progesterone significantly reduced retinal glutamate concentrations at PN15 (* p< 0.05 vs wt; # p< 0.05 vs treated rd1) and PN17 (* p< 0.05 vs wt; # p< 0.05 vs treated rd1) suggesting progesterone may induce some protection against glutamate toxicity (Figure 23).



Figure 23. Glutamate concentrations (nmol/mgprot) in retinas from wild type (wt), rd1 and rd1 treated mice. Glutamate was measured at different post-natal days (PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17) by HPLC. The bars represent the mean concentration (at least n = 6 animals for each age and strain); the error bars represent the standard error of the mean (* p< 0.05 vs wt; # p< 0.05 vs treated rd1).

• GSH

GSH concentrations in the retina from wt, untreated and progesterone-treated rd1 mice are shown in Figure 24.

There were no differences in retinal GSH concentrations in the three groups of mice studied at PN11 and PN13, the peak of photoreceptor cell death in this animal model.

At PN15, just after the peak of degeneration, we could observe a significant decrease in GSH concentrations in the retina from untreated rd1 mice when compared with the concentrations observed in the retina from wt mice (* p< 0.05 vs wt; # p< 0.05 vs treated rd1) (Figure 24).

At PN17, GSH concentrations in retinas from untreated and progesterone-treated rd1 mice were significantly higher than those concentrations observed in retinas from wt mice (* p< 0.05 vs wt) (Figure 24).

Interestingly, treatment with progesterone in rd1 mice significantly increase GSH retinal concentrations at PN15 and PN17.

These results may suggest that at least some of the protection effect against photoreceptor degeneration elicited by progesterone may be due, in part, to the activation of the GSH system.



Figure 24. GSH concentrations (nmol/mg prot) in retinas from wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice. GSH was measured at different post-natal (PN) days (PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17) by HPLC. The bars represent the mean concentration (at least n = 6 animals for each age and strain). The error bars represent the standard error of the mean (* p< 0.05 vs wt; # p< 0.05 vs treated rd1).

• GSSG

GSSG concentrations in the retina from wt, untreated rd1 and progesterone-treated rd1 mice are shown in Figure 25.

At PN11 and PN13, GSSG concentrations in retinas from wt and untreated rd1 mice were similar. At PN15 and PN17, GSSG concentrations in retinas from untreated rd1 were higher than in retinas from wt mice (* p< 0.05 vs wt) (# p< 0.05 vs treated rd1).

GSSG concentrations in retinas from rd1 mice treated with progesterone were significantly higher compared to GSSG concentrations of retinas from wt and untreated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17.


Figure 25. Glutathione disulfide (GSSG) concentrations (nmol/mg prot) in retinas from wt, rd1 and treated rd1 mice at different post-natal (PN) days. GSSG was measured at different PN days (PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17) by HPLC. The bars represent the mean concentration (at least n = 6 animals for each age and strain). The error bars represent the standard error of the mean (* p< 0.05 vs wt; # p< 0.05 vs treated rd1).

• Cys

Figure 26 shows retinal Cysteine (Cys) concentrations from wt, untreated and progesterone-treated rd1 mice at different PN days (PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17).

At PN11, PN15 and PN17 there was no difference in Cys concentrations in retinas from wt compared to those found in the retina from untreated and progesteronetreated rd1 mice.

However, Cys concentrations at PN13 were significantly decreased in retinas from progesterone-treated rd1 mice compared to those found in the retina from untreated rd1 mice (# p< 0.05 vs treated rd1).



Figure 26. Cysteine (Cys) concentrations (nmol/mgprot) in retinas from wild type, rd1 and treated rd1 mice. Cysteine was measured at different post-natal days (PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17) by HPLC. The bars represent the mean concentration (at least n = 6 animals for each age and strain). The error bars represent the standard error of the mean (* p< 0.05 vs wt; # p< 0.05 vs treated rd1).

2.1.2 GSH Immunohistochemistry

Figure 27 shows GSH immunostaining in the retina from wt, untreated and progesterone-treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17.

At PN11 retinas from wt, untreated and progesterone-treated rd1 mice exhibit a similar GSH pattern of labeling: prominent immunoreactivity was found mainly in inner nuclear layer (INL) and in outer plexiform layer (OPL) and an intense staining was also found in cells located within the ganglion cell layer (GCL) and in processes from Müller cells.

With age, the pattern of GSH staining in retinas from wt mice changed and the intense of staining gradually decreased in the INL and GCL. Interestingly, at PN17, GSH inmunolabeling was almost restricted to the OPL and Müller cells in control retinas.

This same pattern of GSH inmunostainig was observed in retinas from treated with progesterone rd1 mice. However, retinal GSH distribution exhibit a different pattern of inmunostainning in the rd1 mice at PN17, and GSH was still observed in the GCL and INL, in addition to the staining observed in the OPL (Figure 27).

Table 3. Measurement of the area occupied by GSH immunolabeling in the ONL of the retina from wild type, untreated and treated by progesterone rd1 mice at PN11 and PN17 (areas were calculated with Image J software).

Post-natal days	wild type	rd1	rd1 treated
PN11	18%	13%	13%
PN17	4%	14%	3%



Figure 27. Mice mid-peripheral retina immunolabelled for GSH from the three groups studied, wild type, rd1 and progesterone-treated rd1 mice at different post-natal (PN) days (PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17). (Scale bar = 50).

2.1.3 GAD-65 Immunohistochemistry

Figure 28 shows GAD-65 immunostaining in the retina from wt, untreated and progesterone-treated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17.

At PN11 and PN13, in retinas from wt, untreated and progesterone-treated rd1 mice, GAD65-immunoreactive profile was observed in the OPL, INL, inner plexiform layer (IPL), GCLs and in retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) (Figure 28).

With age (PN15 and PN17), the pattern of GAD-65 staining in retinas from wt, rd1 and progesterone-treated rd1 mice seemed to change and the intensity of staining increased in the IPL.

However, no differences were observed in the retina from the different groups studied (wt, untreated and progesterone-treated rd1 mice).



Figure 28. Mice retina immunolabeled for GAD-65 at different post-natal (PN) days (PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17) from retinas of the three groups studied (wild type, untreated and progesterone-treated rd1 mice). (Scale bar = 50).

2.2 Progesterone delays rod and cone cell death in rd1 mice

2.2.1. Optical coherence tomography

Figure 29 shows changes in retinal thickness during the degeneration process in rd1 mice, showing that the thickness of the retina is decreased in the rd1 mice model over time.

Optical coherence tomography (OCT) of representative animals (Figure 30) showed a total retinal thickness of 0.177 mm on average in rd1, and progesterone-treated rd1 mice at PN17. At this time, the retinal thickness as measured on OCT decreased in both groups, with the progesterone-treated eyes ultimately showing a trend toward less thinning of the retinas compared with the rd1 untreated group.

Although OCT could resolve all the major retinal layers in mice and it has the capability to image the morphology of the rodent retina non-invasively and thereby to monitor progressive changes caused by degeneration in rd1 mice, it cannot reach the resolution of standard histological methods.



Figure 29. Changes of rd1 mouse retinal thickness during degeneration at different postnatal (PN) days (PN15, PN17, PN60 and PN90). The figure represents the thicknesses of the whole retina divided in three areas: far peripheral, mid peripheral and central retina. The markers represent the mean (at least n = 8 animals for each age and strain). The error bars represent the standard error of the mean.



Figure 30. Optical coherence tomography (OCT) of representative animals of progesterone-treated rd1 mice compare to untreated rd1 groups at post-natal (PN) day PN17. (A) progesterone-treated rd1 and (B) untreated rd1 mice.

2.2.2 Histological study

Figure 31 shows hematoxilin-eosin stainings of the retina from the three groups studied (wt, rd1 and progesterone-treated rd1 mice) at PN11, PN13, PN15, and PN17 days. Ocular sections through the far peripheral, mid peripheral and central retina were used (n = 6).

At PN11, no differences between the number of rows in the ONL in the retina from wt, untreated rd1 and progesterone-treated rd1 mice were observed. At PN13, PN15 and PN17 it appears to be more rows in the ONL of the retina from progesterone-treated rd1 compared to untreated rd1 mice.

In the rd1 mice, the photoreceptor cell death occurs in a characteristic topographical pattern starting in the posterior retina and spreading anteriorly and further posteriorly towards the optic nerve. For that reason, the results are expressed in graphics where the different regions (far peripheral, mid peripheral and central retina) at the different PN days (PN11, PN13, PN15, and PN17) were shown (Figure 32, Figure 33 and Figure 34). Regions shown in Figure 31 are far peripheral retina.

At the far peripheral retina, differences in the number of rows in the ONL of the retinas from the different groups studied (wt, untreated and progesterone-treated rd1

mice) were not initially appreciated (PN11) (Figure 31 and Figure 32). However, at PN13, PN15 and PN17, differences were notable (Figure 32), showing a statistically significant increase in the number of rows in the ONL of the retina from the oral administration of progesterone rd1 mice compared to a number of rows in the ONL of the retina from untreated rd1 mice (* p< 0.05 vs wt; # p< 0.05 vs treated rd1).

At mid peripheral retina, the results were similar to those found in the far peripheral retina. At PN11, differences between groups (wt, rd1 and treated rd1 mice) were not appreciated, but at PN13, PN15 and PN17 (Figure 31 and Figure 33) the number of rows in the ONL of the retinas from progesterone-treated rd1 mice had significantly increased compared to those in rd1 untreated mice (* p< 0.05 vs wt; # p< 0.05 vs treated rd1 mice).

When we observed the area of the retina close to the optic nerve (central retina), there were no changes in the number of rows in the ONL of the retinas from untreated rd1 compare to progesterone-treated rd1 mice at PN11 and PN13 (Figure 34). At PN15 and PN17, the number of rows in the ONL of the retinas from progesterone-treated rd1 mice had increased compared to those found in the retina from rd1 untreated mice, and was statically significant (Figure 34) (* p< 0.05 vs wt; # p< 0.05 vs treated rd1 mice).

From these results, it can be suggested that treatment with progesterone significantly preserves photoreceptor cell number in the retina from rd1 mouse model compared to untreated rd1 mice.



Figure 31. Hematoxilin-eosin staining of retinal sections shows retinas from control, rd1 and progesterone-treated rd1 mice, at post-natal (PN) days PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17 in far peripheral retina. Progesterone treatment delays rod and cone cell death in rd1 mice. (Scale bar = 50).



Figure 32. Graphical representation of the number of rows in the far peripheral retina of the outer nuclear layer (ONL) in the three groups studied (wild type (wt), rd1 and treated rd1 mice). The bars represent the mean (at least n = 8 animals for each age and strain) of the number of rows. The error bars represent the standard error of the mean (* p < 0.05 vs wt; # p < 0.05 vs treated rd1).



Figure 33. Graphical representation of the number of rows in the mid peripheral retina of the outer nuclear layer (ONL) in the three groups studied (wild type (wt), rd1 and treated rd1 mice). The bars represent the mean (at least n = 8 animals for each age and strain) of the number of rows. The error bars represents the standard error of the mean (* p < 0.05 vs wt; # p < 0.05 vs treated rd1).



Figure 34. Graphical representation of the number of rows in the area of the retina close to the optic nerve in the outer nuclear layer (ONL) in the three groups studied (wild type (wt), rd1 and treated rd1 mice). The bars represent the mean (at least n = 8 animals for each age and strain) of the number of rows. The error bars represent the standard error of the mean (* p< 0.05 vs wt; # p< 0.05 vs treated rd1).

2.3. Progesterone treatment reduces apoptosis in the rd1 mice model

The distribution of TUNEL-positive cells is not uniform throughout the degenerating rd1 retina. The photoreceptor cell death occurs in a characteristic topographical pattern starting in the posterior retina and spreading anteriorly and further posteriorly towards the optic nerve. As mentioned above, for that reason the results are expressed in figures separated by different regions (far peripheral, mid peripheral and central retina) at the different PN days (PN11, PN13, PN15, and PN17) (Figure 35, Figure 36, Figure 37 and Figure 38). Regions shown in Figure 35 are far peripheral regions.

At the far peripheral retina, the number of TUNEL-positive cells in the ONL of the retinas from the groups studied (wt, untreated and progesterone-treated rd1 mice) were notable at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17. We found a significant decrease in the number of TUNEL-positive cells in the ONL of the retina from treated rd1 mice compared to untreated rd1 mice (* p< 0.05 vs wt; # p< 0.05 vs treated rd1) (Figure 35 and Figure 36).

At the mid peripheral retina, the results were similar to those found in the far peripheral retina. At PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17 (Figure 37), the number of TUNEL-positive cells in the ONL of the retinas from progesterone-treated rd1 mice had significantly decreased compared to those in rd1 untreated mice (* p< 0.05 vs wt; # p< 0.05 vs treated rd1).

At PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17, the number of TUNEL-positive cells in the ONL of the retinas from rd1 mice treated with progesterone had significantly decreased compared to those found in the retina from rd1 untreated mice (* p< 0.05 vs wt; # p< 0.05 vs treated rd1) (Figure 37).

The total number of TUNEL-positive cells in the ONL of the retina in the different regions (far peripheral, mid peripheral and central retina) was counted to give an accurate representation of the protection afforded by progesterone (Table 4). These results suggest that progesterone may delay cell death in the rd1 mice model, decreasing the number of TUNEL-positive cells in the ONL.

These studies were completed on both male and female populations, with similar protection achieved for both sexes, which indicated that the effects we observed were not gender specific (data not shown).

While it is evident, thus far, that progesterone has neuroprotective properties for photoreceptors, it is important to elucidate whether this neuroprotection translates into improved function.

Results



Figure 35. TUNEL staining in retinas from wild type, untreated and progesteronetreated rd1 mice at different post-natal (PN) days (PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17). The number of positive cells were reduced in the retinas of progesterone-treated rd1 mice compared to those found in the retinas from untreated rd1 mice. (Scale bar = 50).



Figure 36. Graphical representation of the number of TUNEL-positive cells at postnatal (PN) days PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17 (postive cells/AUA) in the retina from the different groups studied, wild type (wt), rd1 and progesterone-treated rd1 mice) (six retinas for each age and group) in the far peripheral region of the ONL. The bars are means of the number of TUNEL-positive cells. The error bars represent the standard error of the mean (* p< 0.05 vs wt; # p< 0.05 vs treated rd1).



Figure 37. Graphical representation of the number of TUNEL-positive cells at postnatal (PN) days PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17 (postive cells/AUA) in the retina from the different groups studied (wild type (wt), rd1 and progesterone-treated rd1 mice (six retinas for each age and group) in the mid peripheral retina of the ONL. The bars are means of the number of positive cells. The error bars represent the standard error of the mean (* p< 0.05 vs wt; # p< 0.05 vs treated rd1).



Figure 38. Graphical representation of the number of TUNEL-positive cells at postnatal (PN) days PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17 (postive cells/AUA) in the retina from the different groups studied (wild type (wt), rd1 and progesterone-treated rd1 mice) (six retinas for each age and group) in the central retina of the ONL. The bars are means of the number of positive cells. The error bars represent the standard error of the mean (* p< 0.05 vs wt; # p< 0.05 vs treated rd1).

Table 4. Percentage of the cells in the outer nuclear layer (ONL) that are TUNELpositive in the retina from the different groups studied (wild type (wt), untreated and progesterone-treated rd1 mice) in far peripheral, mid peripheral and central retina at post-natal (PN) days PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17.

PN11	Far periphero	al Mid peripher	al Central retina
wt	4%	6%	6%
rd1	59%	76%	70%
treated rd1	17%	24%	27%

PN13	Far periphero	al Mid peripher	al Central retina
wt	4%	3%	6%
rd1	64%	68%	67%
treated rd1	33%	32%	30%

PN15	Far periphero	nl Mid peripher	al Central retina
wt	4%	9%	6%
rd1	34%	27%	26%
treated rd1	17%	24%	27%

PN17	Far periphero	al Mid peripher	al Central retina
wt	2%	5%	4%
rd1	17%	17%	16%
treated rd1	9%	10%	10%

2.4. Progesterone reduces gliosis in rd1 mice model

2.4.1 Immunohistochemistry GFAP

Figure 39, shows glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP) immunoreactivity in the retina from the three different groups studied (wt, untreated and progesterone-treated rd1 mice) at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17.

The study shows an increase in GFAP expression in the retina of the untreated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17 compared to wt mice, while GFAP expression in progesterone-treated rd1 mice presents a slight decrease over time compared to untreated rd1 mice (Figure 39).

Rd1 mice treated orally with progesterone starting at PN7 to PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17, showed gliosis in the retina. The pattern followed is closer to that of the rd1 group than to wt mice; however, gliosis seemed to be decreased, suggesting that progesterone decreases gliosis in RP.



Figure 39. Photographs representative of immunofluorescent labelling for glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP) in selected areas of the retina from wild type, rd1 and progesterone-treated rd1 mice, at different post-natal (PN) days (PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17). Gliosis is reduced in the retina of progesterone-treated rd1 mice compared to untreated rd1 mice. (Scale bar = 50).

2.5 Progesterone preserves photoreceptor function in rd1 mice

Electroretinography (ERG) analysis was carried out to compare retinal function in the different groups studied (wt, untreated rd1 and progesterone-treated rd1 mice) at PN13, PN15 and PN17. Alternate-day dosing with 100 mg/kg progesterone from PN7 to PN13, PN15 and PN17, respectively, improved the scotopic b-wave amplitude and awave amplitude compared to untreated rd1 mice (Figure 40, Figure 41 and Figure 43).

Figure 41 and Figure 42 show values of ERG scotopic a-wave amplitude, and values of ERG a-wave latency time at PN13, PN15 and PN17, respectively. At PN17, statistical changes of ERG a-wave values were observed in untreated rd1 mice and progesterone-treated rd1 mice compared to wt mice (*p \leq 0.05 vs wt), although we observed a tendency in the a-wave amplitude to increase after progesterone treatment.

Figure 43 and Figure 44 show values of ERG scotopic b-wave amplitude and values of ERG b-wave latency time at PN13, PN15 and PN17, respectively. B-wave amplitude was significantly decreased at PN15 and PN17 in untreated mice compared to wt mice (*p \leq 0.05 vs wt). At PN15 and PN17, treatment with progesterone restored retinal values of ERG b-wave amplitude to values similar to the ERG b-wave amplitude observed in retinas from wt mice (Figure 43). B-wave latency time was significantly decreased in the retina of treated rd1 mice compared to rd1 untreated mice at PN15 (# p< 0.05 vs rd1 treated) (Figure 44). At PN17, statistical changes of ERG b-wave latency time values were observed in untreated rd1 mice and progesterone-treated rd1 mice compared to wt mice (*p \leq 0.05 vs wt).

Taken together, these results suggest that treatment with progesterone may provide a certain improvement in photoreceptor function in the rd1 mouse model.









Figure 40. Progesterone significantly improved the a- and b-wave amplitude in progesterone-treated rd1 mice compared to untreated mice, indicating improved retinal function. Scotopic electroretinographies (ERGs) were done at PN13, PN15 and PN17, as described in the Materials and Methods sections. Representative wave forms are shown for the wild type (wt), rd1 and treated rd1 mice.



Figure 41. Graphical values of electroretinography (ERG) a-wave amplitude from the different groups studied (wild type (wt), rd1 and treated rd1 mice) at different postnatal (PN) days (PN13, PN15 and PN17). Significant differences were found at PN17. The bars are means of ERG a-wave amplitud. The error bars represent the standard error of the mean (* p < 0.05 vs wt).



Figure 42. Graphical values of electroretinography (ERG) a-wave latency time from the different groups studied (wild type (wt), rd1 and treated rd1 mice) at different postnatal (PN) days (PN13, PN15 and PN17). Significant differences were found at PN17. The bars are means of ERG a-wave latency. The error bars represent the standard error of the mean (* p < 0.05 vs wt).



Figure 43. Graphical values of electroretinography (ERG) b-wave amplitude from the different groups studied (wild type (wt), rd1 and treated rd1 mice) at different postnatal (PN) days (PN13, PN15 and PN17). Significant differences were found at PN15 and PN17. The bars are means of ERG b-wave amplitude. The error bars represent the standard error of the mean (* p < 0.05 vs wt and treated rd1).



Figure 44. Graphical values of electroretinography (ERG) b-wave latency time from the different groups studied (wild type (wt), rd1 and treated rd1 mice) at different postnatal (PN) days (PN13, PN15 and PN17). Significant differences were found at PN15 and PN17. The bars are means of ERG b-wave latency. The error bars represent the standard error of the mean (* p < 0.05 vs wt ; # p < 0.05 vs treated rd1).

-DISCUSSION

Retinitis pigmentosa (RP) refers to a group of diseases in which a mutation results in death of rod photoreceptors followed by gradual death of cones. Mutations in different genes have been found to cause RP, and mutations in many more cause widespread rod cell death in association with syndromes that have extraocular manifestations. The enormous genetic heterogeneity among the diseases that constitute RP is a problem for the development of treatments that deal with primary genetic defects (Miranda *et al.,* 2010).

Despite the diversity of retinal degeneration disorders, apoptosis of photoreceptors seems to be a common feature to all (Chang *et al.*, 1993; Dunaief *et al.*, 2002; Carella *et al.*, 2003; Miranda *et al.*, 2010). However, the underlying cell death mechanisms remain unknown and at present there is no treatment available (Kennan *et al.*, 2005; Komeima *et al.*, 2006; 2007; Paquet-Durand *et al.*, 2009; Miranda *et al.*, 2010; Cepko, 2012).

Oxidative stress has been implicated in the pathogenesis of RP (Shen *et al.*, 2005; Komeima *et al.*, 2006; Miranda *et al.*, 2007; 2010). One hypothesis is that after rods die, oxygen utilization in the outer retina is reduced; but choroidal vessels, unlike retinal vessels, are incapable of autoregulation to decrease blood and oxygen levels in the outer retina and they become markedly elevated (Yu *et al.*, 2000; 2004; Usui *et al.*, 2009). Because of its high oxygen request and content of unsaturated lipids and its constant exposure to light, retina may be an elective site for oxygen radical production and lipid peroxidation (Yamada *et al.*, 1999; 2001; Liang & Godley, 2003; Okoye *et al.*, 2003).

In the rd1 model, as well as in human forms of RP, cone photoreceptors die even though they do not express the mutated protein. Cone death is thus a secondary event occurring after extensive rod cell degeneration; the mechanism for this phenomenon is not known. Since cone photoreceptor cells are more crucial for human vision than rods, one may thus argue that rescuing the cones should be the primary goal for any RP therapy. Of course, saving the rods could also promote cone survival (Sanz *et al.*, 2007; Miranda *et al.*, 2010).

Furthermore, Leveillard & Sahel reported that rods secrete factors that promote cone survival (Leveillard & Sahel, 2010; Lambard *et al.*, 2010); rods secrete a rod-

derived cone viability factor (RdCVF), which is necessary for sustaining cones (Leveillard *et al.*, 2004; Chalmel *et al.*, 2007). Interestingly the authors identified RdCVF as a truncated form of thioredoxin (Trx) –like a protein. Trx is an oxidation/reduction regulatory protein. The similarities between RdCVF and Trx could indicate that photoreceptors in general are very sensitive to oxidative stress (Sanz *et al.*, 2007) and agree very well with our demonstration here that a treatment with progesterone has a beneficial effect on photoreceptor survival.

1. CHARACTERIZATION OF TEMPORAL GLUTAMATE AND THIOL METABOLISM IN rd1 MICE

The cellular response to stress often involves changes in thiol content, which is first consumed in reactions that protect the cell by removing the deleterious compound and is then replaced through either enzymatic reduction of a disulfide, when that is possible, or by de novo synthesis (Dickinson & Forman, 2002).

Considerable evidence is available showing that thiols have important roles in the regulation of fundamental cellular processes, such as cell proliferation, differentiation, and apoptosis (Samiec *et al.*, 1998; Hall, 1999; Kirlin *et al.*, 1999; Nkabyo *et al.*, 2002; Miranda *et al.*, 2010).

Although previous studies have investigated some aspects of GSH metabolism in RP (Sanz *et al.,* 2007; Lu *et al.,* 2009; Miranda *et al.,* 2010), relatively little is known about possible changes in Cys and glutamate concentrations in RP, as well as changes in disulfides, such as GSSG.

1.1 Glutamate

L-glutamate is the primary excitatory neurotransmitter in the vertebrate central nervous system (CNS) (Michaelis, 1998; Thoreson & Witkovsky, 1999; Reis *et al.*, 2009; Kalivas, 2009). Glutamate participates in many important physiological processes, such as developmental plasticity, long-term potentiation and in development (Scheetz & Constantine-Paton, 1994; Bisti *et al.*, 1998). In the vertebrate retina, glutamate is released from photoreceptors and bipolar cell terminals and exerts its actions by activating postsynaptic ionotropic and/or metabotropic receptors that are expressed in most, if not all, retinal cells (Thoreson & Witkovsky, 1999). The fine tuning of glutamate uptake and degradation in glial cells is essential to avoid neurotoxicity and to allow normal signal transmission between photoreceptors and bipolar cells (Derouiche & Rauen, 1995; Rauen *et al.*, 1998; Harada *et al.*, 1998; Delyfer *et al.*, 2005; Bringmann *et al.*, 2009).

The increase of glutamate concentrations in the retina have been implicated in several diseases such as glaucoma, diabetes mellitus, and retinal detachments (Romano *et al.*, 1995; Ambati *et al.*, 1997; Brooks *et al.*, 1997; Sucher *et al.*, 1997; Lieth

et al., 1998; Dkhissi *et al.,* 1999; Kowluru & Kennedy, 2001; Martin *et al.,* 2002; 2005; Pulido *et al.,* 2007; Mattson, 2008).

Moreover, alterations in glutamate metabolism have been demonstrated in different models of inherited retinal degeneration (Ulshafer *et al.*, 1990; Nakazawa *et al.*, 1993; Noro *et al.*, 1994; Fletcher & Kalloniatis, 1996; Kalloniatis & Tomisich, 1999; Fletcher *et al.*, 2000). In the GUCY1* chick, an increase in glutamate and aspartate was found in the photoreceptor layer of blind chicks (Ulshafer *et al.*, 1990). In the RCS rat an increase in aspartate and glutamine levels was observed (Fletcher & Kalloniatis, 1996; Kalloniatis & Tomisich, 1999; Fletcher *et al.*, 2000). In the rds/rds mouse an accumulation of glutamate has also been observed in photoreceptors (Nakazawa *et al.*, 1993; Noro *et al.*, 1994).

However, other studies, for example, Orr and colleagues, did not observe any differences in glutamate concentrations between dystrophic and wt retinas (Orr *et al.,* 1976).

Our results (see Figure 19) indicate an increase in glutamate concentrations in the retina from rd1 mice compared to those concentrations found in the retinas from wt mice at PN7, PN11, PN15 and PN17. After PN17, glutamate concentrations decrease until PN19, where glutamate concentrations in rd1 and wt mice were similar at PN21 and PN28. These results are in agreement with the results obtained by Delyfer and coworkers, who found an increased in glutamate concentrations in the retinas from rd1 mice compared to retinas from wt mice (Delyfer et al., 2005). However, our results do not coincide in time with the results observed by Delyfer *et al.* as we observed a normalization of glutamate concentrations after PN19, and their study showed a continuous increase in this parameter until PN35. The differences might be explained by the different analytical techniques used.

In the CNS, neurotransmitter activity is crucial to regulate synaptic connectivity (Hollmann & Heinemann, 1994; Gan, 2003). Perturbation in glutamate levels during a critical period of development may therefore account for abnormal synaptogenesis in the rd1 mouse (Blanks *et al.,* 1974; Delyfer *et al.,* 2005). The possibility that glutamate excess may retrogradely affect photoreceptors is further supported by the severe

disruption of photoreceptor outer segments and terminals following intravitreal injections of kainate in the chicken eye (Barrington *et al.,* 1989; Sattayasai *et al.,* 1989).

In photoreceptors, glutamate has been reported to elicit a current generated by a transporter coupled to chloride channels (Picaud *et al.*, 1995a; 1995b) and to activate some metabotropic receptors, and thereby modulating intracellular calcium concentration (Koulen *et al.*, 1999; Marc *et al.*, 2003; Delyfer *et al.*, 2005). It is also known that excessive activation of ionotropic glutamate receptors leads to neuronal cell death (Olney *et al.*, 1974).

1.2 Thiol metabolism

GSH is the most abundant low molecular weight thiol of bacteria, plant and animal cells (Meister, 1988; Aoyama *et al.,* 2008; Forman *et al.,* 2009). GSSG is reduced to GSH by NADPH through the GSH reductase reaction (Dickinson & Forman, 2002; Townsend *et al.,* 2003). Cys is a precursor of GSH, and Cys or CysS can independently affect cell proliferation by altering the available Cys-CysS pool (Nkabyo *et al.,* 2005).

Several researchers postulate that within cells there are three redox systems (Cys/ CysS; GSH/GSSG and Trx-SH/Trx-sS) that are independently controlled and compartmentalized (Filomeni *et al.*, 2002; Circu & Aw, 2008). Cys, CysS, GSH and GSSG comprise the major low-molecular weight thiol/disulfide redox control systems in mammals (Iyer *et al.*, 2009).

The reduction potentials for the redox couples GSH/GSSG and Cys/CysS in plasma are useful indicators of systemic oxidative stress (Navarro *et al.,* 1997 Jonas, 2000; 2002) and other medically relevant physiological states such as Alzheimer's and Parkinson's diseases, diabetes, Cystic fibrosis, and HIV infection (Buhl *et al.,* 1989; Roum *et al.,* 1993; Bains & Shaw, 1997; Samiec *et al.,* 1998; Jiang *et al.,* 2005; Sanz *et al.,* 2010).

Considerable evidence is available that shows that the maintenance of cellular GSH pools protect against oxidant-induced apoptosis (Hall, 1999; Miranda *et al.*, 2010).

Reduced GSH concentration and/or a disproportionate ratio of GSH:GSSG has been associated with a number of neuropathological processes, such as Parkinson's disease,

109

Alzheimer's diseases, RP, diabetes, etc (Perry *et al.*, 1982; Smith *et al.*, 1991; Sotic *et al.*, 1992; Pow *et al.*, 1995; Jiang *et al.*, 2005; Miranda *et al.*, 2010).

Moreover, GSH depletion has been reported to induce apoptosis in certain cell systems (Fernandes & Cotter, 1994; Jenner & Olanow, 1996; Miranda *et al.*, 2010), and an increase in GSSG has been shown to induce directly apoptosis (Franco & Cidlowski, 2009) because caspases, the main players in the execution phase of apoptosis, can suffer S-glutathiolation (Circu & Aw, 2008).

GSH is synthesized from the amino acids Cys, glutamate and glycine. De *novo* synthesis of GSH occurs exclusively in the cytosolic compartment and is initiated by the synthesis of γ -glutamylcysteine from glutamate and Cys by the γ -glutamylcysteine synthetase; glycine is subsequently added by the activity of GSH synthetase (Franco & Cidlowski, 2009).

In our study, GSH concentrations showed a tendency to decrease in the retina from rd1 mice compared to those found in the retina from control mice until PN15 (Figure 20). It is at this point (after the onset of degeneration), when GSH concentrations in rd1 mice start to increase, finding significant differences at PN15, PN17 and PN19 compared to those concentrations found in wt mice. With respect to GSSG concentrations, the increase in rd1 mice just occurs after the onset of degeneration compared to wt mice, with significant differences occurring at PN21 and PN28 (Figure 21).

It could be concluded that the early increase in retinal glutamate amounts in the rd1 retina is a direct consequence of the mutation; that this increase may damage photoreceptors facilitating their death by apoptosis; and that the increase in GSH concentration is also a consequence of the excess of glutamate. Our results show that the increase in retinal GSH concentration in rd1 mice occurs at the same time that the decrease in glutamate (PN17), but occurs too late to minimize the disturbances that glutamate may have caused.

However, whether these changes are due to alterations in its synthesis or the degradation to better explain the biological significance of GSH changes should be more deeply analyzed.

110

2. EVALUATION OF PROGESTERONE ACTIVITY IN rd1 MICE RETINA

Progesterone is a neurosteroid that has multiple biological functions in the CNS among which is its ability to afford neuroprotection; it is also well documented that it promotes cell survival and proliferation and has palliative and disease regression effects in non-neuronal tissue (Rider & Psychoyos, 1994; Makrigiannakis *et al.*, 2000; Svensson *et al.*, 2000; Olive & Pritts, 2001; Lange, 2008).

There is evidence documenting that the retina is not only another CNS structure targeted by steroids, but also a probable site of neurosteroid production (Lanthier & Patwardhan, 1988; Guarneri et al., 1994; Compagnone et al., 1995; Guarneri et al., 1998; Cascio et al., 2000; Compagnone & Mellon, 2001; Sakamoto et al., 2001; Guarneri et al., 2003; Cascio et al. 2007).

Estrogen and progesterone have significant neuroprotective properties against various neurodegenerative disorders (Tang *et al.,* 1996; Slooter *et al.,* 1999; Waring *et al.,* 1999; Behl, 2002; Zlotnik *et al.,* 2011). Estrogen is reported to influence the architecture and function of the eye (Salyer *et al.,* 2001), the incidence and severity of many ocular diseases (Smith *et al.,* 1997; Snow & Seddon, 2000), and to protect from retinal degenerations (Nonaka *et al.,* 2000; Marin-Castaño *et al.,* 2003; Bucolo & Drago, 2004; Yu *et al.,* 2004).

Progesterone treatment has shown neuroprotective beneficial effects in different studies (Stein *et al.*, 2008) conducted by different research groups in rats (Pascual *et al.*, 2002; Leonelli *et al.*, 2007), mice (Gibson & Murphy, 2004; Schumacher *et al.*, 2004), cats (Cervantes *et al.*, 2002) and rabbits (Chavez-Delgado *et al.*, 2003). Schumacher *et al.* reported that progesterone treatment of symptomatic Wobbler mice with motoneuron degeneration reduced neuropathology and up-regulated myelination in Schwann cells and oligodendrocytes in the CNS (Schumacher *et al.*, 2004). Ghoumari *et al.* demonstrated that progesterone treatment increases myelin production, especially in injured animals (Ghoumari *et al.*, 2003).

Similarly, Pascual *et al.* reported that progesterone treatment can reverse the effects of hypoxic injury to the solitary tract nucleus of rats (Pascual *et al.,* 2002). And most recently, Leonelli and coworkers have shown that long-term treatment with progesterone can reduce experimentally induced diabetic neuropathy in rats, a finding

consistent with the hormone's reported effects on myelin production (Leonelli *et al.,* 2007).

However, some studies have failed to show positive effects for progesterone in rats (Azcoitia *et al.,* 1998; Murphy *et al.,* 2000; Toung *et al.,* 2004; Gilmer *et al.,* 2008).

In models of inherited retinal degenerations (rd1), little is known about the effects of steroid sex hormones on disease progression. The literature strongly supports an antioxidant-based therapeutic approach in rd models (Komeima *et al.,* 2007; Usui *et al.,* 2009; Miranda *et al.,* 2010) and in several instances neurotrophic factors attenuate photoreceptor degeneration (Faktorovich *et al.,* 1990; Cayouette *et al.,* 1998; Bok *et al.,* 2002; Doonan *et al.,* 2011).

The present study describes progesterone as possible treatment in retinal degenerative diseases, such as RP.

2.1 Progesterone reduces oxidative stress

Sex steroid hormones regulate the activities of a number of enzymes of the antioxidant defence system (Huh *et al.,* 1994; Pajović *et al.,* 1999; 2002; Azevedo *et al.,* 2001; Pajovic *et al.,* 2003; Ozacmak & Sayan, 2009). Different studies have demonstrated that progesterone can reduce the level of malondialdehyde, a marker of oxidative stress, and prevent cerebral ischemia as well as traumatic brain injury (Roof & Hall, 2000; Morali *et al.,* 2005; Ozacmak & Sayan, 2009). Similarly, increased expression of the antioxidant enzymes superoxide dismutase, GSH peroxidase, and catalase has been reported following progesterone administration after bilateral common carotid artery occlusion (Aggarwal *et al.,* 2008).

It has been demonstrated, in *in vivo* and *in vitro* studies, that progesterone and estrogens are neuroprotective in models of acute neuronal stress and neurodegeneration (Amantea *et al.,* 2005). Estrogens suppress lipid peroxidation induced by amyloid β -peptide and ferrous sulfate (Kii *et al.,* 2005) and attenuate cell death caused by oxidative stress in a hippocampal cell line (Vedder *et al.,* 1999). The mechanism for the anti-oxidative action of estrogens is proposed to reduce the elevation of intracellular Ca²⁺ concentration, which is a major element in the

development of ischemic damage by reactive oxygen species (Behl *et al.,* 1995; Ozacmak & Sayan, 2009).

We have investigated whether progesterone was able to reverse the observed alterations in glutamate and thiol retinal metabolism in the rd1 mice.

2.1.1 Determination of glutamate and thiol metabolism in wt, rd1 and treated rd1 mice

Glutamate

Progesterone (P4) is protective against excitotoxicity in dissociated cultured neurons (Goodman *et al.*, 1996; Ogata *et al.*, 1993; Nilsen & Brinton, 2002; 2003; Atif *et al.*, 2009; Mannella *et al.*, 2009; Luoma *et al.*, 2011; 2012), and has been shown to protect organotypic explants of the cerebral cortex against glutamate-induced cytotoxicity (Jodhka *et al.*, 2009; Liu *et al.*, 2010). Studies from Kaur *et al.* have demonstrated that protection from glutamate toxicity is associated with increased levels of brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) transcript and protein in cortical neurons using an organotypic slice model (Kaur *et al.*, 2007).

Progesterone protects hippocampal neurons from FeSO4-, amyloid β - as well as glutamate-induced cell death (Goodman *et al.*, 1996; Nilsen & Brinton, 2002; 2003; Kaur *et al.*, 2007; Hwang *et al.*, 2009). Furthermore, progesterone is effective in reducing secondary neuronal loss and the associated cognitive impairment following cortical contusion injury (Roof *et al.*, 1994; Asbury *et al.*, 1998; Hwang *et al.*, 2009).

Our results are in agreement with all these previous observations as we have found that treatment with progesterone significantly reduced retinal glutamate concentrations in the retina from rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17 (Figure 23), suggesting some protection against glutamate toxicity.

Excitotoxic death of neurons is a complex process that has been shown to occur through a variety of mechanisms that are not yet fully understood. However, it is thought that release of this neurotransmitter into the extracellular space, leads to the activation of both ionotropic and metabotropic glutamate receptor-mediated signalling pathways. Subsequent intracellular calcium elevations and calciumdependent signalling pathways induced by this sharp increase in extracellular glutamate is a major cause of injury-induced neuronal death (Choi, 1985; Tymianski, & Tator, 1996; Bender *et al.*, 2009; Xu *et al.*, 2009; Luoma *et al.*, 2012). Since excitotoxicity often leads to calcium-dependent death and progesterone is neuroprotective, it has been suggested that progesterone may prevents excitotoxic neuronal death by preventing the resulting calcium overload (Szydlowska & Tymianski, 2010; Luoma et al., 2012).

Thiol metabolism

Different studies have shown the relationship between GSH and progesterone. Kangf & Uthus found a depletion of GSH and depression of estrogen and progesterone in female rats plasma after intraperitoneal injection of BSO (Kangf & Uthus, 1996). Ozacmak & Sayan, have shown that combination of 17α estradiol- and progesterone, restored GSH levels in hippocampus in cerebral ischemia in rats (Ozacmak & Sayan, 2009). Faddah *et al.* observed that intramuscular injection of Depo-medroxy progesterone acetate (DMPA) significantly decreased GSH concentrations in humans (Faddah et al., 2005). Similar results were observed by Yu in 1994 (Yu, 1994)

In this sense, in our study, we have found a significant increase in GSH (Figure 25), suggesting some protection against degeneration, may be by activation of GSH synthesis.

2.1.2 GSH Immunohistochemistry

It has been demonstrated that GSH is present in the retina (Hermann & Moses, 1945; Kowluru *et al.,* 1994). In the mammalian retina, it has also been reported that there is no GSH immunoreactivity in outer segments of rod and cone photoreceptors from rodent, rabbit, primate and zebrafish retinas (Pow & Crook, 1995; Schuette & Werner, 1998; Marc & Cameron, 2001), but Müller cells and inner retinal neurons appear to contain substantial pools of this compound (Organisciak *et al.,* 1984; Pow & Crook, 1995; Reichelt *et al.,* 1997b; Schuette & Werner, 1998; Huster *et al.,* 2000).

Interestingly, the staining of GSH and GSSG in the retinal section of different age wt and rd1 mice showed a change in its localization at different ages (Figure 27). At PN11, retinas from wt and rd1 mice exhibit a similar GSH labelling pattern: prominent immunoreactivity was found mainly in INL and OPL; an intense staining was also found in cells located within the GCL and in some Müller cell processes. With age, the pattern of GSH and GSSG staining in retinas from wt mice changed and the intense of staining gradually decreased in the INL and GCL. Interestingly, at PN17, GSH immunolabelling was almost restricted to the OPL and Müller cells in wt retinas. However, retinal GSH distribution exhibits a different pattern of immunostaining in rd1 mice at PN17, and GSH and GSSG was still observed in the GCL and the INL in addition to the staining observed in the OPL.

Our main objective was to evaluate not only quantitative changes in GSH concentrations in the rd1 retina when compared with wt retinas, but also to study these changes by immunohistochemistry. In this thesis, we could observe that at PN15 (immediately after the peak of cell death) GSH concentration was decreased in rd1 retinas when compared to control retinas and then increased at PN17 and PN19; whereas GSH retinal concentrations were again similar at PN21 and PN28 (Figure 20). GSSG levels in rd1 retinas were similar from PN3 to PN19, and then significantly increased at PN21 and PN28, which is considered to be the terminal stage of rod degeneration (Figure 21).

It is well known that under normal conditions, retinal GSH is almost exclusively confined to Müller cells, astrocytes, and horizontal cells, and that under conditions associated with oxidative stress, GSH is rapidly released from Müller cells and provided to neurons (Pow & Crook, 1995; Schütte & Werner, 1998; Bringmann et al., 2009). Progesterone-treated rd1 mice exhibited the same pattern of GSH inmunostainig observed in the retinas from wt mice (Figure 27).

2.1.3 GAD-65 Immunohistochemistry

Excellent reviews of glutamate's actions in the vertebrate retina have been published (Massey, 1990; Wilson, 1994; Massey & Maguire, 1995; Thoreson & Witkovsky, 1998; Bringmann *et al.*, 2009). Glutamate toxicity has been demonstrated both on inner retinal cells and on photoreceptor terminals (Lucas & Newhouse, 1957; Olney, 1969; 1982; Yazulla & Kleinschmidt, 1980; Ingham & Morgan, 1983; Sattayasai & Ehrlich, 1987; Sattayasai *et al.*, 1989; Sahel *et al.*, 1991) and in ganglion cells (Vorwerk *et al.*, 1996; Sucher *et al.*, 1997).

115

Gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) is synthesized by two isoenzymes of glutamic acid decarboxylase, GAD65 and GAD67 (Ricci et al., 2005), which differ in their contributions to the synaptic and non-synaptic pools of GABA (Soghomonian & Martin, 1998; Sheikh et al., 1999; Souza et al., 2009). While GAD65 is highly enriched in the nerve terminals and is believed to regulate vesicular GABA synthesis, GAD67 is found throughout the GABAergic neurons and may be involved in the synthesis of cytosolic or "metabolic" GABA (Sheikh et al., 1999). Most studies describe GABA- and GADimmunoreactive in retinal horizontal cells in some mammals: rabbit, guinea pig, opossum, cat and monkey (Mosinger et al., 1986; Osborne et al., 1986; Agardh et al., 1987; Mosinger & Yazulla, 1987; Chun & Wässle, 1989; Pourcho & Owczarzak, 1989; Wässle & Chun, 1989; Grünert & Wässle, 1990; Vardi et al., 1994; Johnson & Vardi, 1998; Marc et al., 1998; Calaza et al., 2006; Guo et al., 2010). In contrast, there have been failures to consistently demonstrate either GABA or GAD-immunoreactivity in horizontal cells of both adult mouse and rat retinas. In these species, GABA and GADimmunoreactivities are transiently expressed by horizontal cells during the first several weeks of postnatal retinal development, and immunoreactivity is consistently reported to be absent in adult retinas (Vaughn et al., 1981; Lin et al., 1983; Schnitzer & Rusoff, 1984; Versaux-Botteri et al., 1989; Fletcher & Kalloniatis, 1997; Dkhissi et al., 2001). In our results, GAD65-immunoreactive profile was observed in the OPL, INL, IPL and GCLs, and with age (PN15 and PN17), the pattern of GAD-65 staining seems to change; the intensity of staining increased in the IPL in the retina from wt, rd1 and progesteronetreated rd1 mice (Figure 28).

2.2 Progesterone delays rod and cone cell death in rd1 mice

With regard to hormone therapy, some studies have demonstrated some protection of different hormones in many ocular diseases. Rex *et al.* found that the glycoprotein hormone erythropoietin afforded substantial protection from light damage but had no beneficial effects in the rd10 model (Rex *et al.*, 2004). Corrochano *et al.* observed a marked preservation of photoreceptor cell number and function in the rd10 mouse retina in response to pro-insulin (Corrochano *et al.*, 2008), indicating the potential for endocrine-induced neuroprotection. Doonan et al. have shown that
norgestrel significantly preserves the photoreceptor cell number and morphology in the same mouse model: the rd10 mouse (Doonan *et al.*, 2011).

Consistent with this, and knowing that in the rd1 mouse the photoreceptor cell death occurs in a characteristic topographical pattern starting in the posterior retina and spreading anteriorly and further posteriorly toward the optic nerve, herein we have observed significant differences in the number of rows in the ONL at PN13, PN15 and PN17 in the three areas of the retina studied: far peripheral, mid peripheral and central retina in the progesterone-treated rd1 mice when compared with the retinas from wt mice and untreated rd1 mice. We have shown that oral administration of 100 mg/kg body/weight of progesterone every 2 days, starting at PN7 significantly preserves the photoreceptor cell number in the rd1 mouse model (Figure 31, Figure 32, Figure 33 and Figure 34).

We have observed that in the peripheral retina, progesterone protection was more effective than in the central retina at PN15 and PN17, possibly because this area degenerates more slowly in this model.

2.3 Progesterone treatment reduces apoptosis in the rd1 model

Apoptosis, or programmed cell death, is an important form of cell death involving the activation of an endogenous cell suicide programme by either intrinsic or extrinsic stimuli (Carmody *et al.,* 1999; Drack *et al.,* 2012). Both embryogenesis and tumorigenesis depend, in part, on a skewing of the balance between apoptosis and maintenance. Apoptosis can be a biological defence to rid the body of unwanted or unneeded cells, and in normal retinal development, considerable apoptotic pruning occurs after the first post-natal week; however, disorders of apoptosis can also cause disease (Drack *et al.,* 2012).

Despite the diversity of retinal degeneration disorders, apoptosis of photoreceptors seems to be a common feature to all (Chang *et al.*, 1993; Dunaief *et al.*, 2002; Carella, 2003). RP develops as a result of defects in genes responsible for upholding the structural and/or functional integrity of photoreceptors.

Steroid hormones play a regulatory role in a variety of cellular processes such as reproduction, development, differentiation, apoptosis and brain function (Tsai & O'Malley, 1994). Progesterone has multiple biological functions in the CNS, among which is its ability to afford neuroprotection; it is well documented to promote cell survival and proliferation and to have palliative and disease regression effects in non-neuronal tissue (Rider & Psychoyos, 1994; Makrigiannakis *et al.*, 2000; Svensson *et al.*, 2000; Olive & Pritts, 2001; Lange, 2008). Progesterone has the ability to attenuate neuronal apoptosis associated with CNS damage (Gonzalez *et al.*, 2009; Shahrokhi *et al.*, 2010), to ameliorate the effects of stroke (Betz & Coester, 1990) and neurodegenerative conditions (Frye & Walf, 2009; Doonan *et al.*, 2011).

In this sense we have found a very substantial reduction in retinal cell death in progesterone-treated rd1 mice compared to untreated rd1 mice in the different retinal areas studied (far peripheral, mid peripheral and central retina) at PN11, PN13, P15 and PN17 (Figure 35, Figure 36, Figure 37, Figure 39 and Table 4).

Work by Doonan and colleagues (Doonan *et al.,* 2011), demonstrated that intraperitoneal injection of 100 mg/kg norgestrel on alternate days (a synthetic progestin) induced preservation of photoreceptors in rd10 mice on alternate days from PN18 to PN35 (Doonan *et al.,* 2011). However, in contrast, several retinal studies have failed to show beneficial effects of progesterone. Nakazawa *et al.* found that it did not afford protection in a model of retinal ganglion cell injury (Nakazawa *et al.,* 2006); O'Steen and colleagues, failed to demonstrate the protective effects of progesterone against light stress (O'Steen, 1977) and Kaldi and Berta, did not find improvement in retinal function or photoreceptor cell loss with the administration of progesterone for 4 days prior to a 24-h light induction (Kaldi & Berta, 2004).

However, our observations suggest that oral treatment with 100 mg/kg of progesterone substantially preserves the photoreceptor in rd1 mouse model.

2.4 Progesterone reduces gliosis in the rd1 mice model

Gliosis is the response of glial cells within neuronal tissue, including the retina, to any insult. It is characterized by the activation of glial cells, but the final outcome may be beneficial or detrimental to the surrounding tissue depending on the time scale involved (Bringmann *et al.*, 2006; 2009).

The recruitment of glia to damaged regions occurs in almost every pathological condition in the CNS (Kim & de Vellis, 2005; Wirenfeldt *et al.*, 2011; Rutar *et al.*, 2012) and is apparent in a range of prominent human retinal pathologies, including age-related macular degeneration (AMD) (Penfold *et al.*, 1986; Wong *et al.*, 2001; Gupta *et al.*, 2003; Ezzat *et al.*, 2008; Cherepanoff *et al.*, 2009), RP (Gupta *et al.*, 2003), late-onset retinal degeneration (Gupta *et al.*, 2003), retinal detachment (Lewis *et al.*, 2005), glaucoma (Vrabec, 1975; Neufeld, 1999; Yuan & Neufeld, 2001), and diabetic retinopathy (Vrabec, 1975; Zeng *et al.*, 2008), as well as in many experimental models of retinal degeneration (Langmann, 2007; Rutar *et al.*, 2012).

Müller cells are the predominant type of glial cells in the vertebrate retina and its cell bodies are localized in the middle of the INL. In contrast to glial cells in other regions of the CNS, Müller cells account for only 2-5% of the total retinal cell population (Young, 1985; Jeon *et al.*, 1998).

Müller cells respond to insults to the retina through a process referred to as gliosis (Bringmann & Reichenbach, 2001; Bringmann *et al.*, 2006). The function of gliosis is poorly understood (Roesch *et al.*, 2012). Even fundamental aspects, such as whether gliosis is protective or destructive, are not understood (Bringmann *et al.*, 2006; Nakazawa *et al.*, 2007). The most well-known aspect of the glial response is that Müller cells upregulate the intermediate filament protein, GFAP, a protein considered to be a marker for reactive gliosis, not only in the retina but also across the entire CNS (Bringmann & Reichenbach, 2001; Pekny & Nilsson, 2005). In the retina, Müller cells maintain the microenvironment and support photoreceptor cell function. Usually, astrocytes and microglia in the healthy retina are confined to the innermost retinal layers (nerve fiber layer, GCL, IPL) and they alter their characteristics to become reactive glial cells and express GFAP in their cell bodies when pathologic events occur (Peterson *et al.*, 2000; Lewis & Fisher, 2003; Kurihara *et al.*, 2006; Sasaki *et al.*, 2009).

In our study, we have observed an increase in GFAP expression in the retina of the untreated rd1 mice at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17 compared to GFAP expression

found in the retina from wt mice (Figure 39). The induction of GFAP in progesteronetreated rd1 mice was partially or slightly inhibited.

2.5 Progesterone improves function in a model of retinitis pigmentosa: rd1

ERG has been used as a reliable diagnostic tool for the evaluation of retinal function in RP and other ocular diseases (Gouras & Carr, 1964; Krill, 1972; Narfström *et al.,* 2012; Jae *et al.,* 2013). Essentially, when a surface electrode is placed on the front of the eyeball and a light is shone through the pupil, distinct electrical potentials are recorded that reflect the electrical activity in the retina in response to photic stimulation (Armington & Bloom, 1974). The ERG is largely composed of a negativegoing a-wave generated by rod photocurrents (Penn & Hagins, 1969), and a positivegoing b-wave arising from bipolar cell or Müller cell activity (Miller & Dowling, 1970; Stockton & Slaughter, 1989; Xu & Karwoski, 1994; Robson & Frishman, 1995; Shiells & Falk, 1999; Jeong *et al.,* 2010; Jae *et al.,* 2013).

ERGs at PN13, PN15 and PN17 were recordable, showing that the rescued rod photoreceptors were able to function producing visual responses in progesterone-treated rd1 mice (Figure 40, Figure 41 and Figure 43). However, the electrical response of the rescued photoreceptors from treated rd1 mice was lower than that of photoreceptors of wt mice (Figure 40).

Therefore, the oral administration of progesterone in the early phases of RP under the conditions used in the present study had a direct and positive effect on photoreceptors, rescuing them structurally and functionally in rd1 mice.

While this treatment does not seem to entirely inhibit the pathological course of the mutation, it clearly slows down its progression. Therefore, we believe that the rd1 degenerative processes causes oxidative stress, which exacerbates the situation. Progesterone treatment would then help by alleviating the composite effects of oxidative stress, thereby reducing the rate of cell death. Photoreceptor degeneration in the rd1 retina is very rapid, and is essentially complete in about 5 days. Taking this into account, every day that the rod cell death is delayed would suggests at least a 20% reduction due to progesterone treatment compared with the normal degeneration period. By extrapolation to the human situation, in which the degeneration can take many years, this could mean that loss of vision by such treatment could be delayed by several years, extending quality of life and giving time for other and more effective treatments to be developed.

In summary, our results demonstrate that oral administration of 100 mg/kg body/weight of progesterone appears to act on multiple levels to interrupt or delay destructive processes.

-CONCLUSIONS

1. There is an alteration in glutamate, GSH and GSSG concentrations in the retina of rd1 mice at different post-natal days (PN3, PN7, PN11, PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28).

1.1 Glutamate concentration is increased in the retina from rd1 mice compared to the glutamate concentration found in the retina from wt mice at PN7, PN11, PN15 and PN17. However, glutamate concentrations in rd1 mice starts to decrease at PN17, which is comparable with glutamate concentrations in the retina from wt mice at PN21 and PN28.

1.2 GSH concentration is decreased in the retina of rd1 mice compared to the concentration found in the retina from wt mice at PN11 and PN15. Immediately after the peak of cell death, GSH concentration in rd1 mice is increased at PN17 compared to GSH concentration from wt mice. However, GSH concentrations in rd1 mice start to decrease at PN17, which is comparable with GSH concentrations in the retina from wt mice at PN28.

1.3 GSSG concentration is increased in the retina of rd1 mice compared to that concentration found in the retina from wt mice at PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28.

1.4 There were no changes in Cys concentrations in the retina from rd1 mice compared to those concentrations found in the retina from wt mice.

2. Progesterone treatment delays cell death in an experimental model of RP, the rd1 mouse.

2.1 Progesterone treatment is able to restore the alterations in glutamate concentrations and thiol metabolism observed in the retina of rd1 mice.

2.2 Progesterone oral treatment is able to transiently protect photoreceptors from death in the retina of rd1 mice.

2.3 Progesterone treatment is able to prevent the characteristic gliosis observed in the retina of the rd1 mouse model.

2.4 Oral progesterone treatment is able to improve retinal functionality in rd1 mice.

-BIBLIOGRAPHY

-Agardh E, Ehinger B, Wu JY. GABA and GAD-like immunoreactivity in the primate retina. Histochemistry. 1987; 86:485-490.

-Aggarwal R, Medhi B, Pathak A, Dhawan V, Chakrabarti A. Neuroprotective effect of progesterone on acute phase changes induced by partial global cerebral ischaemia in mice. J Pharm Pharmacol. 2008; 60:731-737.

-Akhtar RS, Ness JM, Roth KA. Bcl-2 family regulation of neuronal development and neurodegeneration. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2004; 1644:189-203.

-Al-Shabrawey M, Rojas M, Sanders T, Behzadian A, El-Remessy A, Bartoli M, Parpia AK, Liou G, Caldwell RB. Role of NADPH oxidase in retinal vascular inflammation. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2008; 49:3239-3244.

-Ali RR, Sarra GM, Stephens C, Alwis MD, Bainbridge JW, Munro PM, Fauser S, Reichel MB, Kinnon C, Hunt DM, Bhattacharya SS, Thrasher AJ. Restoration of photoreceptor ultrastructure and function in retinal degeneration slow mice by gene therapy. Nature Genetics. 2000; 25:306-310.

-Alteheld N, Roessler G, Vobig M, Walter P. The retina implant new approach to a visual prosthesis. Biomedizinische Technik. 2004; 49:99-103.

-Amantea D, Russo R, Bagetta G, Corasaniti MT. From Clinical Evidence To Molecular Mechanisms Underlying Neuroprotection Afforded By Estrogens. Pharmacol Res. 2005; 52:119-132.

-Ambati J, Chalam KV, Chawla DK, D'Angio CT, Guillet EG, Rose SJ, Vanderlinde RE, Ambati BK. Elevated g-aminobutyric acid, glutamate, and vascular endothelial growth factor levels in the vitreous of patients with proliferative diabetic retinopathy. Arch Ophthalmol. 1997; 115:1161-1166.

-Anderson RE, Rapp LM, Wiegand RD. Lipid peroxidation and retinal degeneration. Curr Eye Res. 1984; 3:223-227.

-Anderson DH, Ozaki S, Nealon M, Neitz J, Mullins RF, Hageman GS, Johnson LV. Local cellular sources of apolipoprotein E in the human retina and retinal pigmented epithelium: implications for the process of drusen formation. Am J Ophthalmol. 2001; 131:767-781. -Aoyama K, Watabe M, Nakaki T. Regulation of neuronal glutathione synthesis, J Pharmacol Sci. 2008; 108:227-238.

-Aramant RB & Seiler MJ. Fiber and Synaptic Connections between Embryonic Retinal Transplants and Host Retina. Exp Neuro. 1995; 133:244-255.

-Ardeshiri A, Kelley MH, Korner IP, Hurn PD, Herson PS. Mechanism of progesterone neuroprotection of rat cerebellar Purkinje cells following oxygen-glucose deprivation. Eur J Neurosci. 2006; 24:2567-2574.

-Armington JC & Bloom MB. Relations between the amplitudes of spontaneous cascades and visual responses. J Opt Soc Am. 1974; 64:1263-1271.

-Arnal E, Miranda M, Barcia J, Bosch-Morell F, Romero FJ. Lutein and docosahexaenoic acid prevent cortex lipid peroxidation in streptozotocin-induced diabetic rat cerebral cortex. Neuroscience. 2010; 166:271-278.

-Arnold AP & Gorski RA. Gonadal steroid induction of structural sex differences in the central nervous system. Annu Rev Neurosci. 1984; 7:413-442.

-Arvin B, Neville LF, Barone FC, Feuerstein GZ. The role of inflammation and cytokines in brain injury. Neurosci Biobehav Rev. 1996; 20:445-452.

-Asbury ET, Fritts ME, Horton JE, Isaac WL. Progesterone facilitates the acquisition of avoidance learning and protects against subcortical neuronal death following prefrontal cortex ablation in the rat. Behav Brain Res. 1998; 97:99–106.

-Atif F, Sayeed I, Ishrat T, Stein DG. Progesterone with vitamin D affords better neuroprotection against excitotoxicity in cultured cortical neurons than progesterone alone. J Mol Med. 2009; 15:328-336.

-Attwell D, Barbour B, Szatkowski M. Nonvesicular release of neurotransmitter. Neuron. 1993; 11:401-407.

-Azadi S, Paquet-Durand F, Medstrand P, van Veen T, Ekstrom PA. Upregulation and increased phosphorylation of protein kinase C (PKC) delta, mu and theta in the degenerating rd1 mouse retina. Mol Cell Neurosci. 2006; 31:759-773.

-Azadi S, Johnson LE, Paquet-Durand F, Perez MTR, Zhang Y, Ekström PAR, van Veen T. CNTF+BDNF treatment and neuroprotective pathways in the rd1 mouse retina. Brain Res. 2007; 1129:116-129.

-Azcoitia I, Sierra A, Garcia-Segura L. Estradiol prevents kainic acid-induced neuronal loss in the rat dentate gyrus. NeuroReport. 1998; 9:3075-3079.

-Azevedo RB, Lacava ZGM, Miyasaka KC, Chaves SB, Curi R. Regulation Of Antioxidant Enzyme Activities In Male And Female Rat Macrophages By Sex Steroids. Braz J Med Biol Res. 2001; 34:683-687.

-Bains JS & Shaw CA. Neurodegenerative disorders in humans: the role of glutathione in oxidative stress-mediated neuronal death. Brain Res Brain Res Rev. 1997; 25:335-358.

-Bannai S. Transport of Cystine and Cysteine in mammalian cells. Biochim Biophys Acta. 1984; 779:289-306.

-Bannai S, Christensen HN, Vadgama JV, Ellory JC, Englesberg E, Guidotti GG, Gazzola GC, Kilberg MS, Lajtha A, Sacktor B, Sepulveda FV, Young JD, Yudilevich D, Mann G. Amino acid transport systems. Nature. 1984; 311:308.

-Barnett NL, Pow DV, Bull ND. Differential perturbation of neuronal and glial glutamate transport systems in retinal ischaemia. Neurochem Int. 2001; 39:291-299.

-Barrington M, Sattayasi J, Zappia J, Ehrlich D. Excitatory amino acids interfere with normal eye growth in posthatch chick. Curt Eye Res. 1989; 8:781-792.

-Baudouin C, Pisella PJ, De Saint Jean M. Dry eye syndromes and the ocular surface. J Fr Ophtalmol. 1999; 22:893-902.

-Baulieu EE. Neurosteroids: a new function in the brain. Rev Biol of the Cell. 1991; 71:1-10.

-Baulieu EE & Robel P. Neurosteroids: a new brain function? J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol. 1990; 37:395-403.

-Baulieu EE, Schumacher M, Koenig H, Jung-Testas I, Akwa Y. Progesterone as a neurosteroid: actions within the nervous system. Cell Mol Neurobiol. 1996; 16:143-154.

-Baulieu EE. Neurosteroids: of the nervous system, by then nervous system, for the nervous system. Recent Prog Horm Res. 1997; 52:1-32.

-Baulieu EE & Schumacher M. Neurosteroids, with special reference to the effect of progesterone on myelination in peripheral nerves. Mult Scler. 1997; 3:105-112.

-Baulieu EE, Robel P, Schumacher M. Neurosteroids: beginning of the story. Int Rev Neurobiol. 2001; 46:1-32.

-Bayes M, Giordiano M, Balcells S, Grinberg D, Vilageliu L, Martinez I, Ayuso C, Benitez J, Ramos-Arroyo MA, Chivelet P. Homozygous tandem duplication within the gene encoding the beta-subunit of rod phosphodiesterase as a cause for autosomal recessive retinitis pigmentosa. Hum Mutat. 1995; 5:228-234.

-Baylor DA. Photoreceptor signals and vision. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 1987; 28:34-49.

-Bazan NG. Cell survival matters: docosahexaenoic acid signaling, neuroprotection and photoreceptors. Trends Neurosci. 2006; 29:263-271.

-Beckman DA & Brent RL. Teratogenesis: alcohol, angiotensin-converting-enzyme inhibitors, and cocaine. Curr Opin Obstet Gynecol. 1990; 2:236-245.

-Behl C. Estrogen can protect neurons: modes of action. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol. 2002; 83:195-197.

-Behl C, Widmann M, Trapp T, Holsboer F. 17beta-estradiol protects neurons from oxidative stress-induced cell death in vitro. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 1995; 216:473-482.

-Belelli D& Lambert JJ. Neurosteroids: endogenous regulators of the GABAA receptor. Nat Rev Neurosci. 2005; 6:565-575.

-Bender C, Rassetto M, Olmos JS, Olmos SD, Lorenzo A. Involvement of AMPA/kainateexcitotoxicity in MK801-induced neuronal death in the retrosplenial cortex. Neuroscience. 2010; 169:720-732.

-Bennett J, Tanabe T, Sun D, Zeng Y, Kjeldbye H, Gouras P, Maguire AM. Photoreceptor cell rescue in retinal degeneration (rd) mice by *in vivo* gene therapy. Nature Medicine. 1996; 2:649-654.

-Beretta S, Wood JP, Derham B, Sala G, Tremolizzo L, Ferrarese C, Osborne NN. Partial mitochondrial complex I inhibition induces oxidative damage and perturbs glutamate transport in primary retinal cultures. Relevance to Leber Hereditary Optic Neuropathy (LHON). Neurobiol Dis. 2006; 24:308-317.

-Berson DM. Phototransduction in ganglion-cell photoreceptors. Pflugers Arch. 2007; 454:849-855.

-Berson EL, Rosner B, Sandberg MA, Hayes KC, Nicholson BW, Weigel-DiFranco C, Willett W. A randomized trial of vitamin A and vitamin E supplementation for retinitis pigmentosa. Arch Ophthalmol. 1993; 111:761-772.

-Berson EL, Rosner B, Sandberg MA, Weigel-DiFranco C, Moser A, Brockhurst RJ, Hayes KC, Johnson CA, Anderson EJ, Gaudio AR, Willett WC, Schaefer EJ. Further evaluation of docosahexaenoic acid in patients with retinitis pigmentosa receiving vitamin A treatment: subgroup analyses. Arch Ophthalmol. 2004; 122:1306-1314.

-Berson DM. Phototransduction in ganglion-cell photoreceptors. Pflugers Arch. 2007; 454:849-855.

-Berson EL, Rosner B, Sandberg MA, Weigel-DiFranco C, Willett WC. ω -3 intake and visual acuity in patients with retinitis pigmentosa receiving vitamin A. Arch Ophthalmol. 2012; 130:707-711.

-Berridge MJ, Lipp P, Bootman MD. The versatility and universality of calcium signalling. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2000; 1:11-21.

-Berridge MJ, Bootman MD, Roderick HL. Calcium signalling: dynamics, homeostasis and remodelling. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2003; 4:517-529.

-Betz AL & Coester HC. Effect of steroids on edema and sodium uptake of the brain during focal ischemia in rats. Stroke. 1990; 21:1199-1204.

-Bill A & Sperber GO. Control of Retinal and Choroidal Blood Flow. Exp Eye Res. 1990; 4:319-325.

-Bisti S, Gargini C, Chalupa LM. Blockage of glutamate-mediated activity in the developing retina perturbs the functional segregation of ON and OFF pathways. J Neurosci. 1998; 18:5019-5025.

-Blanks JC, Adinolfi AM, Lolley RN. Photoreceptor degeneration and synaptogenesis in retinal-degenerative (rd) mice. J Comp Neurol. 1974; 156:95-106.

-Bok D. Retinal photoreceptor-pigment epithelium interactions. Friedenwald lecture. Investigative Ophthalmology and Visual Science. 1985; 26:1659-1694.

-Bok D. Photoreceptor "retinoid pumps" in health and disease. Neuron. 1999; 23:412-414.

-Bok D, Yasumura D, Matthes MT, Ruiz A, Duncan JL, Chappelow AV, Zolutukhin S, Hauswirth W, LaVail MM. Effects of adeno-associated virus-vectored ciliary neurotrophic factor on retinal structure and function in mice with a P216L rds/ peripherin mutation. Exp. Eye Res. 2002; 74:719-735.

-Bosch-Morell F, Romá J, Puertas FJ, Marín N, Díaz-Llopis M, Romero FJ. Efficacy of the antioxidant ebselen in experimental uveitis. Free Radic Biol Med. 1999; 27:388-391.

-Bosch-Morell F, Romá J, Marín N, Romero B, Rodríguez-Galietero A, Johnsen-Soriano S, Díaz- Llopis M, Romero FJ. Role of oxygen and nitrogen species in experimental uveítis: anti-inflammatory activity of the synthetic antioxidant ebselen. Free Radical Biol Med. 2002; 33:669-675.

-Bourbon A, Vionnet M, Leprince P, Vaissier E, Copeland J, McDonagh P, Debre P, Gandjbakhch I. The effect of methylprednisolone treatment on the cardiopulmonary bypass-induced systemic inflammatory response. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg. 2004; 26:932-938.

-Bowes C, van Veen T, Farber DB. Opsin, G-protein and 48-kDa protein in normal and rd mouse retinas: developmental expression of mRNAs and proteins and light/dark cycling of mRNAs. Exp Eye Res. 1988; 47:369-390.

-Bowes C, Li T, Danciger M, Baxter LC, Applebury ML, Farber DB. Retinal degeneration in the rd mouse is caused by a defect in the β -subunit of rod cGMP-phosphodiesterase. Nature. 1990; 347:677-680.

-Boycott BB & Hopkins JM. Microglia in the retina of monkey and other mammals: its distinction from other types of glia and horizontal cells. Neuroscience. 1981; 6:679-688.

-Boycott BB & Hopkins JM. Microglia in the retina of monkey and other mammals: its distinction from other types of glia and horizontal cells. Neuroscience. 1988; 6:679-688.

-Brann DW, Zamorano PL, De Sevilla L, Mahesh VB. Expression of glutamate receptor subunits in the hypothalamus of the female rat during the afternoon of the proestrous luteinizing hormone surge and effects of antiprogestin treatment and aging. Neuroendocrinology. 2005; 81:120-128.

-Brantley MA Jr, Osborn MP, Sanders BJ, Rezaei KS, Lu P, Li C, Milne GL, Cai J, Sternberg P Jr. Plasma biomarkers of oxidative stress and genetic variants in age-related macular degeneration. Am J Ophthalmol. 2012; 153:460-467.

-Bringmann A & Reichenbach A. Role of Muller cells in retinal degenerations. Front Biosci. 2001; 6:72-92.

-Bringmann A, Pannicke T, Grosche J, Francke M, Wiedemann P, Skatchkov SN, Osborne NN, Reichenbach A. Müller cells in the healthy and diseased retina. Prog Retin Eye Res. 2006; 25:397-424.

-Bringmann A, Iandiev I, Pannicke T, Wurm A, Hollborn M, Wiedemann P, Osborne NN, Reichenbach A. Cellular signaling and factors involved in Müller cell gliosis: neuroprotective and detrimental effects. Prog Retin Eye Res. 2009; 28:423-451.

-Bringmann A, Pannicke T, Biedermann B, Francke M, Iandiev I, Grosche J, Wiedemann P, Albrecht J, Reichenbach A. Role of retinal glial cells in neurotransmitter uptake and metabolism. Neurochem Int. 2009; 54:143-160.

-Brooks DE, Garcia GA, Dreyer EB, Zurakowski D, Franco-Bourland RE. Vitreous body glutamate concentrations in dogs with glaucoma. Am J Vet Res. 1997; 58:864-867.

-Bucolo C & Drago F. Effects of neurosteroids on ischemia-reperfusion injury in the rat retina: role of s1 recognition sites. Eur J Pharmacol. 2004; 498:111-114.

-Buhl R, Jaffe HA, Holroyd KJ, Wells FB, Mastrangeli A, Saltini C, Cantin AM, Crystal RG. Systemic glutathione deficiency in symptom-free HIV-seropositive individuals. Lancet. 1989; 2:1294-1298.

-Bunker CH, Berson EL, Bromley WC, Hayes RP, Roderick TH. Prevalence of retinitis pigmentosa in Maine. Am J Ophthalmol. 1984; 97:357-365.

-Bussow H. The astrocytes in the retina and optic nerve head of mammals: a special glia for the ganglion cell axons. Cell and tissue research. 1980; 206:367-378.

-Caffé AR, Soderpalm AK, Holmqvist I, van Veen T. A combination of CNTF and BDNF rescues rd photoreceptors but changes rod differentiation in the presence of RPE in retinal explants. Invest Ophthalmol Visual Sci. 2001; 42:275-282.

-Calaza KC, Hokoç JN, Gardino PF. GABAergic circuitry in the opossum retina: a GABA release induced by L-aspartate. Exp Brain Res. 2006; 172:322-330.

-Caley DW, Johnson C, Liebelt RA. The postnatal development of the retina in the normal and rodless CBA mouse: a light and electron microscopic study. Am J Anat. 1972; 133:179-212.

-Carella G. Introduction to apoptosis in ophthalmology. Eur J Ophthalmol. 2003; 3:S5– S10.

-Carmody RJ, McGowan AJ, Cotter TG. Reactive oxygen species as mediators of photoreceptor apoptosis in vitro. Exp Cell Res. 1999; 248:520-530.

-Carter-Dawson LD, LaVail MM, Sidman RL. Differential effect on the rd mutation on rods and cones in the mouse retina. Invest Ophtalmol Vis Sci. 1978; 17:489-498.

-Carter-Dawson L, Shen F, Harwerth RS, Smith III EL, Crawford MLJ, Chuang A. Glutamine immunoreactivity in Müller cells of monkey eyes with experimental glaucoma. Exp Eye Res. 1998; 66:537-545.

-Carter-Dawson L, Shen FF, Harwerth RS, Crawford MLJ, Smith EL, Whitetree A. Glutathione content is altered in Müller cells of monkey eyes with experimental glaucoma. Neuroscience Letters. 2004; 364:7-10.

-Cascio C, Guarneri R, Russo D, De Leo G, Guarneri M, Piccoli F, Guarneri P. Pregnenolone sulfate, a naturally occurring excitotoxin involved in delayed retinal cell death. J Neurochem. 2000; 74:2380-2391.

-Cascio C, Guarneri R, Russo D, De Leo G, Guarneri M, Piccoli F, Guarneri P. A caspase-3-dependent pathway is predominantly activated by the excitotoxin pregnenolone sulfate and requires early and late cytochrome c release and cell-specific caspase-2 activation in the retinal cell death. J Neurochem. 2002; 83:1358-1371.

-Cascio C, Russo D, Drago G, Galizzi G, Passantino R, Guarneri R, Guarneri P. 17b-Estradiol synthesis in the adult male rat retina. Exp Eye Res. 2007; 85:166-172.

-Castorina C, Campisi A, Di-Giacomo C, Sorrenti V, Russo A. Lipid peroxidation and antioxidant enzymatic systems in rat retina as a function of age. Neurochem Res. 1992; 17:599-604.

-Cayouette M, Behn D, Sendtner M, Lachapelle P, Gravel C. Intraocular gene transfer of ciliary neurotrophic factor prevents death and increases responsiveness of rod photoreceptors in the retinal degeneration slow mouse. J Neurosci. 1998; 18:9282-9293.

-Celotti F, Melcangi RC, Martini L. The 5 alpha-reductase in the brain: molecular aspects and relation to brain function. Front Neuroendocrinol. 1992; 13:163-215.

-Cepko C. Lineage versus environment in the embryonic retina. Trends Neurosci. 1993; 16:96-97.

-Cepko C. Emerging Gene Therapies for Retinal Degenerations. J Neurosci. 2012; 32:6415-6420.

-Cervantes M, González-Vidal MD, Ruelas R, Escobar A, Moralí G. Neuroprotective effects of progesterone on damage elicited by acute global cerebral ischemia in neurons of the caudate nucleus. Arch Med Res. 2002; 33:6-14.

-Chalmel F, Leveillard T, Jaillard C, Lardenois A, Berdugo N, Morel E, Koehl P, Lambrou G, Holmgren A, Sahel JA, Poch O. Rod-derived Cone Viability Factor-2 is a novel bifunctional-thioredoxin-like protein with therapeutic potential. BMC Mol Biol. 2007; 8:74.

-Chambers DE, Parks DA, Patterson G, Roy R, McCord JM, Yoshida S, Parmley LF, Downey JM. Xanthine oxidase as a source of free radical damage in myocardial ischemia. J Mol Cell Cardiol. 1985; 17:145-152.

-Chang GQ, Hao Y, Wong F. Apoptosis: final common pathway of photoreceptor death in rd, rds, and rhodopsin mutant mice. Neuron. 1993; 11:595-605.

-Chang B, Hawes NL, Hurd RE, Davisson MT, Nusinowitz S, Heckenlively JR. Retinal degeneration mutants in the mouse. Vision Res. 2002; 42:517-525.

-Chaiswing L, Zhong W, Liang Y, Jones DP, Oberley TD. Regulation of prostate cancer cell invasion by modulation of extra- and intracellular redox balance. Free Radic Biol Med. 2012; 52:452-461.

-Chao TC, Van Alten PJ, Walker RJ. Steroid sex hormones and macrophage function: modulation of reactive oxygen intermediates and nitrite release. Am J Reprod Immunol. 1994; 32:43-52.

-Chatterton JE, Awobuluyi M, Premkumar LS, Takahashi H, Talantova M, Shin Y, Cui J, Tu S, Sevarino KA, Nakanishi N, Tong G, Lipton SA, Zhang D. Excitatory glycine receptors containing the NR3 family of NMDA receptor subunits. Nature. 2002; 415:793-798.

-Chaum E. Retinal neuroprotection by growth factors: A mechanistic perspective. J of Cell Biochem. 2003; 88:57-75.

-Chavez-Delgado ME, Mora-Galindo J, Gomez-Pinedo U, Feria-Velasco A, Castro-Castañeda S, López-Dellamary Toral FA, Luquin-De Anda S, García-Segura LM, García-Estrada J. Facial nerve regeneration through progesterone-loaded chitosan prosthesis. A preliminary report. J Biomed Mater Res B Appl Biomater. 2003; 67:702-711. -Cherepanoff S, McMenamin P, Gillies MC, Kettle E, Sarks SH. Bruch's membrane and choroidal macrophages in early and advanced age-related macular degeneration. Br J Ophthalmol. 2009; 94:918-925.

-Chinta SJ, Rajagopalan S, Butterfield DA, Andersen JK. In vitro and in vivo neuroprotection by gamma-glutamylCysteine ethyl ester against MPTP: relevance to the role of glutathione in Parkinson's disease. Neurosci Lett. 2006; 402:137-141.

-Chinta SJ, Kumar MJ, Hsu M, Rajagopalan S, Kaur D, Rane A, Nicholls DG, Choi J, Andersen JK. Inducible alterations of glutathione levels in adult dopaminergic midbrain neurons result in nigrostriatal degeneration. J Neurosci. 2007; 27:13997-14006.

-Choi DW. Glutamate neurotoxicity in cortical cell culture is calcium dependent. Neurosci Lett. 1985; 58:293-297.

-Chun MH & Wässle H. GABA-like immunoreactivity in the cat retina: electron microscopy. J Comp Neurol. 1989; 279:55-67.

-Cingolani C, Rogers B, Lu L, Kachi S, Shen J, Campochiaro PA. Retinal degeneration from oxidative damage. Free Radic Biol Med. 2006; 40:660-669.

-Circu ML & Aw TY. Glutathione and apoptosis. Free Radic Res. 2008; 42:689-706.

-Circu ML & Aw TY. Redox biology of the intestine. Free Radic Res. 2011; 45:1245-1266.

-Clark VM. The cell biology of the retinal pigment epithelium. In: The Retina: A Model for Cell Biology Studies. Academic Press, New York. 1986; 129-168.

-Compagnone NA, Bulfone A, Rubenstein JL, Mellon SH. Steroidogenic enzyme P450c17 is expressed in the embryonic central nervous system. Endocrinology. 1995; 136:5212-5223.

-Compagnone NA & Mellon SH. Neurosteroids: biosynthesis and function of these novel neuromodulators. Front Neuroendocrinol. 2000; 21:1-56.

-Conaway E. Bioidentical hormones: an evidence-based review for primary care providers. J Am Osteopath Assoc. 2011; 111(3):153-164. Review.

-Conn PJ & Pin JP. Pharmacology and functions of metabotropic glutamate receptors. Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol. 1997; 37:205-237.

-Cooper AJL & Kristal BS. Multiple roles of glutathione in the central nervous system. Biol Chem. 1997; 378:793-802.

-Corrochano S, Barhoum R, Boya P, Arroba AI, Rodríguez-Muela N, Gómez-Vicente V, Bosch F, de Pablo F, de la Villa P, de la Rosa EJ. Attenuation of vision loss and delay in apoptosis of photoreceptors induced by proinsulin in a mouse model of retinitis pigmentosa. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2008; 49:4188-4194.

-Coughlan T, Gibson C, Murphy S. Modulatory effects of progesterone on inducible nitric oxide synthase expression in vivo and in vitro. J Neurochem. 2005; 93:932-942.

-Creel DJ. Visually Evoked Potentials.In: Kolb H, Fernandez E, Nelson R. Webvision: The Organization of the Retina and Visual System [Internet]. Salt Lake City (UT): University of Utah Health Sciences Center; 1995-2012.

-Cunha-Vaz JG, Fonseca JR, Abreu JF, Ruas MA. Detection of early retinal changes in diabetes by vitreous fluorophotometry. Diabetes. 1979; 28:16-19.

-Curcio CA, Sloan KR, Kalina RE, Hendrickson AE. Human photoreceptor topography. J Comp Neurol. 1990; 292:497-523.

-Cusato K, Bosco A, Rotenzal R, Guimaraes CA, Reese BE, Linden R, Spray DC. Gap junctions mediate bystander cell death in developing retina. J Neurosci. 2003; 23:6413-6422.

-Cutler SM, Vanlandingham JW, Stein DG. Tapered progesterone withdrawal promotes long-term recovery following brain trauma. Exp Neurol. 2006; 200:378-385.

-D'Cruz PM, Yasamura D, Weir J, Matthes MT, Abderrahim H, LaVail MM, Vollrath D. Mutation of the receptor tyrosine kinase gene Mertk in the retinal dystrophic RCS rat. Hum Mol Genet. 2000; 9:645-651.

-Daiger SP, Bowne SJ, Sullivan LS. Perspective on genes and mutations causing retinitis pigmentosa. Arch Ophthalmol. 2007; 125:151-158.

-Dalke C & Graw J. Mouse mutants as models for congenital retinal disorders. Exp Eye Res. 2005; 81:503-512.

-Danciger M, Blaney J, Gao YQ, Zhao DY, Heckenlively JR, Jacobson SG, Farber DB. Mutations in the PDE6B gene in autosomal recessive retinitis pigmentosa. Genomics. 1995; 30:1-7.

-Davson H. Physiology of the eye. Academic Press, New York. 1980.

-Del Río-Hortega P. Art and artifice in histologic science. Tex Rep Biol Med. 1949; 7:363-390.

-De Robertis E & Franchi CM. Electron microscope observations on synaptic vesicles in synapses of the retinal rods and cones. J Biophys Biochem Cytol. 1956; 2:307-318.

-Delyfer MN, Leveillard T, Mohand-Said S, Hicks D, Picaud S, Sahel JA. Inherited retinal degenerations: therapeutic prospects. Biol Cell. 2004; 96:261-269.

-Delyfer MN, Forster V, Neveux N, Picaud S, Léveillard T, Sahel JA. Evidence for glutamate-mediated excitotoxic mechanisms during photoreceptor degeneration in the *rd1* mouse retina. Mol Vis. 2005; 11:688-696.

-Delyfer MN, Simonutti M, Neveux N, Léveillard T, Sahel JA. Does GDNF exert its neuroprotective effects on photoreceptors in the rd1 retina through the glial glutamate transporter GLAST?. Mol Vis. 2005; 11:677-687.

-Dentchev T, Yao Y, Pratico D, Dunaief J. Isoprostane F2alpha-VI, a new marker of oxidative stress, increases following light damage to the mouse retina. Mol Vis. 2007; 13:190-195.

-Derouiche A & Rauen T. Coincidence of L-glutamate/L-aspartate transporter (GLAST) and glutamine synthetase (GS) immunoreactions in retinal glia: evidence for coupling of GLAST and GS in transmitter clearance. J Neurosci Res. 1995; 42:131-143.

-Di Domenico F, Cenini G, Sultana R, Perluigi M, Uberti D, Memo M, Butterfield DA. Glutathionylation of the pro-apoptotic protein p53 in AlzheimerOs disease brain: implications for AD pathogenesis. Neurochem Res. 2009; 34:727-733.

-Dkhissi O, Chanut E, Wasowicz M, Savoldelli M, Nguyen-Legros J, Minvielle F, Versaux-Botteri C. Retinal TUNEL-positive cells and high glutamate levels in vitreous humor of mutant quail with a glaucoma-like disorder. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 1997; 40:990-994.

-Dkhissi O, Julien JF, Wasowicz M, Dalil-Thiney N, Nguyen-Legros J, Versaux-Botteri C. Differential expression of GAD(65) and GAD(67) during the development of the rat retina. Brain Res. 2001; 919:242-249.

-Dikinson DA & Forman HJ. Cellular glutathione and thiols metabolism. Biochem Pharmacol. 2002; 64:1019-1026.

-Dickinson DA & Forman HJ. Glutathione in defense and signaling: Lessons from a small thiol. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 2002; 973:488-504.

-Djebaili M, Hoffman SW, Stein DG. Allopregnanolone and progesterone decrease cell death and cognitive deficits after a contusion of the rat pre-frontal cortex. Neuroscience. 2004; 123:349-359.

-Djebaili M, Guo Q, Pettus EH, Hoffman SW, Stein DG. The neurosteroids progesterone and allopregnanolone reduce cell death, gliosis and functional deficits after traumatic brain injury in rats. J Neurotrauma. 2005; 22:106-118.

-Doonan F & Cotter TG. Apoptosis: a potential therapeutic target for retinal degenerations. Curr Neurovasc Res. 2004; 1(1):41-53.

-Doonan F, O'Driscoll C, Kenna P, Cotter TG. Enhancing survival of photoreceptor cells in vivo using the synthetic progestin Norgestrel. J Neurochem. 2011; 118:915-927.

-Dowling JE. The retina: an approachable part of the brain. Harvard University Press. 1987.

-Dowling JE & Boycott BB. Organization of the primate retina: electron microscopy. Proc R Soc Lond B Biol Sci. 1966; 166:80-111.

-Drack AV, Dumitrescu AV, Bhattarai S, Gratie D, Stone EM, Mullins R, Sheffield VC. TUDCA slows retinal degeneration in two different mouse models of retinitis pigmentosa and prevents obesity in Bardet-Biedl syndrome type 1 mice. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2012; 53:100-106.

-Dringen R. Glutathione metabolism and oxidative stress in neurodegeneration. Eur J Biochem. 2000; 267:4903.

-Dröge W. Free radicals in the physiological control of cell function. Physiol Rev. 2002; 1:47-95.

-Dryja, TP, McGee, TL, Hahn LB, Cowley GS, Olsson JE, Reichel E, Sandberg MA, Berson EL. Mutations within the rhodopsin gene in patients with autosomal dominant retinitis pigmentosa. N Engl J Med. 1990; 323:1302-1307.

-Dryja TP, McGee TL, Reichel E, Hahn LB, Cowley GS, Yandell DW, Sandberg MA, Berson EL. A point mutation of the rhodopsin gene in one form of retinitis pigmentosa. Nature. 1990; 343:364-366.

-Dryja TP, Finn JT, Peng YW, McGee TL, Berson EL, Yau KW. Mutations in the gene encoding the alpha subunit of the rod cGMPgated channel in autosomal recessive retinitis pigmentosa. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1995; 92:10177-10181.

-Dryja TP, Rucinski DE, Chen SH, Berson EL. Frequency of mutations in the gene encoding the alpha subunit of rod cGMP-phosphodiesterase in autosomal recessive retinitis pigmentosa. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 1999; 40:1859-1865.

-Duke-Elder S. System of ophthalmology: normal and abnormal development. London: Klimpton. 1963.

-Duke-Elder WS & Shimkin NT. The structure of the retina. Br J Ophthalmol. 1926; 10:508-512.

-Dunaief JL, Dentchev T, Ying GS, Milam AH. The role of apoptosis in age-related macular degeneration. Arch Ophthalmol. 2002; 120:1435-1442.

-Edwards RB. Biosynthesis of retinoic acid by Müller glial cells: A model for the central nervous system? Prog Retin Eye Res. 1994; 13:231-242.

-Eisner M, Lindlein N, Schwider J. Confocal microscopy with a refractive microlenspinhole array. Opt Lett. 1998; 23:748-749.

-Eckmiller R. Learning retina implants with epiretinal contacts. Ophthalmic Research. 1997; 29:281-289.

-Ehring GR, Kerschbaum HH, Eder C, Neben AL, Fanger CM, Khoury RM, Negulescu PA, Cahalan MD. A nongenomic mechanism for progesterone-mediated immunosuppression: inhibition of K⁺ channels, Ca²⁺ signaling, and gene expression in T lymphocytes. J Exp Med. 1998; 188:1593-1602.

-Erecinska M & Silver IA. Metabolism and role of glutamate in mammalian brain. Prog Neurobiol. 1990; 35:245-296.

-Ezzat MK, Hann CR, Vuk-Pavlovic S, Pulido JS. Immune cells in the human choroid. Br J Ophthalmol. 2008; 92:976-980.

-Faddah LM, Al-Rehany MA, Abdel-Hamid NM, Bakeet AA. Oxidative Stress, Lipid Profile and Liver Functions in Average Egyptian Long Term Depo Medroxy Progesterone Acetate (DMPA) Users. Molecules. 2005; 10:1145-1152.

-Faktorovich EG, Steinberg RH, Yasumura D, Matthes MT, LaVail MM. Photoreceptor degeneration in inherited retinal dystrophy delayed by basic fibroblast growth factor. Nature. 1990; 347:83-86.

-Farber DB & Lolley RN. Cyclic guanosine monophosphate: elevation in degenerating photoreceptor cells of the C3H mouse retina. Science. 1974; 186:449-451.

-Farber DB, Flannery JG, Bowes-Rickman C. The rd mouse story: seventy years of research on an animal model of inherited retinal degeneration. Prog Retin Eye Res. 1994; 13:31-64.

-Farrar GJ, Kenna PF, Humphries P. On the genetics of retinitis pigmentosa and on mutation-independent approaches to therapeutic intervention. EMBO J. 2002; 21:857-864.

-Faure P. Protective effects of antioxidant micronutrients (vitamin E, zinc and selenium) in type 2 diabetes mellitus. Clin Chem Lab Med. 2003; 41:995-998.

-Feeser VR & Loria RM. Modulation of traumatic brain injury using progesterone and the role of glial cells on its neuroprotective actions. Journal of Neuroimmunology. 2011; 237:4-12.

-Feng B, Shiber SK, Max SR. Glutamine regulates glutamine synthetase expression in skeletal muscle cells in culture. J Cell Physiol. 1990; 145:376-380.

-Fernandes R & Cotter T. Apoptosis or necrosis: intracellular levels of glutathione influence mode of cell death. Biochem Pharmacol. 1994; 48:675-681.

-Fillebeen C & Pantopoulos K. Redox control of iron regulatory proteins. Redox Rep. 2002; 7:15-22.

-Filomeni G, Rotilio G, Ciriolo MR. Cell signalling and the glutathione redox system. Biochem Pharmacol. 2002; 64:1057-1064.

-Filomeni G, Rotilio G, Ciriolo MR. Disulfide relays and phosphorylative cascades: Partners in redox-mediated signaling pathways. Cell Death and Differen. 2005; 12 :1555-1563.

-Fischer MD, Huber G, Beck SC, Tanimoto N, Muehlfriedel R, Fahl E, Grimm C, Wenzel A, Remé CE, van de Pavert SA, Wijnholds J, Pacal M, Bremner R, Seeliger MW. Noninvasive, in vivo assessment of mouse retinal structure using optical coherence tomography. PLoS One. 2009; 4:7507.

-Fletcher EL & Kalloniatis M. Neurochemical architecture of the normal and degenerating rat retina. J Comp Neurol. 1996; 376:343-360.

-Fletcher EL & Kalloniatis M. Localisation of amino acid neurotransmitters during postnatal development of the rat retina. J Comp Neurol. 1997; 380:449-471.

-Ford M, Bragadottir R, Rakoczy PE, Narfstrom K. Gene transfer in the RPE65 null mutation dog: relationship between construct volume, visual behavior and electroretinographic (ERG) results. Doc Ophthalmol. 2003; 107:79-86.

-Fox DA, Poblenz AT, He L. Calcium overload triggers rod photoreceptor apoptotic cell death in chemical-induced and inherited retinal degenerations. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 1999; 893:282-285.

-Forman HJ, Zhang H, Rinna A. Glutathione: overview of its protective roles Measurement and biosynthesis. Mol Aspects Med. 2009; 30:1–12.

-Forrester JV, Dick AD, McMenamin P, Lee W. The eye. Basic science in practice. Saunders. 2005.

-Forsythe ID & Barnes-Davies M. Synaptic transmission: well-placed modulators. Curr Biol. 1997; 7:362-365.

-Foulds WS. The choroidal circulation and retinal metabolism—An overview. Exp Eye Res. 1990; 4:243-248.

-Franco R, Schoneveld OJ, Pappa A, Panayiotidis MI. The central role of glutathione in the pathophysiology of human diseases. Arch Physiol Biochem. 2007; 113:234-258.

-Franco R & Cidlowski JA. Apoptosis and glutathione: beyond an antioxidant. Cell Death Differ. 2009; 16:1303-1314.

-Frasson M, Picaud S, Leveillard T, Simonutti M, Mohand-Said S, Dreyfus H, Hicks D, Sabel J. Glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor induces histologic and functional protection of rod photoreceptors in the rd/rd mouse. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 1999; 40:2724-2734.

-Friberg PA, Larsson DG, Billig H. Dominant role of nuclear progesterone receptor in the control of rat periovulatory granulosa cell apoptosis. Biol Reprod. 2009; 80:1160-1167.

-Fridovich I. Superoxide radical: an endogenous toxicant. Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol. 1983; 23:239-257.

-Frishman LJ & Steinberg RH. Light-evoked increases in [K+]o in proximal portion of the dark-adapted cat retina. J Neurophysiol. 1989; 61:1233-1243.

-Frohman EM, Fujimoto JG, Frohman TC, Calabresi PA, Cutter G, Balcer LJ. Optical coherence tomography: a window into the mechanisms of multiple sclerosis. Nat Clin Pract Neurol. 2008; 4:664-675.

-Frye CA & Walf AA. Progesterone reduces depression-like behavior in a murine model of Alzheimer's disease. Age. 2009; 31:143-153.

-Fu Y & Yau KW. Phototransduction in mouse rods and cones. Pflugers Arch. 2007; 454:805-819.

-Fujimoto JG. Optical coherence tomography for ultrahigh resolution in vivo imaging. Nat Biotechnol. 2003; 21:1361-1367.

-Fujimoto JG, Drexler W, Schuman JS, Hitzenberger CK. Optical coherence tomography (OCT) in ophthalmology: introduction. Opt Express. 2009; 17:3978-3979.

-Gabig TG & Babior BM. The O_2^- forming oxidase responsible for the respiratory burst in human neutrophils. Properties of the solubilized enzyme. J Biol Chem. 1979; 254:9070-9074.

-Gan WB. Glutamate-dependent stabilization of presynaptic terminals. Neuron 2003; 38:677-678.

-Ganea E & Harding JJ. Glutathione-related enzymes and the eye. Curr Eye Res.2006; 31:1-11.

-Gao H & Hollyfield JG. Basic fibroblast growth factor: increased gene expression in inherited and light-induced photoreceptor degeneration. Exp Eye Res. 1996; 62:181-189.

-Garcia-Segura LM, Azcoitia I, DonCarlos LL. Neuroprotection by estradiol. Prog Neurobiol. 2001; 63:29-60.

-Garcia-Segura L & Melcangi R. Steroids and glial cell function. Glia.2006; 54:485-498.

-Georges-Labouesse EN, George EL, Rayburn H, Hynes RO. Mesodermal development in mouse embryos mutant for fibronectin. Dev Dyn. 1996; 207:147-156.

-Geroski DH & Edelhauser HF. Drug delivery for posterior segment eye disease. Invest Ophthalm and Vis Sci. 2000; 41:961-964.

-Gerstner B, Lee J, DeSilva TM, Jensen FE, Volpe JJ, Rosenberg PA. 17beta-Estradiol Protects Against Hypoxic/ischemic White Matter Damage in the Neonatal Rat Brain. J Neurosci Res. 2009; 87:2078-2086.

-Ghate D & Edelhauser HF. Ocular drug delivery. Expert Opinion on Drug Delivery. 2006; 3:275-287.

-Ghate D, Brooks W, McCarey BE, Edelhauser HF. Pharmacokinetics of intraocular drug delivery by periocular injections using ocular fluorophotometry. Invest Ophthalm and Vis Sci. 2007; 48:2230-2237.

-Ghibelli L, Fanelli C, Rotilio G, Lafavia E, Coppola S, Colussi C, Civitareale P, Ciriolo MR. Rescue of cells from apoptosis by inhibition of active GSH extrusion. FASEB J. 1998; 12:479-486.

-Ghoumari AM, Ibanez C, El-Etr M, Leclerc P, Eychenne B, O'Malley BW, Baulieu EE, Schumacher M. Progesterone and its metabolites increase myelin basic protein expression in organotypic slice cultures of rat cerebellum. J Neurochem. 2003; 86:848-859.

-Gibson CL & Murphy SP. Progesterone enhances functional recovery after middle cerebral artery occlusion in male mice. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab. 2004; 24:805-813.

-Gibson CL, Constantin D, Prior MJ, Bath PM, Murphy SP. Progesterone suppresses the inflammatory response and nitric oxide synthase-2 expression following cerebral ischemia. Exp Neurol. 2005; 193:522-530.

-Gilgun-Sherki Y, Melamed E, Offen D. Oxidative stress induced-neurodegenerative diseases: the need for antioxidants that penetrate the blood brain barrier. Neuropharmacology. 2001; 40:959-975.

-Gilmer L, Roberts K, Scheff S. Efficacy of progesterone following a moderate unilateral cortical contusion injury. J Neurotrauma. 2008; 25:593-602.

-Giugliano D, Ceriello A, Paolisso G. Oxidative stress and diabetic vascular complications. Diabetes Care. 1996; 19:257-267.

-Go YM & Jones DP. Redox compartmentalization in eukaryotic cells. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2008; 1780:1273-1290.

-Gonzalez-Cordero A, West EL, Pearson RA, Duran Y, Carvalho LS, Chu CJ, Naeem A, Blackford SJ, Georgiadis A, Lakowski J, Hubank M, Smith AJ, Bainbridge JW, Sowden JC, Ali RR. Photoreceptor precursors derived from three-dimensional embryonic stem cell cultures integrate and mature within adult degenerate retina. Nat Biotechnol. 2013; 31:741-747.

-González-Vidal MD, Cervera-Gaviria M, Ruelas R, Escobar A, Moralí G, Cervantes M. Progesterone: protective effects on the cat hippocampal neuronal damage due to acute global cerebral ischemia. Arch Med Res. 1998; 29:117-124.

-Goodman Y, Bruce AJ, Cheng B, Mattson MP. Estrogens attenuate and corticosterone exacerbates excitotoxicity, oxidative injury, and amyloid beta-peptide toxicity in hippocampal neurons. J Neurochem. 1996; 66:1836-1844.

-Goldberg AF, Loewen CJ, Molday RS. Cysteine residues of photoreceptor peripherin/rds: role in subunit assembly and autosomal dominant retinitis pigmentosa. Biochemistry. 1998; 37:680-685.

-Gonzalez SL, Lopez-Costa JJ, Labombarda F, Deniselle MC, Guennoun R, Schumacher M, De Nicola AF. Progesterone effects on neuronal ultrastructure and expression of microtubule-associated protein 2 (MAP2) in rats with acute spinal cord injury. Cell Mol Neurobiol. 2009; 29:27-39.

-Gouras P & Carr RE. Electrophysiological studies in early retinitis pigmentosa. Arch Ophthalmol. 1964; 72:104-110.

-Gouras P & Lopez R. Transplantation of retinal epithelial cells. Invest Ophthalm and Vis Sci. 1989; 30:1681-1683.

-Grasl-Kraupp B, Ruttkay-Nedecky B, Koudelka H, Bukowska K, Bursch W, Schulte-Hermann R. In situ detection of fragmented DNA (TUNEL assay) fails to discriminate among apoptosis, necrosis, and autolytic cell death: a cautionary note. Hepatology. 1995; 21:1465-1468.

-Griffiths SW1, King J, Cooney CL. The reactivity and oxidation pathway of Cysteine 232 in recombinant human alpha 1-antitrypsin. J Biol Chem. 2002; 277(28):25486-25492.

-Grossman KJ, Goss CW, Stein DG. Effects of progesterone on the inflammatory response to brain injury in the rat. Brain Res. 2004; 1008:29-39.

-Grünert U & Wässle H. GABA-like immunoreactivity in the macaque monkey retina: a light and electron microscopic study. J Comp Neurol. 1990; 297:509-524.

-Guarneri P, Guarneri R, Cascio C, Pavasant P, Piccoli F, Papadopoulos V. Neurosteroidogenesis in rat retinas. J Neurochem. 1994; 63:86-96.

-Guarneri P, Russo D, Cascio C, De Leo G, Piccoli F, Guarneri R. Induction of neurosteroid synthesis by NMDA receptors in isolated rat retina: a potential early event in excitotoxicity. Eur J Neurosci. 1998; 10:1752-1763.

-Guarneri P, Cascio C, Russo D, D'Agostino S, Drago G, Galizzi G, De Leo G, Piccoli F, Guarneri M, Guarneri R. Neurosteroids in the retina: neurodegenerative and neuroprotective agents in retinal degeneration. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 2003; 1007:117-128.

-Guedes V, Schuman JS, Hertzmark E, Wollstein G, Correnti A, Mancini R, Lederer D, Voskanian S, Velazquez L, Pakter HM, Pedut-Kloizman T, Fujimoto JG, Mattox C. Optical coherence tomography measurement of macular and nerve fiber layer thickness in normal and glaucomatous human eyes. Ophthalmol. 2003; 110:177-189.

-Guo J, Krause DN, Horne J, Weiss JH, Li X, Duckles SP. Estrogen-receptor-mediated protection of cerebral endothelial cell viability and mitochondrial function after ischemic insult in vitro. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab. 2010; 30:545-554.

-Guo C, Hirano AA, Stella S Jr., Bitze M, Brecha N. Guinea Pig Horizontal Cells Express GABA, the GABA-Synthesizing Enzyme GAD65, and the GABA Vesicular Transporter. J Comp Neurol. 2010; 518:1647-1669.

-Gupta N, Brown KE, Milam AH. Activated microglia in human retinitis pigmentosa, late-onset retinal degeneration, and age-related macular degeneration. Exp Eye Res. 2003; 76:463-471.

-Gupta A, Tripathi AK, Tripathi RL, Madhu SV, Banerjee BD. Advanced glycosylated end products-mediated activation of polymorphonuclear neutrophils in diabetes mellitus and associated oxidative stress. Indian J Biochem Biophys. 2007; 44:373-378.

-Hackam AS, Strom R, Liu D, Qian J, Wang C, Otteson D, Gunatilaka T, Farkas RH, Chowers I, Kageyama M, Leveillard T, Sahel JA, Campochiaro PA, Parmigiani G, Zack DJ. Identification of gene expression changes associated with the progression of retinal degeneration in the rd1 mouse. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2004; 45:2929-2942.

-Hajee ME, March WF, Lazzaro DR, Wolintz AH, Shrier EM, Glazman S, Bodis-Wollner IG. Inner retinal layer thinning in Parkinson disease. Arch Ophthalmol. 2009; 127:737-741.

-Hall AG. The role of glutathione in the regulation of apoptosis. Eur J Clin Invest. 1999; 29:238:245.

-Halliwell B. Free radicals, antioxidants, and human disease: curiosity, cause, or consequence? Lancet. 1994; 344:721-724.

-Halliwell B, Gutteridge JM. Oxygen free radicals and iron in relation to biology and medicine: some problems and concepts. Arch Biochem Biophys. 1986; 246:501-514.

-Hamel C. Retinitis Pigmentosa. Orphanet J Rare Dis. 2006; 1:40.

-Hao W, Wenzel A, Obin MS, Chen CK, Brill E, Krasnoperova NV, Eversole-Cire P, Kleyner Y, Taylor A, Simon MI, Grimm C, Reme CE, Lem J. Evidence for two apoptotic pathways in light-induced retinal degeneration. Nat Genet. 2002; 32:254-260.

-Harada T, Harada C, Watanabe M, Inoue Y, Sakagawa T, Nakayama N, Sasaki S, Okuyama S, Watase K, Wada K, Tanaka K. Functions of the two glutamate transporters GLAST and GLT- 1 in the retina. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1998; 95:4663-4666.

-Harada T, Harada C, Nakayama N, Okuyama S, Yoshida K, Kohsaka S, Matsuda H, Wada K. Modification of glial-neuronal cell interactions prevents photoreceptor apoptosis during light induced retinal degeneration. Neuron. 2000; 26:533-541.

-Hardy K & Hunt NH. Effects of a redox-active agent on lymphocyte activation and early gene expression patterns. Free Radic Biol Med. 2004; 37:1550-1563

-Hartong DT, Berson EL, Dryja TP. Retinitis pigmentosa. Lancet. 2006; 368:1795-1809.

-Hauck SM. Neuroprotective pathways in the retina: Analysis of GDNF-mediated signalling in retinal Mueller glial cells (RMG) and screening for RMGderived neurotrophic factors. Dissertation. Germany. University of Munich; 2005. 175p

-Hauck SM, Ekström PA, Ahuja-Jensen P, Suppmann S, Paquet-Durand F, van Veen T, Ueffing M. Differential modification of phosducin protein in degenerating rd1 retina is

associated with constitutively active Ca2+/calmodulin kinase II in rod outer segments. Mol Cell Proteomics. 2006; 5:324-536.

-Hayes JD, Flanagan JU, Jowsey IR. Glutathione transferases. Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol. 2005; 45:51-88.

-He J, Hoffman SW, Stein DG. Allopregnanolone, a progesterone metabolite, enhances behavioral recovery and decreases neuronal loss after traumatic brain injury. Restor Neurol Neurosci. 2004; 22:19-31.

-Hermann H & Moses SG. Content and state of glutathione in the tissues of the eye. J biol Chem. 1945; 158:33-45.

-Hermenegildo C, Raya A, Romá J, Romero FJ. Decreased glutathione peroxidase activity in sciatic nerve of alloxan-induced diabetic mice and its correlation with blood glucose levels. Neurochem Res. 1993; 18:893-896.

-Herse P. Retinitis pigmentosa: visual function and multidisciplinary management. Clin Exp Optom. 2005; 88:335-350.

-Hirami Y, Osakada F, Takahashi K, Okita K, Yamanaka S, Ikeda H, Yoshimura N, Takahashi M. Generation of retinal cells from mouse and human induced pluripotent stem cells. Neurosci Lett. 2009; 458:126-131.

-Holcombe DJ, Lengefeld N, Gole GA, Barnett NL. The effects of acute intraocular pressure elevation on rat retinal glutamate transport. Acta Ophthalmol Scand. 2008; 86:408-414.

-Hollmann M & Heinemann S. Cloned glutamate receptors. Annu Rev Neurosci. 1994; 17:31-108.

-Holt CE, Bertsch TW, Ellis HM, Harris WA. Cellular determination in the Xenopus retina is independent of lineage and birth date.Neuron. 1988; 1:15-26.

-Huang PC, Gaitan AE, Hao Y, Petters RM, Wong F. Cellular interactions implicated in the mechanism of photoreceptor degeneration in transgenic mice expressing a mutant rhodopsin gene. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1993; 90:8484-8488.
-Huang SH, Pittler SJ, Huang X, Oliveira L, Berson EL, Dryja TP. Autosomal recessive retinitis pigmentosa caused by mutations in the alpha subunit of rod cGMP phosphodiesterase. Nat Genet. 1995; 11:468-471.

-Huh K, Shin Us, Choi Jw, Lee Si. Effect of Sex Hormone on Lipid Peroxidation in Liver. Arch Pharmacol Res. 1994; 17:109-114.

-Huster D, Reichenbach A, Reichelt W. The glutathione content of retinal Müller (glial) cells: effect of pathological conditions. Neurochem. 2000; 461-469.

-Hwang J, Duncan RS, Madry C, Singh M, Koulen P. Progesterone Potentiates Calcium Release through IP3Receptor by an Akt-Mediated Mechanism in Hippocampal Neurons. Cell Calcium. 2009; 45(3):233-242.

-Ingham CA & Morgan IG. Dose-dependent effects of intravitreal kainic acid on specific cell types in chicken retina. Neuroscience. 1983; 9:165-181.

-Iyer SS, Accardi CJ, Ziegler TR, Blanco RA, Ritzenthaler JD, Rojas M, Roman J, Jones DP. Cysteine Redox Potential Determines Pro-Inflammatory IL-1ß Levels. PLoS One. 2009; 4:5017.

-Iyer SS, Jones DP, Brigham KL, Rojas M. Oxidation of Plasma Cysteine/Cystine Redox State in Endotoxin-Induced Lung Injury. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol. 2009; 40:90-98.

-Izumi Y, Shimamoto K, Benz AM, Hammerman SB, Olney JW, Zorumski CF. Glutamate transporters and retinal excitotoxicity. Glia. 2002; 39:58-68.

-Jacobs GH, Neitz J, Deegan JF 2nd. Retinal receptors in rodents maximally sensitive to ultraviolet light. Nature. 1991; 353:655-656.

-Jae SA, Ahn KN, Kim JY, Seo JH, Kim HK, Goo YS. Electrophysiological and Histologic Evaluation of the Time Course of Retinal Degeneration in the rd10 Mouse Model of Retinitis Pigmentosa. Korean J Physiol Pharmacol. 2013; 17:229-235.

-Jareño EJ, Roma J, Romero B, Marin N, Muriach M, Johnsen S, Bosch-Morell F, Marselou L, Romero FJ. Serum malondialdehyde correlates with therapeutic efficiency of high activity antiretroviral therapies (HAART) in HIV-1 infected children. Free Radic Res. 2002; 36:341-344. -Javadzadeh A, Ghorbanihaghjo A, Bahreini E, Rashtchizadeh N, Argani H, Alizadeh S. Plasma oxidized LDL and thiol-containing molecules in patients with exudative agerelated macular degeneration. Mol Vis. 2010; 16:2578-2584.

-Jenner P & Olanow C. Oxidative stress and the pathogenesis of Parkinson's disease. Neurology. 1996; 47:161-170.

-Jeon CJ, Strettoi E, Masland RH. The major cell populations of the mouse retina. J Neurosci. 1998; 18:8936-8946.

-Jeong MB, Son WG, Park YW, Kim SE, Park SA, Seo KM. Comparison of two electroretinography systems used in dogs: the HMsERG and the RETIport. J Vet Med Sci. 2011; 73:43143-43148.

-Jiang J, Geroski DH, Edelhauser HF, Prausnitz MR. Measurement and prediction oflateral diffusion within human sclera. Invest Ophthalm and Vis Sci. 2006; 47:3011-3016.

-Jiang LQ, Jorquera M, Streilein JW. Subretinal space and vitreous cavity as immunologically privileged sites for retinal allografts. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 1993; 4:3347-3354.

-Jiang LQ & Hamasaki D. Corneal electroretinographic function rescued by normal retinal pigment epithelial grafts in retinal degenerative Royal College of Surgeons rats. Invest Ophthalm and Vis Sci. 1994; 35:4300-4309.

-Jiang MH, Hoog A, Ma KC, Nie XJ, Olsson Y, Zhang WW. Endothelin-1-like immunoreactivity is expressed in human reactive astrocytes. Neuroreport. 1993; 4:935-937.

-Jiang N, Chopp M, Stein D, Feit H. Progesterone is neuroprotective after transient middle cerebral artery occlusion in male rats. Brain Res. 1996; 735:101-107.

-Jiang S, Moriarty-Craige SE, Orr M, Cai J, Sternberg P Jr, Jones DP. Oxidant-induced apoptosis in human retinal pigment epithelial cells: dependence on extracellular redox state. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2005; 46:1054-1061.

-Jimenez AJ, Garcia-Fernandez JM, Gonzalez B, Foster RG. The spatio-temporal pattern of photoreceptor degeneration in the aged rd/rd mouse retina. Cell Tissue Res. 1996; 284:193-202.

-Jindahra P, Hedges TR, Mendoza-Santiesteban CE, Plant GT. Optical coherence tomography of the retina: applications in neurology. Curr Opin Neurol. 2010; 23:16-23. -Jing S, Wen D, Yu Y, Holst PL, Luo Y, Fang M, Tamir R, Antonio L, Hu Z, Cupples R, Louis JC, Hu S, Altrock BW, Fox GM. GDNF-induced activation of the ret protein tyrosine kinase is mediated by GDNFR-alpha, a novel receptor for GDNF. Cell. 1996; 85:1113-1124.

-Jodhka PK, Kaur P, Underwood W, Lydon JP, Singh M. The differences in neuroprotective efficacy of progesterone and medroxyprogesterone acetate correlate with their effects on brain-derived neurotrophic factor expression. Endocrin. 2009; 150: 3162-3168.

-Johnsen-Soriano S, Genoves JM, Romero B, Garcia-Delpech S, Muriach M, Sancho-Tello M, Bosch-Morell F, Romero F. Chronic ethanol feeding induces oxidative stress in the rat retina: treatment with the antioxidant ebselen. Arch Soc Esp Oftalmol. 2007; 82:757-762.

-Johnson MA, Vardi N. Regional differences in GABA and GAD immunoreactivity in rabbit horizontal cells. Vis Neurosci. 1998; 15:743-753.

-Jomary C, Vincent KA, Grist J, Neal MJ, Jones SE. Rescue of photoreceptor function by AAV-mediated gene transfer in a mouse model of inherited retinal degeneration. Gene Therapy. 1997; 4:683-690.

-Jonas CR, Puckett AB, Jones DP, Griffith DP, Szeszycki EE, Bergman GF, Furr CE, Tyre C, Carlson JL, Galloway JR, Blumberg JB, Ziegler TR. Plasma antioxidant status after highdose chemotherapy: a randomized trial of parenteral nutrition in bone marrow transplantation patients. Am J Clin Nutr. 2000; 72:181-189.

-Jonas CR, Ziegler TR, Gu LH, Jones DP. Extracellular thiol/disulfide redox state affects proliferation rate in a human colon carcinoma (Caco2) cell line. Free Radic Biol Med. 2002; 33:1499-1506.

-Jones DP, Carlson JL, Mody VC, Cai J, Lynn MJ, Sternberg P. Redox state of glutathione in human plasma. Free Radic Biol Med. 2000; 28:625-635.

-Jones DP. Redefining oxidative stress. Antioxid Redox Signal. 2006; 8:1865-1879.

-Jones DP, Mody VC Jr, Carlson JL, Lynn MJ, Sternberg Jr. Redox analysis of human plasma allows separation of pro-oxidant events of aging from decline in anti-oxidant defenses. Free Radic Biol Med. 2002; 33:1290-1300.

-Kaldi I & Berta A. Progesterone administration fails to protect albino male rats against photostress-induced retinal degeneration. Eur J Ophthalmol. 2004; 14:306-314.

-Kalivas PW. The glutamate homeostasis hypothesis of addiction. Nat Rev Neurosci. 2009; 10:561-572.

-Kalloniatis M & Tomisich G. Amino acid neurochemistry of the vertebrate retina. Prog Retin Eye Res. 1999; 18:811-866.

-Kamata H & Hirata H. Redox regulation of cellular signalling. Cell Signal.1999; 11:1–14.

-Kamermans M & Spekreijse H. The feedback pathway from horizontal cells to cones. A mini review with a look ahead. Vision Res. 1999; 39:2449-2468.

-Kane DJ, Sarafian TA, Anton R, Hahn H, Graller EB, Valentine JS, Ord T, Bredesen DE. Bcl-2 inhibition of neural death: decreased generation of reactive oxygen species. Science. 1993; 262:1274-1277.

-Kaneko H, Nishiguchi KM, Nakamura M, Kachi S, Terasaki H. Retardation of photoreceptor degeneration in the detached retina of rd1 mouse. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2008; 49(2):781-787.

-Kangf YF & Uthus EO. Suppression of plasma estradiol and progesterone concentrations by buthionine sulfoximine in female rats. Biochem Pharm. 1996; 51:567-570.

-Kaplowitz N, Fernandez-Checa JC, Kannan R, Garcia-Ruiz C, Ookhtens M, Yi JR. GSH transporters: molecular characterization and role in GSH homeostasis. Biol Chem Hoppe-Seyler. 1996; 377:267-273.

-Karwoski CJ & Proenza LM. Hyperpolarizing on/off-responses in mudpuppy retina. Vision Res. 1977; 17:152-153.

-Karwoski CJ, Lu HK, Newman EA. Spatial Buffering of Light-Evoked Potassium Increases by Retinal Müller (Glial) Cells. Science. 1989; 244:578-580.

-Kas J & Blattna J. Phospholipids in foodstuffs. Acta Univ Carol Med. 1986; 32:81-92.

-Katzung BG, Master SB, Trevor AJ. Basic and Clinical Pharmacology. 2010.

-Kaur P, Jodhka PK, Underwood WA, Bowles CA, de Fiebre NC, de Fiebre CM, Singh M. Progesterone increases brain-derived neuroptrophic factor expression and protects against glutamate toxicity in a mitogen-activated protein kinase- and phosphoinositide-3 kinase-dependent manner in cerebral cortical explants. J Neurosci Res. 2007; 85:2441-2449.

-Keeler CE. The inheritance of a Retinal Abnormality in White Mice. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1994; 10:329-333.

-Keen TJ, Inglehearn CF. Mutations and polymorphisms in the human peripherin-RDS gene and their involvement in inherited retinal degeneration. Hum Mutat. 1996; 8:297-303.

-Kennan A, Aherne A, Humphries P. Light in retinitis pigmentosa. Trends Genet. 2005; 21:103-110.

-Kerr J, Wyllie A, Currie A. Apoptosis, a basic biological phenomenon with wider implications in tissue kinetics. Br J Cancer. 1972; 26:239-245.

-Kii N, Adachi N, Liu K, Arai T. Acute effects of 17beta-estradiol on oxidative stress in ischemic rat striatum. J Neurosurg Anesthesiol. 2005; 17:27-32.

-Kirbas S, Turkyilmaz K, Anlar O, Tufekci A, Durmus M. Retinal nerve fiber layer thickness in patients with Alzheimer disease. J Neuroophthalmol. 2013; 33:58-61.

-Kim SU & de Vellis J. Microglia in health and disease. J Neurosci Res. 2005; 81:302-313. -Kim KH, Puoris'haag M, Maguluri GN, Umino Y, Cusato K, Barlow RB and de Boer JF. Monitoring mouse retinal degeneration with high-resolution spectral-domain optical coherence tomography. J Vis.2 008; 24:1-11.

-Kirkman-Brown JC, Bray C, Stewart PM, Barratt CL, Publicover SJ. Biphasic elevation of [Ca(2+)](i) in individual human spermatozoa exposed to progesterone. Dev Biol. 2000; 222:326-335.

-Kirlin WG, Cai J, Thompson SA, Diaz D, Kavanagh TJ, Jones DP. Glutathione redox potential in response to differentiation and enzyme inducers. Free Radic Biol Med. 1999; 27:1208-1218.

-Klepac N, Relja M, Klepac R, Hecimovic S, Babic T, Trkulja V. Oxidative stress parameters in plasma of Huntington's disease patients, asymptomatic Huntington's disease gene carriers and healthy subjects: a cross-sectional study. J Neurol. 2007; 254:1676-1683.

-Koenig HL, Schumacher M, Ferzaz B, Thi AN, Ressouches A, Guennoun R, Jung-Testas I, Robel P, Akwa Y, Baulieu EE. Progesterone synthesis and myelin formation by Schwann cells. Science. 1995; 268:1500-1503.

-Kolb H. The connexions between horizontal cells and photoreceptors in the retina of the cat: electron microscopy of Golgi-preparations. J Comp Neurol. 1974; 155:1-14.

-Kolb H, Fernandez E, Schouten J, Ahnelt P, Linberg KA, Fisher SK. Are there three types of horizontal cell in the human retina? J Comp Neurol. 1994; 343:370-386.

-Kolb H. Concepts and Challenges in Retinal Biology. Elsevier, Amsterdam. 2001;

-Kolb H. How the retina works. American scientist. 2003; 91:28-35.

-Kolb H, Nelson R, Fernandez E, Bryan J. The organization of the Retina and Visual System (Internet). Avaible from: http://webvision.med.utah.edu/.

-Komeima K, Rogers BS, Lu L, Campochiaro PA. Antioxidants reduce cone cell death in a model of retinitis pigmentosa. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2006; 103:11300-11305.

-Komeima K, Rogers BS, Campochiaro PA. Antioxidants slow photoreceptor cell death in mouse models of retinitis pigmentosa. J Cell Physiol. 2007; 213:809-815.

-Komeima K, Usui S, Shen J, Rogers BS, Campochiaro PA. Blockade of neuronal nitric oxide synthase reduces cone cell death in a model of retinitis pigmentosa. Free Radic Biol Med. 2008; 45:905-912.

-Kosower NS & Kosower EM. The glutathione status of cells. Int Rev Cytol. 1978; 54:109-160.

-Koulen P, Kuhn R, Wassle H, Brandstatter JH. Modulation of the intracellular calcium concentration in photoreceptor terminals by a presynaptic metabotropic glutamate receptor. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1999; 96:9909-9914.

-Kowluru R, Kern TS, Engerman RL. Abnormalities of retinal metabolism in diabetes or galactosemia. II. Comparison of gamma-glutamyl transpeptidase in retina and cerebral cortex, and effects of antioxidant therapy. Curr Eye Res. 1994; 13:891-896.

-Kowluru RA & Kennedy A. Therapeutic potential of anti-oxidants and diabetic retinopathy. Expert Opin Investig Drugs. 2001; 10:1665-1676.

-Kreutzberg GW. Microglia: A sensor for pathological events in the CNS. Trends in Neuroscience. 1996; 19:312-327.

-Krill AE. Retinitis pigmentosa: a review. Sight Sav Rev. 1972; 42:21-28.

-Kuffler SW. The single-cell approach in the visual system and the study of receptive fields. Invest Ophthalmol. 1973; 12:794-813.

-Kukner AS, Kukner A, Naziroglu M, Colakoglu N, Celebi S, Yilmaz T, Aydemir O. Protective effects of intraperitoneal vitamin C, aprotinin and melatonin administration on retinal edema during experimental uveitis in the guinea pig. Cell Biochem Funct. 2004; 22:299-305.

-Kumar RS, Anthrayose CV, Iyer KV, Vimala B, Shashidhar S. Lipid peroxidation and diabetic retinopathy. Indian J Med Sci. 2001; 55:133-138.

-Kumar-Singh R & Farber DB. Encapsidated adenovirus mini-chromosome-mediated delivery of genes to the retina: application to the rescue of photoreceptor degeneration. Hum Mol Gen. 1998; 7:1893-1900.

-Kurihara T, Ozawa Y, Shinoda K, Nagai N, Inoue M, Oike Y, Tsubota K, Ishida S, Okano H. Neuroprotective effects of angiotensin II type 1 receptor (AT1R) blocker, telmisartan, via modulating AT1R and AT2R signaling in retinal inflammation. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2006; 47:5545-5552.

-Kurimoto Y, Shibuki H, Kaneko Y, Ichikawa M, Kurokawa T, Takahashi M, Yoshimura N. Transplantation of adult rat hippocampus-derived neural stem cells into retina injured by transient ischemia. Neurosci Lett. 2001; 306:57-60.

-Lamba DA, Karl MO, Ware CB, Reh TA. Efficient generation of retinal progenitor cells from human embryonic stem cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2006; 103:12769-12774.

-Lamba DA, Karl MO, Reh TA. Strategies for retinal repair: cell replacement and regeneration. Prog Brain Res. 2009; 175:23-31.

-Lamba DA, Gust J, Reh TA. Transplantation of human embryonic stem cell-derived photoreceptors restores some visual function in Crx-deficient mice. Cell Stem Cell. 2009; 4:73-78.

-Lamba DA, McUsic A, Hirata RK, Wang PR, Russell D, Reh TA. Generation, purification and transplantation of photoreceptors derived from human induced pluripotent stem cells. Plos One. 2010; 5:8763.

-Lambard S, Reichman S, Berlinicke C, Niepon ML, Goureau O, Sahel JA, Leveillard T, Zack DJ. Expression of Rod-Derived Cone Viability Factor: Dual Role of CRX in Regulating Promoter Activity and Cell-Type Specificity. 2010; 5:10.

-Lambert JJ, Belelli D, Peden DR, Vardy AW, Peters JA. Neurosteroid modulation of GABAA receptors. Prog Neurobiol. 2003; 71:67-80.

-Lange CA. Integration of progesterone receptor action with rapid signaling events in breast cancer models. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol. 2008; 108:203-212.

-Langmann T: Microglia activation in retinal degeneration. J Leukoc Biol. 2007; 81:1345-1351.

-Lanthier A & Patwardhan VV. In vitro steroid metabolism by rat retina. Brain Res. 1988; 463:403-406.

-Lasansky A & DeRobertis E. Submicroscopic analysis of the genetic distrophy of visual cells in C3H mice. J Biophys Biochem Cytol. 1960; 7:679-684.

-Laurie DJ, Bartke I, Schoepfer R, Naujoks K, Seeburg PH. Regional, developmental and interspecies expression of the four NMDAR2 subunits, examined using monoclonal antibodies. Brain Res Mol Brain Res. 1997; 51:23-32.

-LaVail MM, Li L, Turner JE, Yasumura D. Retinal pigment epithelial cell transplantation in RCS rats: Normal metabolism in rescued photoreceptors. Exp Eye Res. 1992; 55:555-562.

-LaVail MM, Matthes MT, Yasumura D, Steinberg RH. Variability in rate of cone degeneration in the retinal degeneration (rd/rd) mouse. Exp Eye Res. 1997; 65:45-50.

-LaVail MM, Yasumura D, Matthes MT, Lau-Villacorta C, Unoki K, Sung CH, Steinberg RH. Protection of mouse photoreceptors by survival factors in retinal degenerations. Invest Ophthalmol and Vis Sci. 1998; 39:592-602.

-LaVail MM, Yasumura D, Matthes MT, Drenser KA, Flannery JG, Lewin AS, Hauswirth WW. Ribozyme rescue of photoreceptor cells in P23H transgenic rats: longterm survival and late-stage therapy. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2000; 97: 11488-11493.

-Lawrence JM, Keegan DJ, Muir EM, Coffey PJ, Rogers JH, Wilby MJ, Fawcett JW, Lund RD. Transplantation of Schwann cell line clones secreting GDNF or BDNF into the retinas of dystrophic Royal College of Surgeons rats. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2004; 45:267-274.

-Le Goascogne C, Robel P, Gouézou M, Sananès N, Baulieu EE, Waterman M. Neurosteroids: cytochrome P-450scc in rat brain. Science. 1987; 237:1212-1215.

-Lea PMt, Movsesyan VA, Faden AI. Neuroprotective activity of the mGluR5 antagonists MPEP and MTEP against acute excitotoxicity differs and does not reflect actions at mGluR5 receptors. Br J Pharmacol. 2005; 145:527-534.

-Leonelli E, Bianchi R, Cavaletti G, Caruso D, Crippa D, Garcia-Segura LM, Lauria G, Magnaghi V, Roglio I, Melcangi RC. Progesterone and its derivatives are neuroprotective agents in experimental diabetic neuropathy: A multimodal analysis. Neuroscience. 2007; 144:1293-1304.

-Leveillard T, Mohand-Said S, Lorentz O, Hicks D, Fintz AC, Clerin E, Simonutti M, Forster V, Cavusoglu N, Chalmel F, Dolle P, Poch O, Lambrou G, Sahel JA. Identification and characterization of rod-derived cone viability factor. Nat Genet. 2004; 36:755-759.

-Leveillard T & Sahel JA. Rod-derived cone viability factor for treating blinding diseases: from clinic to redox signaling. Sci Transl Med. 2010; 2:16.

-Levin LA & Gordon LK. Retinal ganglion cell disorders: types and treatments. Prog Retin Eye Res. 2002; 21:465-84.

-Lewis GP & Fisher SK. Up-regulation of glial fibrillary acidic protein in response to retinal injury: its potential role in glial remodeling and a comparison to vimentin expression. Int Rev Cytol. 2003; 230:263-290.

-Lewis GP, Sethi CS, Carter KM, Charteris DG, Fisher SK. Microglial cell activation following retinal detachment: a comparison between species. Mol Vis. 2005; 11:491-500.

-Li L & Turner JE. Inherited retinal dystrophy in the RCS rat: Prevention of photoreceptor degeneration by pigment epithelial cell transplantation. Exp Eye Res. 1988; 47:911-917.

-Li Q & Puro DG. Diabetes-induced dysfunction of the glutamate transporter in retinal Müller cells. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2002; 43:3109-3116.

-Li W, Kong F, Li X, Dai X, Liu X, Zheng Q, Wu R, Zhou X, Lü F, Chang B, Li Q, Hauswirth WW, Qu J, Pang JJ. Gene therapy following subretinal AAV5 vector delivery is not affected by a previous intravitreal AAV5 vector administration in the partner eye. Mol Vis. 2009; 15:267-275.

-Li Y, Tao W, Luo L, Huang D, Kauper K, Stabila P, Lavail MM, Laties AM, Wen R. CNTF induces regeneration of cone outer segments in a rat model of retinal degeneration. PLoS One. 2010; 5:9495.

-Li J, Daly E, Campioli E, Wabitsch M, Papadopoulos V. De novo synthesis of steroids and oxysterols in adipocytes. J Biol Chem. 2013; 289:747-764.

-Liang FQ, Dejneka NS, Cohen DR, Krasnoperova NV, Lem J, Maguire AM, Dudus L, Fisher KJ, Bennett J. AAVmediated delivery of ciliary neurotrophic factor prolongs photoreceptor survival in the rhodopsin knockout mouse. Mol Ther. 2001; 3:241-248.

-Liang FQ, Aleman TS, Dejneka NS, Dudus L, Fisher KJ, Maguire AM, Jacobson SG, Bennett J. Longterm protection of retinal structure but not function using rAAV. CNTF in animal models of retinitis pigmentosa. Mol Ther. 2001; 4:461-472.

-Liang FQ & Godley BF. Oxidative stress-induced mitochondrial DNA damage in human retinal pigment epithelial cells: a possible mechanism for RPE aging and age-related macular degeneration. Exp Eye Res. 2003; 76:397-403.

-Liang FQ, Green L, Wang C, Alssadi R, Godley BF. Melatonin protects human retinal pigment epithelial (RPE) cells against oxidative stress. Exp Eye Res. 2004; 78:1069-1075.

-Lieth E, Barber A, Xu B, Dice C, Ratz MJ, Tanase D, Strother JM. Glial reactivity and impaired glutamate metabolism in short-term experimental diabetic retinopathy. Diabetes. 1998; 47:815-820.

-Lieth E, LaNoue KF, Antonetti DA, Ratz M. Diabetes reduces glutamate oxidation and glutamine synthesis in the retina. The Penn State Retina Research Group. Exp Eye Res. 2000; 70:723-730.

-Lin CT, Li HZ, Wu JY. Immunocytochemical localization of L-glutamate decarboxylase, gamma-aminobutyric acid transaminase, Cysteine sulfinic acid decarboxylase, aspartate aminotransferase and somatostatin in rat retina. Brain Res. 1983; 270:273-283.

-Linser PJ, Sorrentino M, Moscona AA. Cellular compartmentalization of carbonic anhydrase-C and glutamine synthetase in developing and mature mouse neural retina. Brain Res. 1984; 315:65-71.

-Liu M, Hurn PD, Roselli CE, Alkayed NJ. Role of P450 aromatase in sex-specific astrocytic cell death. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab. 2007; 27:135-141.

-Liu M, Oyarzabal EA, Yang R, Murphy SJ, Hurn PD. A novel method for assessing sexspecific and genotype-specific response to injury in astrocyte culture. J Neurosci Methods. 2008; 171:214217.

-Liu M, Kelley MH, Herson PS, Hurn PD. Neuroprotection of Sex Steroids. Minerva Endocrinol. 2010; 35:127-143.

-Lowry OH, Rosebrough NJ, Farr AL, Randall RJ. Protein measurement with the Folin phenol reagent. J Biol Chem. 1951; 193:265-275.

-Lu L, Oveson BC, Jo YJ, Lauer TW, Usui S, Komeima K, Xie B, Campochiaro PA. Increased expression of glutathione peroxidase 4 strongly protects retina from oxidative damage. Antioxid Redox Signal. 2009; 11:715-724.

-Lu N, Li C, Cheng Y, DU Al. Protective effects of progesterone against high intraocular pressure-induced retinal ischemia-reperfusion in rats. J South Med. 2008; 28:2026-2029.

-Lucas DR & Newhouse JP. The toxic effect of sodium L-glutamate on the inner layers of the retina. AMA Arch Ophthalmol. 1957; 58:193-201.

-Lund RD, Ono SJ, Keegan DJ, Lawrence JM. Retinal transplantation: progress and problems in clinical application. J Leukoc Biol. 2003; 74:151-160.

-Luoma JI, Kelley BG, Mermelstein PG. Progesterone inhibition of voltage-gated calcium channels is a potential neuroprotective mechanism against excitotoxicity. Steroids. 2011; 76:845-855.

-Luoma JI, Stern CM, Mermelstein PG. Progesterone inhibition of neuronal calcium signaling underlies aspects of progesterone-mediated neuroprotection. J Steroid Biochem & Mol Biol. 2012; 131:30-36.

-Madamanchi NR, Hakim ZS, Runge MS. Oxidative stress in atherogenesis and arterial thrombosis: the disconnect between cellular studies and clinical outcomes. J Thromb Haemost. 2005; 2:254-267.

-Makrigiannakis A, Coukos G, Christofidou-Solomidou M, Montas S, Coutifaris C. Progesterone is an autocrine/paracrine regulator of human granulosa cell survival in vitro. Ann NY Acad Sci. 2000; 900:16-25.

-Maher P & Hanneken A. Flavonoids protect retinal ganglion cells from oxidative stress-induced death. Invest Ophthalmol Visual Sci. 2005; 46:4796-4803.

-Mani SK. Signaling mechanisms in progesteroneneurotransmitter interactions. Neuroscience. 2006; 138:773-781.

-Mann IC. The development of human eye. British medical association, London. 1964.

-Marc RE, Murry RF, Fisher SK, Linberg KA, Lewis GP, Kalloniatis M. Amino acid signatures in the normal cat retina. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 1998; 39:1685-1693.

-Marc RE & Cameron D. A molecular phenotype atlas of the zebrafish retina. J Neurocytol. 2001; 30:593-654.

-Marc RE, Jones BW, Watt CB, Strettoi E. Neural remodeling in retinal degeneration. Prog Retin Eye Res. 2003; 22:607-655.

-Marin-Castaño ME, Elliot SJ, Potier M, Karl M, Striker LJ, Striker GE, Csaky KG, Cousins SW. Regulation of estrogen receptors and MMP-2 expression by estrogen in human retinal pigment epithelium. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2003; 44:50-59.

-Marks WB, Dobelle WH, Macnichol EF Jr. Visual pigments of single primate cones. Science. 1964; 143:1181-1183.

-Marmor M. The Retinal Pigment Epithelium. Ophthalmology, London. 1999.

-Marmor MF & Hock PA. A practical method for c-wave recording in man. Doc Ophthalmol Proc Ser. 1982; 31:67-72.

-Marmor MF, Fulton AB, Holder GE, Miyake Y, Brigell M, Bach M; International Society for Clinical Electrophysiology of Vision. ISCEV Standard for full-field clinical electroretinography. Doc Ophthalmol. 2009; 118:69-77.

-Martin KR, Levkovitch-Verbin H, Valenta D, Baumrind L, Pease ME, Quigley HA. Retinal glutamate transporter changes in experimental glaucoma and after optic nerve transection in the rat. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2002; 43:2236-2243.

-Masland RH. The fundamental plan of the retina. Nat Neurosci. 2001; 4:877-886.

-Massey SC. Cell types using glutamate as a neuro- transmitter in the vertebrate retina. Prog Ret Res. 1990; 9:399-425.

-Massey SC & Maguire G. The role of glutamate in retinal circuitry. In: Excitatory Amino Acids and Synaptic Transmission. Academic Press, New York. 1995; 201-221.

-Massey SC & Miller RF. N-methyl-D-aspartate receptors of ganglion cells in rabbit retina. J Neurophysiol. 1990; 63:16-30.

-Matthews G. Neurotransmitter release. Annu Rev Neurosci. 1996; 19:219-233.

-Mattson MP. Glutamate and neurotrophic factors in neuronal plasticity and disease. Ann NY Acad Sci. 2008; 1144:97-112.

-Maw MA, Kennedy B, Knight A, Bridges R, Roth KE, Mani EJ, Mukkadan JK, Nancarrow D, Crabb JW, Denton MJ. Mutation of the gene encoding cellular retinaldehyde-binding protein in autosomal recessive retinitis pigmentosa. Nat Genet. 1997; 17:198-200.

-Mayer B & Hemmens B. Biosynthesis and action of nitric oxide in mammalian cells. Trends Biochem Sci. 1997; 22:477-481.

-Mannella P, Sanchez AM, Giretti MS, Genazzani AR, Simoncini T. Oestrogen and progestins differently prevent glutamate toxicity in cortical neurons depending on prior hormonal exposure via the induction of neural nitric oxide synthase. Steroids. 2009; 74:650-656.

-McCord JM. The evolution of free radicals and oxidative stress. Am J Med. 2000; 108:652.

-McEwen BS. Sex, stress and the hippocampus: allostasis, allostatic load and the aging process. Neurobiol Aging. 2002; 23:921-939.

-McGee Sanftner LH, Abel H, Hauswirth WW, Flannery JG. Glial cell line derived neurotrophic factor delays photoreceptor degeneration in a transgenic rat model of retinitis pigmentosa. Mol Ther. 2001; 4:622-629.

-McGill TJ, Lund RD, Douglas RM, Wang S, Lu B, Silver BD, Secretan MR, Arthur JN, Prusky GT. Syngeneic Schwann cell transplantation preserves vision in RCS rat without immunosuppression. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2007; 48:1906-1912.

-McLaren RE, Pearson RA, MacNeil A, Douglas RH, Salt TE, Akimoto M, Swaroop A, Sowden JC, Ali RR. Retinal repair by transplantation of photoreceptor precursors. Nature. 2006; 444:203-207.

-McLaughlin ME, Sandberg MA, Berson EL, Dryja TP. Recessive mutations in the gene encoding the beta-subunit of rod phosphodiesterase in patients with retinitis pigmentosa. Nat Genet. 1993; 4:130-134.

-McLaughlin ME, Ehrhart TL, Berson EL, Dryja TP. Mutation spectrum of the gene encoding the beta subunit of rod phosphodiesterase among patients with autosomal recessive retinitis pigmentosa. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1995; 92:3249-3253.

-McIntosh TK. Neuropathological sequelae of traumatic brain injury: relationship to neurochemical and biomechanical mechanisms. Lab Invest.1996; 74:315-342.

-Meister A. Glutathione metabolism and its selective modification. J Biol Chem. 1988; 263:17205-17208.

-Meister A. Glutathione: Chemical, Biochemical, and Medical Aspects. Part A Series: Coenzymes and Cofactors. John Wiley and Sons. 1989.

-Meister A & Anderson ME. Glutathione. Annu Rev Biochem. 1983; 52:711-760.

-Meister A & Tate SS. Glutathione and related gamma-glutamyl compounds: biosynthesis and utilization. Annu Rev Biochem. 1976; 45:559-604.

-Mellon SH & Vaudry H. Biosynthesis of neurosteroids and regulation of their synthesis. Int Rev Neurobiol. 2001; 46:33-78.

-Messias A, Gekeler F, Wegener A, Dietz K, Kohler K and Zrenner E. Retinal safety of a new fluoroquinolone, pradofloxacin, in cats: assessment with electroretinography. Doc Ophthalmol. 2008; 116:177-191.

-Meyer JS, Shearer RL, Capowski EE, , Wright LS, Wallace KA, McMillan EL, Zhang SC, Gamm DM. Modeling early retinal development with human embryonic and induced pluripotent stem cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2009; 106:16698-16703.

-Michaelis EK. Molecular biology of glutamate receptors in the central nervous system and their role in excitotoxicity, oxidative stress and aging. Prog Neurobiol. 1998; 54:369-415.

-Mienville JM & Vicini S. Pregnenolone sulfate antagonizes GABAA receptor-mediated currents via a reduction of channel opening frequency. Brain Res. 1989; 489:190-194.

-Miller RF & Dowling JE. Intracellular responses of the Muller (glial) cells of mudpuppy retina: their relation to the b-wave of the electroretinogram. J Neurophysiol. 1970; 33:323-341.

-Miller WL. Steroid hormone synthesis in mitochondria. Mol Cell Endocrinol. 2013; 15; 379:62-73.

-Miller WL & Auchus RH. The Molecular Biology, Biochemistry, and Physiology of Human Steroidogenesis and Its Disorders. 2011; 32:1.

-Minamiyama Y, Takemura S, Tsukioka T, Shinkawa H, Kobayashi F, Nishikawa Y, Kodai S, Mizuguchi S, Suehiro S, Okada S. Effect of AOB, a fermented-grain food supplement, on oxidative stress in type 2 diabetic rats. Biofactors. 2007; 30:91-104.

-Miranda M, Muriach M, Almansa I, Jareño E, Bosch-Morell F, Romero FJ, Silvestre D. Oxidative status of human milk and its variations during cold storage. Biofactors. 2004; 20:129-137.

-Miranda M, Muriach M, Almansa I, Arnal E, Messeguer A, Diaz-Llopis M, Romero FJ, Bosch-Morell F. CR-6 protects glutathione peroxidase activity in experimental diabetes. Free Radic Biol Med. 2007; 43:1494-1498.

-Miranda M, Alvarez-Nölting R, Araiz J, Romero FJ. Antioxidant therapy in retinitis pigmentosa. Novel Aspects of Neuroprotection. 2010.

-Miranda M, Arnal E, Ahuja S, Alvarez-Nölting R, López-Pedrajas R, Ekström P, Bosch-Morell F, van Veen T, Romero FJ. Antioxidants rescue photoreceptors in rd1 mice: Relationship with thiol metabolism. Free Radic Biol Med. 2010; 48:216-222.

-Mitscher LA, Telikepalli H, McGhee E, Shankel DM. Natural antimutagenic agents. Mutat Res. 1996; 350:143-152.

-Miyamoto M, Ishida M, Shinozaki H. Anticonvulsive and neuroprotective actions of a potent agonist (DCG-IV) for group II metabotropic glutamate receptors against intraventricular kainate in the rat. Neurosci. 1997; 77:131-140.

-Molday RS. Photoreceptor membrane proteins, phototransduction, and retinal degenerative diseases. The Friedenwald Lecture. Investig Ophthal Vis Sci. 1998; 39:2491-2513.

-Monyer H, Burnashev N, Laurie DJ, Sakmann B, Seeburg PH. Developmental and regional expression in the rat brain and functional properties of four NMDA receptors. Neuron. 1994; 12:529-540.

-Mor G, Nilsen J, Horvath T, Bechmann I, Brown S, Garcia-Segura LM, Naftolin F. Estrogen and microglia: A regulatory system that affects the brain. J Neurobiol. 1999; 40:484-496.

-Moralí G, Letechipía-Vallejo G, López-Loeza E, Montes P, Hernández-Morales L, Cervantes M. Post-ischemic administration of progesterone in rats exerts neuroprotective effects on the hippocampus. Neurosci Lett. 2005; 382:286-290.

-Moran LK, Gutteridge JM, Quinlan GJ. Thiols in cellular redox signalling and control. Curr Med Chem. 2001; 8:763-772.

-Moriarty SE, Shah JH, Lynn M, Jiang S, Openo K. Oxidation of glutathione and Cysteine in human plasma associated with smoking. Free Radic Biol Med. 2003; 35:1582-1588.

-Moriarty-Craige SE, Adkison J, Lynn M, Gensler G, Bressler S, Jones DP, Sternberg P Jr. Antioxidant supplements prevent oxidation of Cysteine/Cystine redox in patients with age-related macular degeneration. Am J Ophthalmol. 2005; 140:1020-1026.

-Mosinger J & Yazulla S. Double-label analysis of GAD- and GABA-like immunoreactivity in the rabbit retina. Vision Res. 1987; 27:23-30.

-Mosinger JL, Yazulla S, Studholme KM. GABA-like immunoreactivity in the vertebrate retina: a species comparison. Exp Eye Res. 1986; 42:631-644.

-Mueller CF, Laude K, McNally JS, Harrison DG. Redox mechanisms in blood vessels. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol. 2005; 2:274-278.

-Muller E & Kerschbaum HH. Progesterone and its metabolites 5-dihydroprogesterone and 5-3-tetrahydroprogesterone decrease LPS-induced NO release in the murine microglial cell line, BV-2. Neuro Endocrinol Lett. 2006; 27:675-678.

-Munaut C, Lambert V, Noël A, Frankenne F, Deprez M, Foidart JM, Rakic JM. Presence of oestrogen receptor type beta in human retina. Br J Ophthalmol. 2001; 85:877-882.

-Muriach M, Bosch-Morell F, Alexander G, Blomhoff R, Barcia J, Arnal E, Almansa I, Romero FJ, Miranda M. Lutein effect on retina and hippocampus of diabetic mice. Free Radic Biol Med. 2006; 41:979-984.

-Murphy S, Traystman R, Hurn P, Duckles S. Progesterone exacerbates striatal stroke injury in progesterone-deficient female animals. Stroke. 2000; 31:1173-1178.

-Murphy TH, Miyamoto M, Sastre A, Schnaar RL, Coyle JT. Glutamate toxicity in a neuronal cell line involves inhibition of Cystine transport leading to oxidative stress. Neuron. 1989; 2:1547-1558.

-Musarella MA & MacDonald IM. Current Concepts in the Treatment of Retinitis Pigmentosa. J Ophthalmol. 2011; 2011:753547.

-Nakamachi Y, Nakamura M, Fujii S, Yamamoto M, Okubo K. Oguchi disease with sectoral retinitis pigmentosa harboring denine deletion at position 1147 in the arrestin gene. Am J Ophthal. 1998; 125:249-251.

-Nakanish LS. Molecular diversity of glutamate receptors and implications for brain function. Science. 1992; 258:597-603.

-Nakanishi S. Metabotropic glutamate receptors: synaptic transmission, modulation, and plasticity. Neuron. 1994; 13:1031-1037.

-Nakazawa M. A molecular biological study on retinitis pigmentosa. Nippon Ganka Gakkai Zasshi. 1993; 97:1394-1405.

-Nakazawa T, Takahashi H, Shimura M. Estrogen has a neuroprotective effect on axotomized RGCs through ERK signal transduction pathway. Brain Res. 2006; 1093:141-149.

-Nakazawa T, Takeda M, Lewis GP, Cho K-S, Jiao J, Wilhelmsson U, Fisher SK, Pekny M, Chen DF, Miller JW. Attenuated glial reactions and photoreceptor degeneration after retinal detachment in mice deficient in glial fibrillary acidic protein and vimentin. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2007; 48:2760-2768.

-Narfström K & Nilsson SE. Hereditary retinal degeneration in the Abyssinian cat: correlation of ophthalmoscopic and electroretinographic findings. Doc Ophthal. 1985; 60:183-187.

-Narfström K, Jeong M, Hyman J, Madsen RW, Bergström TF. Assessment of hereditary retinal degeneration in the English springer spaniel dog and disease relationship to an RPGRIP1 mutation. Stem Cells Int. 2012; 2012.

-Naskar R, Vorwerk CK, Dreyer EB. Concurrent downregulation of a glutamate transporter and receptor in glaucoma. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2000; 41:1940-1944.

-Nathans J, Thomas D and Hogness DS. Molecular genetics of human color vision: The genes encoding blue, green, and red pigments. Science. 1986; 232:193-202.

-Navarro J, Obrador E, Pellicer JA, Aseni M, Viña J, Estrela JM. Blood glutathione as an index of radiation-induced oxidative stress in mice and humans. Free Radic Biol Med. 1997; 22:1203-1209.

-Neufeld AH. Microglia in the optic nerve head and the region of parapapillary chorioretinal atrophy in glaucoma. Arch Ophthalmol. 1999; 117:1050-1056.

-Newman EA, Frambach DA, Odette LL. Control of Extracellular Potassium Levels by Retinal Glial Cell K^+ Siphoning. Science. 1984; 225:1174-1175.

-Nilsen J & Brinton RD. Impact of progestins on estrogen-induced neuroprotection: synergy by progesterone and 19-norprogesterone and antagonism by medroxyprogesterone acetate. Endocrinology. 2002; 143:205-212.

-Nilsen J & Diaz Brinton R. Mechanism of estrogen-mediated neuroprotection: regulation of mitochondrial calcium and Bcl-2 expression. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2003; 100:2842-2847.

-Nilsen J & Brinton RD. Divergent impact of progesterone and medroxyprogesterone acetate (Provera) on nuclear mitogen-activated protein kinase signaling. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2003; 100:10506-10511.

-Nishida A, Takahashi M, Tanihara H, Nakano I, Takahashi JB, Mizoguchi A, Ide C, Honda Y. Incorporation and differentiation of hippocampus-derived neural stem cells transplanted in injured adult rat retina. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2000; 41:4268-4274.

-Nkabyo Y, Go YM, Ziegler TR, Jones DP. Extracellular Cysteine/Cystine redox regulates the p44/p42 MAPK pathway by metalloproteinase-dependent epidermal growth factor receptor signaling. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol. 2005; 289:G70-G78.

-Nonaka A, Kiryu J, Tsujikawa A, Yamashiro K, Miyamoto K, Nishiwaki H, Mandai M, Honda Y, Ogura Y. Administration of 17beta-estradiol attenuates retinal ischemiareperfusion injury in rats. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2000; 41:2689-2696.

-Noro Y, Ishiguro S, Tamai M. Accumulation of glutamate-like immunoreactivity in the rds/rds mouse retinas. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 1994; 35:1362.

-Obrosova IG & Julius UA. Role for poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase activation in diabetic nephropathy, neuropathy and retinopathy. Curr Vasc Pharmacol. 2005; 3:267-283.

-Ofri R. Clinical electrophysiology in veterinary ophthalmology-the past, present and future. Doc Ophthalmol. 2002; 104:5-16.

-Ogata T, Nakamura Y, Tsuji K, Shibata T, Kataoka K. Steroid hormones protect spinal cord neurons from glutamate toxicity. Neurosci. 1993; 55:445-449.

-Ogden TE. Nerve fiber layer astrocytes of the primate retina: morphology, distribution, and density. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 1978; 17:499-510.

-Ogilvie JM, Tenkova T, Lett JM, Speck J, Landgraf M, Silverman MS. Age-related distribution of cones and ON-bipolar cells in the rd mouse retina. Curr Eye Res. 1997; 16:244-251.

-Ogueta SB, Schwartz SD, Yamashita CK, Farber DB. Estrogen receptor in the human eye: influence of gender and age on gene expression. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 1999; 40:1906-1911.

-Ohia SE, Opere CA, Leday AM. Pharmacological consequences of oxidative stress in ocular tissues. Mutat Res. 2005; 28:28.

-Okada M, Okuma Y, Osumi Y, Nishihara M, Yokotani K, Ueno H. Neurotransmitter contents in the retina of RCS rat. Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol. 2000; 238:998-1001.

-Okoye G, Zimmer J, Sung J, Gehlbach P, Deering T, Nambu H, Hackett S, Melia M, Esumi N, Zack DJ, Campochiaro PA. Increased expression of brain-derived neurotrophic factor preserves retinal function and slows cell death from rhodopsin mutation or oxidative damage. J Neurosci. 2003; 23:4164-4172.

-Olive DL & Pritts EA. Treatment of endometriosis. N Engl J Med. 2001; 345:266-275.

-Olney JW. Glutamate-induced retinal degeneration in neonatal mice. Electron microscopy of the acutely evolving lesion. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol. 1969; 28:455-474.

-Olney JW. The toxic effects of glutamate and related compounds in the retina and the brain. Retina. 1982; 2:341-359.

-Olney JW, Sharpe LG, Feigin RD. Glutamate-induced brain damage in infant primates. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol. 1972; 31:464-488.

-Ong JM, Zorapapel NC, Rich KA, Wagstaff RE, Lambert RW, Rosenberg SE, Moghaddas F, Pirouzmanesh A, Aoki AM, Kenney MC. Effects of cholesterol and apolipoprotein E on retinal abnormalities in ApoE-deficient mice. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2001; 42:1891-1900.

-Organisciak DT, Wang HM, Kou AL. Ascorbate and glutathione levels in the developing normal and dystrophic rat retina: effect of intense light exposure. Curr Eye Res. 1984; 3:257-267.

-Orr HT, Cohen AI, Carter JA. The levels of free taurine, glutamate, glycine and gammaamino butyric acid during the postnatal development of the normal and dystrophic retina of the mouse. Exp Eye Res. 1976; 23:377-384.

-Osakada F, Ikeda H, Mandai M, Wataya T, Watanabe K, Yoshimura N, Akaike A, Sasai Y, Takahashi M. Toward the generation of rod and cone photoreceptors from mouse, monkey and human embryonic stem cells. Nat Biotechnol. 2008; 26:215-224.

-Osakada F, Ikeda H, Sasai Y, Takahashi M. Stepwise differentiation of pluripotent stem cells into retinal cells. Nat Protoc. 2009; 4:811-824.

-Osborne NN, Patel S, Beaton DW, Neuhoff V. GABA neurones in retinas of different species and their postnatal development in situ and in culture in the rabbit retina.Cell Tissue Res. 1986; 243:117-1237.

-O'Steen WK. Ovarian steroid effects on light-induced retinal photoreceptor damage. Exp Eye Res. 1977; 25:361-369.

-Otani A, Dorrell MI, Kinder K, Moreno SK, Nusinowitz S, Banin E, Heckenlively J, Friedlander M. Rescue of retinal degeneration by intravitreally injected adult bone marrow-derived lineage-negative hematopoietic stem cells. J Clin Invest. 2004; 114:765-774.

-Otani A, Kojima H, Guo C, Oishi A, Yoshimura N. Low-dose-rate, low-dose irradiation delays neurodegeneration in a model of retinitis pigmentosa. Am J Pathol. 2012; 180:328-336.

-Otori Y, Shimada S, Tanaka K, Ishimoto I, Tano Y, Tohyama M. Marked increase in glutamate-aspartate transporter (GLAST/GluT-1) mRNA following transient retinal ischemia. Brain Res Mol Brain Res. 1994; 27:310-314.

-Owen RW, Giacosa A, Hull WE, Haubner R, Spiegelhalder B, Bartsch H. The antioxidant/anticancer potential of phenolic compounds isolated from olive oil. Eur J Cancer. 2000; 36:1235-1247.

-Ozacmak VH & Sayan H. The effects of 17beta estradiol, 17alpha estradiol and progesterone on oxidative stress biomarkers in ovariectomized female rat brain subjected to global cerebral ischemia. Physiol Res. 2009; 58:909-912.

-Pajovic SB, Saicic ZS, Spasic MB, Petrović VM, Martinović JV. Effects of progesterone and estradiol benzoate on glutathione dependent antioxidant enzyme activities in the brain of female rats. Gen Physiol Biophys. 1999; 18:35-44.

-Pajovic SB, Saicic ZS, Spasic MB, Petrovic VM. The effect of ovarian hormones on antioxidant enzyme activities in the brain of male rats. Physiol Res. 2003; 52:189-194.

-Pannicke T, Uckermann O, Iandiev I, Biedermann B, Wiedemann P, Perlman I, Reichenbach A, Bringmann A. Altered membrane physiology in Müller glial cells after transient ischemia of the rat retina. Glia. 2005; 50:1-11.

-Pannicke T, Iandiev I, Wurm A, Uckermann O, vom Hagen F, Reichenbach A, Wiedemann P, Hammes HP, Bringmann A. Diabetes alters osmotic swelling characteristics and membrane conductance of glial cells in rat retina. Diabetes. 2006; 55:633-639.

-Park HA, Khanna S, Rink C, Gnyawali S, Roy S, Sen CK. Glutathione disulfide induces neural cell death via a 12-lipoxygenase pathway. Cell Death Differ. 2009; 16:1167-1179.

-Parker L, Rosen P, Tristschler HJ, King G, Azzi A. Antioxidants in diabetes management. Marcel Dekker: New York. 2000.

-Paquet-Durand F, Azadi S, Hauck SM, ueffing M, van Veen T, Ekstrom P. Calpain is activated in degenerating photoreceptors in the rd1 mouse. J Neurochem. 2006; 96:802-814.

-Paquet-Durand F, Silva J, Talukdar T, Johnson LE, Azadi S, van Veen T, Ueffing M, Hauck SM, Ekstrom P. Excessive activation of poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase contributes to inherited photoreceptor degeneration in the retinal degeneration rd1 mouse. J Neurosci. 2007; 27:10311-10319. -Paquet-Durand F, Hauck SM, van Veen T, Ueffing M, Ekström P. PKG activity causes photoreceptor cell death in two retinitis pigmentosa models. J Neurochem. 2009; 108:796-810.

-Pascual O, Morin-Surun MP, Barna B, Denavit-Saubié M, Pequignot JM, Champagnat J. Progesterone reverses the neuronal responses to hypoxia in rat nucleus tractus solitarius in vitro. J Physiol. 2002; 544:511-520.

-Paul SM & Purdy RH. Neuroactive steroids. FASEB J. 1992; 6:2311-2322.

-Pekny M & Nilsson M. Astrocyte activation and reactive gliosis. Glia. 2005; 50:427-434.

-Peluso JJ, Liu X, Gawkowska A, Johnston-MacAnanny E. Progesterone activates a progesterone receptor membrane component 1-dependent mechanism that promotes human granulosa/ luteal cell survival but not progesterone secretion. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2009; 94:2644–2649.

-Penfold PL, Killingsworth MC, Sarks SH. Senile macular degeneration. The involvement of giant cells in atrophy of the retinal pigment epithelium. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 1986; 27:364-271.

-Penn RD & Hagins WA. Signal transmission along retinal rods and the origin of the electroretinographic a-wave. Nature. 1969; 223:201-204.

-Perry TL, Godin DV, Hansen S. Parkinson's disease: a disorder due to nigral glutathione deficiency? Neurosci Len. 1982; 33:305-310.

-Peterson G. A simplification of the protein assay method of Lowry et al. which is more generally applicable. Anal Biochem. 1977; 83:346-356.

-Peterson WM, Wang Q, Tzekova R, Wiegand SJ. Ciliary neurotrophic factor and stress stimuli activate the Jak-STAT pathway in retinal neurons and glia. J Neurosci. 2000; 20:4081-4090.

-Pettus EH, Wright DW, Stein DG, Hoffman SW. Progesterone treatment inhibits the inflammatory agents that accompany traumatic brain injury. Brain Res. 2005; 1049:112-119.

-Phelan JK & Bok D. Analysis and quantitation of mRNAs encoding the alpha- and betasubunits of rod photoreceptor cGMP phosphodiesterase in neonatal retinal degeneration (rd) mouse retinas. Exp Eye Res. 2000; 71:119-128.

-Picaud S, Larsson HP, Wellis DP, Lecar H, Werblin F. Cone photoreceptors respond to their own glutamate release in the tiger salamander. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1995; 92:9417-9421.

-Picaud SA, Larsson HP, Grant GB, Lecar H, Werblin FS. Glutamate-gated chloride channel with glutamate-transporter-like properties in cone photoreceptors of the tiger salamander. J Neurophysiol. 1995; 74:1760-1771.

-Pierce EA. Pathways to photoreceptor cell death in inherited retinal degenerations. Bioessays. 2001; 23:605-618.

-Pierson RC, Lyons AM, Greenfield LJ Jr. Gonadal steroids regulate GABAA receptor subunit mRNA expression in NT2-N neurons. Brain Res Mol Brain Res. 2005; 138:105-115.

-Pittler SJ & Baehr W. Identification of a nonsense mutation in the rod photoreceptor cGMP phosphodiesterase β -subunit gene of the rd mouse. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1991; 88:8322–8326.

-Poitry-Yamate CL, Poitry S, Tsacopoulos M. Lactate released by Muller glial cells is metabolized by photoreceptors from mammalian retina. J of Neuros. 1995; 15:5179-5191.

-Poitry S, Poitry-Yamate C, Ueberfeld J, MacLeish PR, Tsacopoulos M. Mechanisms of glutamate metabolic signaling in retinal glial (Muller) cells. J of Neuros. 2000; 20:1809-1821.

-Politi LE, Rotstein NP, Carri NG. Effect of GDNF on neuroblast proliferation and photoreceptor survival: additive protection with docosahexaenoic acid. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2001; 42:3008-3015.

-Portera-Cailliau C, Sung CH, Nathans J, Adler R. Apoptotic photoreceptor cell death to lifespan extension. Trends Pharmacol Sci. 1994; 26:94-103.

-Poulson HE, Prieme H, Loft S. Role of oxidative DNA damage in cancer initiation and promotion. Eur J Cancer Prev. 1998; 7:9-16.

-Pourcho RG & Owczarzak MT. Distribution of GABA immunoreactivity in the cat retina: a light- and electron-microscopic study. Vis Neurosci. 1989; 2:425-435.

-Pow DV & Crook DK. Immunocytochemical evidence for the presence of high levels of reduced glutathione in radial glial cells and horizontal cells in the rabbit retina. Neurosci Lett. 1995; 193:25-28.

-Puertas FJ, Diaz-Llopis M, Chipont E, Romá J, raya A, Romero FJ. Glutathione system of human retina: enzymatic conjugation of lipid peroxidation products. Free Radic Biol Med. 1993;14(5):549-551.

-Pulido JE, Pulido JS, Erie JC, Arroyo J, Bertram K, Lu MJ, Shippy SA. A role for excitatory amino acids in diabetic eye disease. Exp Diabetes Res. 2007; 2007:36150.

-Punzo C & Cepko C. Cellular responses to photoreceptors death in the rd1 mouse model of retina degeneration. Invest Ophtalmol Vis Sci. 2007; 48:849-857.

-Radonjic NV, Knezevic ID, Vilimanovich U, Kravic-Stevovic T, Marina LV, Nikolic T. Decreased glutathione levels and antioxidant enzyme activities in untreated and treated schizophrenic patients. Neuropharmacology. 2010; 58:739–745.

-Raffa M, Mechri A, Othman LB, Fendri C, Gaha L, Kerkeni A. Decreased glutathione levels and antioxidant enzyme activities in untreated and treated schizophrenic patients. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry. 2009; 33:1178-1183.

-Raghavendra V, Tanga FY, DeLeo JA. Complete Freunds adjuvant-induced peripheral inflammation evokes glial activation and proinflammatory cytokine expression in the CNS. Eur J Neurosci. 2004; 20:467-473.

-Rajesh M, Sulochana KN, Coral K, Punitham R, Biswas J, Babu K, Ramakrishnan S. Determination of carbonyl group content in plasma proteins as a useful marker to assess impairment in antioxidant defense in patients with Eales' disease. Indian J Ophthalmol. 2004; 52:139-144.

-Rakic P. Neuronal-glial interaction during brain development. Trends in Neurosciences. 1981; 4:184-187.

-Ramachandran S, Croft P, Neary RH. A prospective study in primary care in patients without vascular disease comparing levels of coronary risk factors in those recommended for lipid-lowering drugs based on either absolute risk or absolute risk reduction. Prev Cardiol. 2003; 6:17-21.

-Ramirez A, Ramadan B, Ritzenthaler JD, Rivera HN, Jones DP, Roman J. Extracellular Cysteine/Cystine redox potential controls lung fibroblast proliferation and matrix expression through upregulation of transforming growth factor-beta. Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol. 2007; 293:972-981.

-Ramón y Cajal S. The structure of the retina. Thomas Illinois. 1982.

-Rauen T, Taylor WR, Kuhlbrodt K, Wiessner M. High-affinity glutamate transporters in the rat retina: a major role of the glial glutamate transporter GLAST-1 in transmitter clearance. Cell Tissue Res. 1998; 291:19-31.

-Raymond SM & Jackson IJ. The retinal pigmented epithelium is required for development and maintenance of the mouse neural retina. Current Biology. 1995; 5:1286-1295.

-Reed DJ, Babson JR, Beatty PW, Brodie AE, Ellis WW, Potter DW. High-performance liquid chromatography analysis of nanomole levels of glutathione, glutathione disulfide, and related thiols and disulfides. Anal Biochem. 1980; 106:55-62.

-Reichelt W, Pannicke T, Biedermann B, Francke M, Faude F. Comparison between functional characteristics of healthy and pathological human retinal Müller glial cells. Surv Ophthalmol. 1997; 42:S105-S117.

-Reichelt W, Stabel-Burow J, Pannicke T, Weichelt H, Heinemann. The glutathione level of retinal Muller glial cells is dependent on the high-affinity sodium-dependent uptake of glutamate. Neuroscience. 1997; 77:1213-1224.

-Reichenbach A & Robinson SR. The involvement of Müller cells in the outer retina. In: Neurobiology and clinical aspects of the outer retina. Chapman & Hall, London.1995; 395-416.

-Reim M, Heuvel B and Cattepoel H. Glutathione peroxidase in some ocular tissues. Ophthal Res. 1974; 6:228-234.

-Reis HJ, Guatimosim C, Paquet M. Neuro-transmitters in the central nervous system & their implication in learning and memory processes. Curr Med Chem. 2009; 16:796–840.

-Reme CE, Grimm C, Hafezi F, Marti A, Wenzel A. Apoptotic cell death in retinal degenerations. Prog Retin Eye Res. 1998; 17:443-464.

-Rex TS, Allocca M, Domenici L, Surace EM, Maguire AM, Lyubarsky A, Cellerino A, Bennett J, Auricchio A. Systemic but not intraocular Epo gene transfer protects the retina from light-and genetic-induced degeneration. Mol Ther. 2004; 10:855-861.

-Rhee KD & Yang XJ. Expression of cytokine signal transduction components in the postnatal mouse retina. Mol Vision. 2003; 9:715-722.

-Ricci LA, Grimes JM, Knyshevski I, Melloni RH. Repeated cocaine exposure during adolescence alters glutamic acid decarboxylase-65 (GAD65) immunoreactivity in hamster brain: correlation with offensive aggression. Brain Res. 2005; 1035:131-138.

-Rider V & Psychoyos A. Inhibition of progesterone receptor function results in loss of basic fibroblast growth factor expression and stromal cell proliferation during uterine remodelling in the pregnant rat. J Endocrinol. 1994; 140:239-249.

-Rider V, Carlone DL, Foster RT. Oestrogen and progesterone control basic fibroblast growth factor mRNA in the rat uterus. J Endocrinol. 1997; 154:75-84.

-Ripps H. Cell death in retinitis pigmentosa: gap junctions and "bystander" effect. Exp Eye Res. 2002; 74:327-336.

-Ristoff E, Burstedt M, Larsson A, Wachtmeister L. Progressive retinal dystrophy in two sisters with glutathione synthetase (GS) deficiency. J Inherit Metab Dis. 2007; 30:102.

-Robson JG & Frishman LJ. Response linearity and kinetics of the cat retina: the bipolar cell component of the dark-adapted electroretinogram. Vis Neurosci. 1995; 12:837-850.

-Roesch K, Stadler MB, Cepko CL. Gene expression changes within Müller glial cells in retinitis pigmentosa. Mol Vis. 2012; 18:1197-1214.

-Roh YJ, Moon C, Kim SY, Park MH, Bae YC, Chun MH, Moon JI. Glutathione depletion induces differential apoptosis in cells of mouse retina, in vivo. Neurosci Lett. 2007; 417:266-270.

-Romano C, Price MT, Olney JW. Delayed excitotoxic neurodegeneration induced by excitatory amino acid agonists in isolated retina. J Neurochem. 1995; 65:59-67.

-Roof RL, Duvdevani R, Braswell L, Stein DG. Progesterone facilitates cognitive recovery and reduces secondary neuronal loss caused by cortical contusion injury in male rats. Exp Neurol. 1994; 129:64-69.

-Roof RL, Duvdevani R, Heyburn JW, Stein DG. Progesterone rapidly decreases brain edema: treatment delayed up to 24 hours is still effective. Exp Neurol. 1996; 138:246-251.

-Roof RL, Hoffman SW, Stein DG. Progesterone protects against lipid peroxidation following traumatic brain injury in rats. Mol Chem Neuropathol. 1997; 31:1-11.

-Roof RL & Hall ED. Gender differences in acute CNS trauma and stroke: neuroprotective effects of estrogen and progesterone. J Neurotrauma. 2000; 17:367-388.

-Ropstad EO, Bjerkas E and Narfström K. Electroretinographic findings in the Standard Wire Haired Dachshund with inherited early onset cone-rod dystrophy. Doc Ophthalmol. 2007; 114:27-36.

-Roth S. Endogenous neuroprotection in the retina. Brain Res Bull. 2004; 62:461-466.

-Roum JH, Buhl R, McElvaney NG, Borok Z, Crystal RG. Systemic deficiency of glutathione in Cystic fibrosis. J Appl Physiol. 1993; 75:2419-2424.

-Rupprecht R, Berning B, Hauser CA, Holsboer F, Reul JM. Steroid receptor-mediated effects of neuroactive steroids: characterization of structure-activity relationship. Eur J Pharmacol. 1996; 303:227-234.

-Rutar M, Natoli R, Provis JM. Small interfering RNA-mediated suppression of Ccl2 in Müller cells attenuates microglial recruitment andphotoreceptor death following retinal degeneration. J Neuroinflammation. 2012; 19:9:221.

-Sadler TW. Langman's Medical Embryology. 1990.

-Sahel JA, Albert DM, Lessell S, Adler H, McGee TL, Konrad-Rastegar J. Mitogenic effects of excitatory amino acids in the adult rat retina. Exp Eye Res. 1991; 53:657-664.

-Sahel JA, Mohand-Said S, Leveillard T, Hicks D, Picaud S, Dreyfus H. Rod-cone interdependence: implications for therapy of phothoreceptor cell diseases. Prog Brain Res. 2001; 131:649-661.

-Saidha S, Syc SB, Ibrahim MA, Eckstein C, Warner CV, Farrell SK, Oakley JD, Durbin MK, Meyer SA, Balcer LJ, Frohman EM, Rosenzweig JM, Newsome SD, Ratchford JN, Nguyen QD, Calabresi PA. Primary retinal pathology in multiple sclerosis as detected by optical coherence tomography. Brain. 2011; 134:518-533.

-Saidha S, Sotirchos ES, Ibrahim MA, Crainiceanu CM, Gelfand JM, Sepah YJ, Ratchford JN, Oh J, Seigo MA, Newsome SD, Balcer LJ, Frohman EM, Green AJ, Nguyen QD, Calabresi PA. MicroCystic macular oedema, thickness of the inner nuclear layer of the retina, and disease characteristics in multiple sclerosis: a retrospective study. Lancet Neurol. 2012; 11:963-972.

-Sakamoto H, Ukena K, Tsutsui K. Activity and localization of 3betahydroxysteroid dehydrogenase/Delta5-Delta-4-isomerase in the zebrafish central nervous system. J Comp Neurol. 2001; 439:291-305.

-Sala A, Recio MD, Giner RM, Manez S, Tournier H, Schinella G, Rios JL. Antiinflammatory and antioxidant properties of Helichrysum italicum. J Pharm Pharmacol. 2002; 54:365-371.

-Sakai T, Lewis GP, Linberg KA, Fisher SK. The ability of hyperoxia to limit the effects of experimental detachment in cone-dominated retina. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2001; 42:3264-3273.

-Salinas AE & Wong MG. Glutathione S-transferases. Curr Med Chem. 1999; 6:279-309.

-Salyer DL, Lund TD, Fleming DE, Lephart ED, Horvath TL. Sexual dimorphism and aromatase in the rat retina. Dev Brain Res. 2001; 126:131-136.

-Samiec PS, Drews-Botsch C, Flagg EW, Kurtz JC, Sternberg P Jr, Reed RL, Jones DP. Glutathione in human plasma: decline in association with aging, age-related macular degeneration, and diabetes. Free Radic Biol Med. 1998; 24:699-704.

-Sancho-Pelluz J, Wunderlich KA, Rauch U, Romero FJ, van Veen T, Limb GA, Crocker PR, Perez MT. Sialoadhesin Expression in Intact Degenerating Retinas and Following Transplantation. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2008; 49:5602-5610.

-Sanvicens N, Gómez-Vicente V, Masip I, Messeger A, Cotter TG. Oxidative stressinduced apoptosis in retinal photoreceptor cells is mediated by calpains and caspases and blocked by the oxygen radical scavenger CR-6. JBC. 2004; 17:39268-39278.

-Sanvicens N & Cotter TG. Ceramide is the key mediator of oxidative stress-induced apoptosis in retinal photoreceptor cells. J Neurochem. 2006; 98(5):1432-1444.

-Sanz MM, Johnson LE, Ahuja S, Ekstrom PA, Romero J, van Veen T. Significant photoreceptor rescue by treatment with a combination of antioxidants in an animal model for retinal degeneration. Neuroscience. 2007; 145:1120-1129.

-Sasaki M, Ozawa Y, Kurihara T, Noda K, Imamura Y, Kobayashi S, Ishida S, Tsubota K. Neuroprotective Effect of an Antioxidant, Lutein, during Retinal Inflammation. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2009; 50:1433-1439.

-Sator MO, Joura EA, Frigo P, Kurz C, Metka M, Hommer A, Huber JC. Hormone replacement therapy and intraocular pressure. Maturitas. 1997; 28:55-58.

-Satriano JA, Shuldiner M, Hora K, Xing Y, Shan Z, Schlondorff D. Oxygen radicals as second messengers for expression of the monocyte chemoattractant protein, JE/MCP-1, and the monocyte colony-stimulating factor, CSF-1, in response to tumor necrosis factor-alpha and immunoglobulin G. Evidence for involvement of reduced nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NADPH)-dependent oxidase. J Clin Invest. 1993; 92:1564-1571.

-Sattayasai J & Ehrlich D. Morphology of quisqualate-induced neurotoxicity in the chicken retina. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 1987; 28:106-117.

-Sattayasai J, Zappia J, Ehrlich D. Differential effects of excitatory amino acids on photoreceptors of the chick retina: an electron-microscopical study using the zinciodide-osmium technique. Vis Neurosci. 1989; 2:237-245.

-Scheetz AJ & Constantine-Paton M. Modulations of NMDA receptor function: implications for vertebrate neural development. FASEB J. 1994; 10:745-752.

-Schimel AM, Abraham L, Cox D, Sene A, Kraus C, Dace DS, Ercal N, Apte RS. NacetylCysteine amide (NACA) prevents retinal degeneration by up-regulating reduced glutathione production and reversing lipid peroxidation. Am J Pathol. 2011; 178:2032-2043.

-Schmidt SY & Berson EL. Taurine uptake in isolated retinas of normal rats and rats with hereditary retinal degeneration. Exp Eye Res. 1978; 27:191-198.

-Schnitzer J & Rusoff AC. Horizontal cells of the mouse retina contain glutamic acid decarboxylase-like immunoreactivity during early developmental stages. J Neurosci. 1984; 4:2948-2955.

-Schoepp DD, Jane DE, Monn JA. Pharmacological agents acting at subtypes of metabotropic glutamate receptors. Neuropharm. 1999; 38:1431-1476.

-Schroepfer G. Sterol biosynthesis. Annu Rev Biochem. 1981; 50:585-621.

-Schuette M & Werner P. Redistribution of glutathione in the ischemic rat retina. Neurosci Lett. 1998; 246:53-56.

-Schumacher M, Akwa Y, Guennoun R, Robert F, Labombarda F, Desarnaud F, Robel P, De Nicola AF, Baulieu EE. Steroid synthesis and metabolism in the nervous system: trophic and protective effects. J Neurocytol. 2000; 29:307-326.

-Schumacher M, Guennoun R, Robert F, Carelli C, Gago N, Ghoumari A, Gonzalez Deniselle MC, Gonzalez SL, Ibanez C, Labombarda F, Coirini H, Baulieu EE, De Nicola AF. Local synthesis and dual actions of progesterone in the nervous system: neuroprotection and myelination. Growth Horm IGF Res. 2004; 14:S18-33.

-Schumer R & Podos S. The nerve of glaucoma. Arch Ophthalmol. 1994; 112:37-44.

-Sen CK. Cellular thiols and redox-regulated signal transduction. Curr Top Cell Regul. 2000; 36:1-30.

-Sen K, Misra A, Kumar A, Pandey RM. Simvastatin retards progression of retinopathy in diabetic patients with hypercholesterolemia. Diabetes Res Clin Pract. 2002; 56:1-11.

-Shahrokhi N, Khaksari M, Soltani Z, Mahmoodi M, Nakhaee N. Effect of sex steroid hormones on brain edema, intracranial pressure, and neurologic outcomes after traumatic brain injury.Can J Physiol Pharmacol. 2010; 88:414-421.

-Sheedlo HJ, Li L, Turner JE. Functional and structural characteristics of photoreceptor cells rescued in RPE-cell grafted retinas of RCS dystrophic rats. Exp Eye Res. 1989; 48:841-854.

-Sheikh SN, Martin SB, Martin DL. Regional distribution and relative amounts of glutamate decarboxylase isoforms in rat and mouse brain. Neurochem Int. 1999; 35:73-80.

-Shen J, Yang X, Dong A, Petters RM, Peng YW, Wong F, Campochiaro PA. Oxidative damage is a potential cause of cone cell death retinitis pigmentosa. J Cell Physiol. 2005; 203:457-464.

-Sheng M, Cummings J, Roldan LA, Jan YN, Jan LY. Changing subunit composition of heteromeric NMDA receptors during development of rat cortex. Nature. 1994; 368:144-147.

-Shiells RA & Falk G. Contribution of rod, on-bipolar, and horizontal cell light responses to the ERG of dogfish retina. Vis Neurosci. 1999; 16:503-511.

-Shimamura K, Sugino N, Yoshida Y, Nakamura Y, Ogino K, Kato H. Changes in lipid peroxide and antioxidant enzyme activities in corpora lutea during pseudopregnancy in rats. J Reprod Fertil. 1995; 105:253-257.

-Sian J, Dexter DT, Lees AJ, Daniel S, Agid Y, Javoy-Agid F, Jenner P, Marsden CD. Alterations in glutathione levels in Parkinson's disease and other neurodegenerative disorders affecting basal ganglia. Ann Neurol. 1994; 36:348-355.

-Sies H. Oxidative Stress: Oxidants and Antioxidants. Academic Press, London. 1991.

-Silva L & Carvalho H. Possible role of glutamine synthetase in the NO signaling response in root nodules by contributing to the antioxidant defenses. Front Plant Sci. 2013; 4:372.

-Sisk DR & Kuwabara T. Histologic changes in the inner retina of albino rats following intravitreal injection of monosodium L-glutamate. Graefe's Archives of Clinical Experimental Ophthalmology. 1985; 223:250-258.

-Siu AW, Ortiz GG, Benitez-King G, To CH, Reiter RJ. Effects of melatonin on the nitric oxide treated retina. Br J Ophthalmol. 2004; 88:1078-1081.

-Szydlowska K & Tymianski M. Calcium, ischemia and excitotoxicity. Cell Calcium. 2010; 47:122-129.

-Slooter AJ, Bronzova J, Witteman JC, Van Broeckhoven C, Hofman A, van Duijn CM. Estrogen use and early onset Alzheimer's disease: a population-based study. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 1999; 67:779-781.

-Smith BT, Belani S, Ho AC. Light energy, cataract surgery, and progression of agerelated macular degeneration. Curr Opin Ophthalmol. 2005; 16:166-169.

-Smith CD, Camey JM, Starke-Reed PE, Oliver CN, Stadtrnan ER, Floyd RA, Markesberry WR. Excess brain protein oxidation and enzyme dysfunction in normal ageing and in Alzheimer's disease. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 1991; 88:10540-10543.

-Smith W, Mitchell P, Wang JJ. Gender, oestrogen, hormone replacement and agerelated macular degeneration: results from Blue Mountains Eye Study. Aust NZJ Ophthalmol. 1997; 25:S13-S15.

-Snow KK & Seddon JM. Age-related eye diseases: impact of hormone replacement therapy, and reproductive and other risk factors. Int J Fertil Women Med.2000; 45: 301-313.-Slaughter MM & Miller RF. 2-amino-4-phosphonobutyric acid: a new pharmacological tool for retina research. Science. 1981; 211:182-185.

-Soghomonian JJ & Martin DL. Two isoforms of glutamate decarboxylase: why? Trends Pharmacol Sci. 1998; 19:500-505.

-Sone H, Okuda Y, Kawakami Y, Kondo S, Hanatani M, Matsuo K, Suzuki H, Yamashita K. Progesterone induces vascular endothelial growth factor on retinal pigment epithelial cells in culture. Life Sci. 1996; 59:21-25.

-Sotic E, Lange KW, Jellinger K, Riederer P. Reduced and oxidized glutathione in the substantia nigra of patients with Parkinson's disease. Neurosci Len. 1992; 142:128-130. -Souza MZ, Toniazo VM, Frazzon APG, Barros HMT. Influence of progesterone on GAD65 and GAD67 mRNA expression in the dorsolateral striatum and prefrontal cortex of female rats repeatedly treated with cocaine. Braz J Med Biol Res. 2009; 42(11):1068-1075.

-Sribnick EA, Ray SK, Nowak MW, Li L, Banik NL. 17beta-Estradiol Attenuates Glutamate-Induced Apoptosis and Preserves Electrophysiologic Function in Primary Cortical Neurons. J Neurosci Res. 2004; 76:688-696.

-Stein DG. Brain damage, sex hormones and recovery: a new role for progesterone and estrogen? Trends Neurosci. 2001; 24:386-391.

-Stein DG, Wright DW, Kellermann AL. Does progesterone have neuroprotective properties? Ann Emerg Med. 2008; 51:164-172.

-Steinberg RH, Wood I, Hogan MJ. Pigment epithelial ensheathment and phagocytosis of extrafoveal cones in human retina. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci. 1977; 277:459-474.

-Steinsapir K & Goldberg R. Traumatic optic neuropathy. Surv Ophthalmol. 1994; 38:487-518.

-Steullet P, Neijt HC, Cuenod M, Do KQ. Synaptic plasticity impairment and hypofunction of NMDA receptors induced by glutathione deficit: relevance to schizophrenia. Neuroscience. 2006; 137:807-819.

-Stockton RA & Slaughter MM. B-wave of the electroretinogram. A reflection of ON bipolar cell activity. J Gen Physiol. 1989; 93:101-122.

-Stoffel-Wagner B. Neurosteroid metabolism in the human brain. Eur J Endocrinol. 2001; 145:669-679.

-Sucher NJ, Lipton SA, Dreyer EB. Molecular basis of glutamate toxicity in retinal ganglion cells. Vision Res. 1997; 37:3483-3493.

-Sugino N, Shimamura K, Tamura H, Ono M, Nakamura Y, Ogino K, Kato H. Progesterone inhibits superoxide radical production by mononuclear phagocytes in pseudopregnant rats. Endocrinology. 1996; 137:749-754.

-Sung CH, Davenport CM, Hennessey JC, Maumenee IH, Jacobson SG, Heckenlively JR, Nowakowski R, Fishman G, Gouras P, Nathans J. Rhodopsin mutations in autosomal dominant retinitis pigmentosa. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1991; 88:6481-6485.

-Svensk AM, Soini Y, Paakko P, Hiravikoski P, Kinnula VL. Differential expression of superoxide dismutases in lung cancer. Am J Clin Pathol. 2004; 122:395-404.

-Svensson EC, Markstrom E, Andersson M, Billig H. Progesterone receptor-mediated inhibition of apoptosis in granulosa cells isolated from rats treated with human chorionic gonadotropin. Biol Reprod. 2000; 63:1457-1464.

-Swiatek-De Lange M, Stampfl A, Hauck SM, Zischka H, Gloeckner CJ, Deeg CA, Ueffing M. Membrane-initiated effects of progesterone on calcium dependent signaling and activation of VEGF gene expression in retinal glial cells. Glia. 2007; 55:1061-1073.

-Szydlowska K & Tymianski M. Calcium, ischemia and excitotoxicity. Cell Calcium. 2010; 47:122-129.

-Takahashi M, Miyoshi H, Verma IM, Gage FH. Rescue from photoreceptor degeneration in the rd mouse by human immunodeficiency virus vector-mediated gene transfer. J of Virology. 1999; 73:7812-7816.

-Takahashi A, Masuda A, Sun M, Centonze VE, Herman B. Oxidative stress-induced apoptosis is associated with alterations in mitochondrial caspase activity and Bcl-2-dependent alterations in mitochondrial pH (pHm). Brain Res Bull. 2004; 62:497-504.

-Takao T, Flint N, Lee L, Ying X, Merrill J, Chandross KJ. 17beta-Estradiol Protects Oligodendrocytes from Cytotoxicity Induced Cell Death. J Neurochem. 2004; 89:660-673.
-Tam BM & Moritz OL. Dark rearing rescues P23H rhodopsin-induced retinal degeneration in a transgenic Xenopus laevis model of retinitis pigmentosa: a chromophore retinal-dependent mechanism caractherized by production of N-terminally truncated mutant rhodopsin. J Neurosci. 2007; 27:9043-9053.

-Tang MX, Jacobs D, Stern Y, Marder K, Schofield P, Gurland B, Andrews H, Mayeux R. Effect of oestrogen during menopause on risk and age at onset of Alzheimer's disease. Lancet. 1996; 348:429-432.

-Telfer JF & Brock JH. Proinflammatory cytokines increase iron uptake into human monocytes and synovial fibroblasts from patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Med Sci Monit. 2004; 10:91-95.

-Tezel G, Yang X, Luo C, Peng Y, Sun SL, Sun D. Mechanism of immune system activation in glaucoma: oxidative stress-stimulated antigen presentation by the retina and optic nerve head glia. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2007; 48:705-714.

-Thoreson WB & Witkovsky P. Glutamate receptors and circuits in the vertebrate retina. Ret Eye Res. 1999; 18:765-810.

-Toung T, Chen T, Littleton-Kearney M, Hurn P, Murphy S. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab. 2004; 24:1160-1166.

-Touyz RM. Reactive oxygen species, vascular oxidative stress, and redox signaling in hypertension: what is the clinical significance? Hypertension. 2004; 44:248-252.

-Townsend DM, Tew KD, Tapiero H. The importance of glutathione in human disease. Biomed Pharmacother. 2003; 57:145-155.

-Trumpler J, Dedek K, Schubert T, de Sevilla Muller LP, Seeliger M, Humphries P, Biel M, Weiler R. Rod and cone contribute to horizontal cells light responses in the mouse retina. J Neurosci. 2008; 28:6818-6825.

-Trush MA, Mimnaugh EG, Gram TE. Activation of pharmacologic agents to radical intermediates. Implications for the role of free radicals in drug action and toxicity. Biochem Pharmacol. 1982; 31:3335-3346.

-Tsai MJ & O'Malley BW. Molecular mechanisms of action of steroid/thyroid receptor superfamily members. Annu Rev Biochem. 1994; 63:451-486.

-Turner DL & Cepko CL. A common progenitor for neurons and glia persists in rat retina late in development. Nature. 1987; 328:131-136.

-Turkoz Y, Er H, Borazan M, Yilmaz H, Mizrak B, Parlakpinar H, Cigremis Y. Use of caffeic acid phenethyl ester and cortisone may prevent proliferative vitreoretinopathy. Mediators Inflamm. 2004; 13:127-130.

-Tymianski M & Tator CH. Normal and abnormal calcium homeostasis in neurons: a basis for the pathophysiology of traumatic and ischemic central nervous system injury. Neurosurgery. 1996; 38:1176-1195.

-Uday B, Dipak D, Ranajit B K. Reactive oxygen species: Oxidative damage and pathogenesis. Curr Sci. 1990; 77:658-666.

-Ulshafer RJ, Sherry DM, Dawson R Jr, Wallace DR. Excitatory amino acid involvement in retinal degeneration. Brain Res. 1990; 531:350-354.

-Usui S, Komeima K, Lee SY, Jo YJ, Ueno S, Rogers BS, Wu Z, Shen J, Lu L, Oveson BC, Rabinovitch PS, Campochiaro PA. Increased expression of catalase and superoxide dismutase 2 reduces cone cell death in retinitis pigmentosa. Mol Ther. 2009; 17:778-786.

-Uttara B, Singh AV, Zamboni P, Mahajan RT. Oxidative stress and neurodegenerative diseases: a review of upstream and downstream antioxidant therapeutic options. Curr Neuropharmacol. 2009; 7:65-74.

-Vaegan & Narfström K. Amax to scotopic Imax diagnoses feline hereditary rod cone degeneration more efficiently than any other combination of long protocol electroretinogram parameters. Doc Ophthalmol. 2008; 117:1-12.

-van den Dobbelsteen DJ, Nobel CSI, Schlegel J, Cotgreave IA, Orrenius S, Slater AF. Rapid and specific efflux of reduced glutathione during apoptosis induced by anti-Fas/APO-1 antibody. J Biol Chem. 1996; 271:15420-15427.

-van de Pavert SA, Meuleman J, Malysheva A, Aartsen WM, Versteeg I, Tonagel F, Kamphuis W, McCabe CJ, Seeliger MW, Wijnholds J. A single amino acid substitution (Cys249Trp) in Crb1 causes retinal degeneration and deregulates expression of pituitary tumor transforming gene Pttg1. J Neurosci. 2007; 27:564-573.

-van Dijk HW, Kok PHB, Garvin M, Sonka M, DeVries JH, Michels RPJ, van Velthoven MEJ, Schlingemann RO, Verbraak FD, Abràmoff MD. Selective loss of inner retinal layer thickness in type 1 diabetic patients with minimal diabetic retinopathy. Invest Ophthalmol Visual Sci. 2009; 50:3404-3409.

-Van Hoffelen SJ, Young MJ, Shatos MA, Sakaguchi DS. Incorporation of murine brain progenitor cells into the developing mammalian retina. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2003; 44:426-434.

-Vardi N, Kaufman DL, Sterling P. Horizontal cells in cat and monkey retina expresses different isoforms of glutamic acid decarboxylase. Vis Neurosci. 1994; 11:135-142.

-Vaughn JE, Famiglietti EV Jr, Barber RP, Saito K, Roberts E, Ribak CE. GABAergic amacrine cells in rat retina: immunocytochemical identification and synaptic connectivity. J Comp Neurol. 1981; 197:113-127.

-Vedder H, Anthes N, Stumm G, Würz C, Behl C, Krieg JC. Estrogen hormones reduce lipid peroxidation in cells and tissues of the central nervous system. J Neurochem. 1999; 72:2531-2538.

-Versaux-Botteri C, Pochet R, Nguyen-Legros J. Immunohistochemical localization of GABA-containing neurons during postnatal development of the rat retina. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 1989; 30:652-659.

-Vincent AM, Rusell JW, Low P, Feldam EL. Oxidative stress in the pathogenesis of diabethic neuropathy.Endocr Rev. 2004; 25:612-628.

-Vollrath D, Feng W, Duncan JL, Yasumura D, D'Cruz PM, Chappelow A, Matthes MT, Kay MA, LaVail MM. Correction of the retinal dystrophy phenotype of the RCSrat by viral gene transfer of Mertk. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2001; 98:12584-12589.

-Vorwerk CK, Lipton SA, Zurakowski D, Hyman BT, Sabel BA, Dreyer EB. Chronic low dose glutamate is toxic to retinal ganglion cells: toxicity blocked by memantine. Invest Ophthalm Vis Sci. 1996; 37:1618-1624.

-Vrabec F. Activated human retinal microglia under pathological conditions. Albrecht Von Graefes Arch Klin Exp Ophthalmol. 1975; 196:49-60.

-Wahlin KJ, Campochiaro PA, Zack DJ, Adler R. Neurotrophic factors cause activation of intracellular signaling pathways in Muller cells and other cells of the inner retina, but not photoreceptors. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2000; 41:927-936.

-Wahlin KJ, Adler R, Zack DJ, Campochiaro PA. Neurotrophic signaling in normal and degenerating rodent retinas. Exp Eye Res. 2001; 73:693-701.

-Wald G. The chemistry of rod vision. Science. 1951; 113:287-291.

-Wang M, Lam TT, Tso MOM, Naash MI. Expression of amutant opsin gene increases the susceptibility of the retina to light damage. Visual Neuroscience.1997; 14(1):55-62.

-Ward MM, Jobling AI, Kalloniatis M, Fletcher EL. Glutamate uptake in retinal glial cells during diabetes. Diabetologia. 2005; 48:351-360.

-Waring SC, Rocca WA, Petersen RC, O'Brien PC, Tangalos EG, Kokmen E. Postmenopausal estrogen replacement therapy and risk of AD: a population-based study. Neurology. 1999; 52:965-970.

-Wasserman WJ, Pinto LH, O'Connor CM, Smith LD. Progesterone induces a rapid increase in $[Ca^{2+}]_{in}$ of Xenopus laevis oocytes. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1980; 77:1534-1536.

-Wässle H & Chun MH. GABA-like immunoreactivity in the cat retina: light microscopy. J Comp Neurol. 1989; 279:43-54.

-Watanabe T & Raff MC. Retinal astrocytes are immigrants from the optic nerve. Nature. 1988; 332:834-837.

-Wellard J, Lee D, Valter K, Stone J. Photoreceptors in the rat retina are specifically vulnerable to both hypoxia and hyperoxia. Vis Neurosci. 2005; 22:501-507.

-Wen R, Song Y, Cheng T, Matthes MT, Yasumura D, LaVail MM, Steinberg RH. Injuryinduced upregulation of bFGF and CNTF mRNAs in the rat retina. J. Neurosci. 1995; 15:7377-7385.

-Wen R, Cheng T, Song Y, Matthes MT, Yasumura D, LaVail MM, Steinberg RH. Continuous exposure to bright light upregulates bFGF and CNTF expression in the rat retina. Curr Eye Res. 1998; 17:494-500.

-Wensel TG. Signal transducing membrane complexes of photoreceptor outer segments. Vision Res. 2008; 48:2052-2061.

-Wenzel A, Grimm C, Samardzija M, Reme CE. Molecular mechanisms of light-induced photoreceptor apoptosis and neuroprotection for retinal degeneration. Prog Retin Eye Res. 2005; 24:275-306.

-West EL, Pearson RA, MacLaren RE, Sowden JC, Ali RR. Cell transplantation strategies for retinal repair. Prog Brain Res. 2009; 175:3-21.

-West EL, Pearson RA, Duran Y, Gonzalez-Cordero A, MacLaren RE, Smith AJ, Sowden JC, Ali RR. Manipulation of the Recipient Retinal Environment by Ectopic Expression of Neurotrophic Growth Factors Can Improve Transplanted Photoreceptor Integration and Survival. Cell Transplant. 2012; 21:871-887.

-Wetts R & Fraser SE. Multipotent precursors can give rise to all major cell types of the frog retina. Science. 1988; 239:1142-1145.

-Whiteley SJO, Litchfield TM, Coffey PJ, Lund RD. Improvement of the Pupillary Light Reflex of Royal College of Surgeons Rats Following RPE Cell Grafts. Exp Neurology. 1996; 140:100-104.

-Wickham LA, Gao J, Toda I, Rocha EM, Ono M, Sullivan DA. Identification of androgen, estrogen and progesterone receptor mRNAs in the eye. Acta Ophthalmol Scand. 2000; 78:146-153.

-Wilson M. Glutamate-gated channels in the outer retina. In: Handbook of Membrane Channels. Academic Press, New York. 1994; 287-302.

-Winkler BS. An hypothesis to account for the renewal of outer segments in rod and cone photoreceptor cells: renewal as a surrogate antioxidant. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2008; 49:3259-3261.

-Winterbourn CC & Metodiewa D. The reactions of superoxide with reduced glutathione. Arch Biochem Biophys. 1994; 314:284-290.

-Winyard PG MC, Winrow VR, Zaidi M, Blake D. Free radical pathways in the inflammatory response. New Comprehensive Biochemistry. 1994; 28:361-383.

-Wirenfeldt M, Babcock AA, Vinters HV. Microglia -insights into immune system structure, function, and reactivity in the central nervous system. Histol Histopathol. 2011; 26:519-530.

-Wise PM, Dubal DB, Wilson ME, Rau SW, Böttner M. Minireview: neuroprotective effects of estrogen-new insights into mechanisms of action. Endocrinology. 2001; 142:969-973.

-Wolf-Schnurrbusch UE, Enzmann V, Brinkmann CK, Wolf S. Morphological changes in patients with geographic atrophy assessed with a novel spectral OCT-SLO combination. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2008; 49:3095-3099.

-Wong J, Madigan M, Billson F, Penfold P. Quantification of leukocyte common antigen (CD45) expression in macular degeneration. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2001; 42:S227.

-Wu G, Fang YZ, Yang S, Lupton JR, Turner ND. Glutathione metabolism and its implications for health. J Nutr. 2004; 134:489-492.

-Wu WC, Lai CC, Chen SL, Xiao X, Chen TL, Tsai RJ, Kuo SW, Tsao YP. Gene therapy for detached retina by adeno-associated virus vector expressing glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2002; 43:3480-3488.

-Xu X & Karwoski CJ. Current source density analysis of retinal field potentials. II. Pharmacological analysis of the b-wave and M-wave. J Neurophysiol. 1994; 72:96-105.

-Xu J, Molday LL, Molday RS, Sarunic MV. In vivo imaging of the mouse model of Xlinked juvenile retinoschisis with fourier domain optical coherence tomography. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2009; 50:2989-2993. -Xu J, Kurup P, Zhang Y, Goebel-Goody SM, Wu PH, Hawasli AH, Baum ML, Bibb JA, Lombroso PJ. Extrasynaptic NMDA receptors couple preferentially to excitotoxicity via calpain-mediated cleavage of STEP, J. Neurosci. 2009; 29:9330-9343.

-Yamada H, Yamada E, Hackett SF, Ozaki H, Okamoto N, Campochiaro PA. Hyperoxia causes decreased expression of vascular endothelial growth factor and endothelial cell apoptosis in adult retina. J Cell Physiol. 1999; 179:149-156.

-Yamada H, Yamada E, Ando A, Esumi N, Bora N, Saikia J, Sung CH, Zack DJ, Campochiaro PA. Fibroblast growth factor-2 decreases hyperoxia-induced photoreceptor cell death in mice. J Am Pathol. 2001; 159:1113-1120.

-Yamamoto H, Simon A, Eriksson U, Harris E, Berson EL, Dryja TP. Mutations in the gene encoding 11-cis retinol dehydrogenase cause delayed dark adaptation and fundus albipunctatus. Nat Genet. 1999; 22:188-191.

-Yao M, Nguyen TV, Pike CJ. Estrogen regulates Bcl-w and Bim expression: role in protection against beta-amyloid peptide-induced neuronal death. J.Neurosci. 2007; 27:1422-1433.

-Yao J, Tucker BA, Zhang X, Checa-Casalengua P, Herrero-Vanrell R, Young MJ. Robust cell integration from co-transplantation of biodegradable MMP2-PLGA microspheres with retinal progenitor cells. Biomaterials. 2011; 32:1041-1050.

-Yazulla S & Kleinschmidt J. The effects of intraocular injection of kainic acid on the synaptic organization of the goldfish retina. Brain Res. 1980; 182:287-301.

-Yoon KD, Yamamoto K, Zhou J, Sparrow JR. Photo-products of retinal pigment epithelial bisretinoids react with cellular thiols. Mol Vis. 2011; 17:1839-1849.

-Young RW. The daily rhythm of shedding and degradation of rod and cone outer segment membranes in the chick retina. Investigative Ophthalmology and Visual Science. 1978; 17:105-116.

-Young RW. Cell differentiation in the retina of the mouse. Anat Rec. 1985; 212:199-205.

-Young MJ, Ray J, Whiteley SJ, Klassen H, Gage FH. Neuronal differentiation and morphological integration of hippocampal progenitor cells transplanted to the retina of immature and mature dystrophic rats. Mol Cell Neurosci. 2000; 16:197-205.

-Yu BP. Cellular defenses against damage from reactive oxygen species. Physiol Rev. 1994; 74:139-162.

-Yu DY, Cringle SJ, Su EN, Yu PK. Intraretinal oxygen levels before and after photoreceptor loss in the RCS rat. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2000; 41:3999-4006.

-Yu DY, Cringle SJ, Valter K, Walsh N, Lee D, Stone J. Photoreceptor death, trophic factor expression, retinal oxygen status, and photoreceptor function in the P23H rat. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2004; 45:2013-2019.

-Yu DY & Cringle SJ. Retinal degeneration and local oxygen metabolism. Exp Eye Res. 2005; 80:745-751.

-Yu X, Rajala RVS, McGinnis JF, Li F, Anderson RE, Yan X, Li S, Elias RV, Knapp RR, Zhou X, Cao W. Involvement of insulin/ phosphoinositide 3-kinase/Akt signal pathway in 17b-estradiol-mediated neuroprotection. J Biol Chem. 2004; 279:13086-13094.

-Yuan L & Neufeld AH. Activated microglia in the human glaucomatous optic nerve head. J Neurosci Res. 2001; 64:523-532.

-Zack DJ. Neurotrophic rescue of photoreceptors: are Muller cells the mediators of survival? Neuron. 2000; 26:285-286.

-Zalba G, San José G, Moreno MU, Fortuño MA, Fortuño A. Oxidative stress in arterial hypertension: role of NAD(P)H oxidase. Hypertension. 2001; 6:1395-1399.

-Zeevalk GD, Razmpour R, Bernard LP. Glutathione and Parkinson's disease: is this the elephant in the room? Biomed Pharmacother. 2008; 62:236-249.

-Zelko IN, Mariani TJ, Folz RJ. Superoxide dismutase multigene family: a comparison of the CuZn-SOD (SOD1), Mn- SOD (SOD2), and EC-SOD (SOD3) gene structures, evolution, and expression. Free Radical Biol Med. 2002; 33:337-349.

-Zeng HY, Green WR, Tso MO. Microglial activation in human diabetic retinopathy. Arch Ophthalmol. 2008; 126:227-232.

-Zhang Y, Arner K, Ehinger B, Perez MT. Limitation of anatomical integration between subretinal transplants and the host retina. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2003; 44:324-331.

-Zheng M & Storz G. Redox sensing by prokaryotic transcription factors. Biochem Pharmacol. 2000; 59:1-6.

-Zheng Q, Ren Y, Tzekov R, Zhang Y, Chen B, Hou J, Zhao C, Zhu J, Zhang Y, Dai X, Ma S, Li J, Pang J, Qu J, Li W. Differential proteomics and functional research following gene therapy in a mouse model of Leber congenital amaurosis. PLoS One. 2012; 7:44855.

-Zhou HY, Chen SR, Pan HL. Targeting N-methyl-D-aspartate receptors for treatment of neuropathic pain. Expert Rev Clin Pharmacol. 2011; 4:379-388.

-Zhou L, Wang W, Liu Y, Fernandez de Castro J, Ezashi T, Telugu BP, Roberts RM, Kaplan HJ, Dean DC. Differentiation of induced pluripotent stem cells of swine into rod photoreceptors and their integration into the retina. Stem Cells. 2011; 29:972-980.

-Zhu Y, Carvey PM, Ling Z. Age-related changes in glutathione and glutathione-related enzymes in rat brain. Brain Res. 2006; 1090:35-44.

-Zimmerman MC, Lazartigues E, Lang JA, Sinnayah P, Ahmad IM, Spitz DR, Davisson RL. Superoxide mediates the actions of angiotensin II in the central nervous system. Circ Res. 2002; 91:1038-1045.

- Zlotnik A, Leibowitz A, Gurevich B, Ohayon S, Boyko M, Klein M, Knyazer B, Shapira Y, Teichberg VI. Effect of estrogens on blood glutamate levels in relation to neurological outcome after TBI in male rats. Intensive Care Med. 2012; 38:137-144.

-Zrenner E. Retina implants - Replacement of retinal functions by technical implants: A feasible way to restore vision? Ophthalmologe. 2001; 98:353-356.



Table 5. Glutamate concentrations at PN3, PN7, PN11, PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28 in rd1 and wild type mice retina (* p< 0.05 vs rd1 and wt).

(PN) day	wt	rd1
PN3	290,460 ± 28,919*	197,693 ± 25,906
PN7	176,373 ± 19,551	285,858 ± 33,362*
PN11	166,9815 ± 32,318	387,112 ± 23,784*
PN15	83,351 ± 5,016	252,620 ± 19,868*
PN17	155,809 ± 13,311	253,461 ± 54,843*
PN19	181,396 ± 13,607	133,894 ± 6,780
PN21	144,338 ± 5,117	165,894 ± 12,064
PN28	150,990 ± 21,140	139,866 ± 15,295

Glutamate (nmol/mgprot)

Table 5.1. Glutamate concentrations at PN3, PN7, PN11, PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 ar	۱d
PN28 in the retina from wild type and rd1 mice. Pairwise comparisons.	

Strain	Age	Age	Р
wt	3,00	7,00	,001*
		11,00	,001*
		15,00	,000*
		17,00	,000*
		19,00	,006*
		21,00	,001*
		28,00	,000*
	7,00	3,00	,001*
		11,00	1,000
		15,00	,022*
		17,00	1,000
		19,00	1,000
		21,00	1,000
		28,00	1,000
	11,00	3,00	,001*
		7,00	1,000
		15,00	,018
		17,00	1,000
		19,00	1,000
		21,00	1,000
		28,00	1,000
	15,00	3,00	,000*
		7,00	,022*
		11,00	,018
		17,00	,340

	1	10.00	020*
		19,00	,028*
		21,00	1,000
		28,00	,840
	17,00	3,00	,000*
		7,00	1,000
		11,00	1,000
		15,00	,340
		19,00	1,000
		21,00	1,000
		28,00	1,000
	19,00	3,00	,006*
		7,00	1,000
		11,00	1,000
		15,00	,028*
		17,00	1,000
		21,00	1,000
		28,00	1,000
	21,00	3,00	,001*
		7,00	1,000
		11,00	1,000
		15,00	1,000
		17,00	1,000
		19,00	1,000
		28,00	1,000
	28,00	3,00	*000
	,	7.00	1.000
		11.00	1.000
		15.00	.840
		17.00	1.000
		19.00	1.000
		21.00	1.000
rd1	3.00	7.00	.235
	0,00	11.00	.000*
		15.00	1 000
		17.00	1,000
		19.00	1 000
		21.00	1,000
		28,00	1 000
	7.00	3.00	235
	7,00	11 00	028*
		15 00	1 000
		17.00	1 000
		10 00	000*
		21 00	,000
		21,00	,001
	11.00	20,00	,000*
	11,00	3,00	,000*
		7,00	,∪28*

	15,00	,001*
	17,00	,002*
	19,00	,000*
	21,00	,000*
	28,00	,000*
15,00	3,00	1,000
	7,00	1,000
	11,00	,001*
	17,00	1,000
	19,00	,006*
	21,00	,114
	28,00	,013*
17,00	3,00	1,000
	7,00	1,000
	11,00	,002*
	15,00	1,000
	19,00	,009*
	21,00	,151
	28,00	,018*
19,00	3,00	1,000
	7,00	,000*
	11,00	,000*
	15,00	,006*
	17,00	,009*
	21,00	1,000
	28,00	1,000
21,00	3,00	1,000
	7,00	,001*
	11,00	,000*
	15,00	,114
	17,00	,151
	19,00	1,000
	28,00	1,000
28,00	3,00	1,000
	7,00	,000*
	11,00	,000*
	15,00	,013*
	17,00	,018*
	19,00	1,000
	21,00	1,000

Table 6. Glutathione (GSH) concentrations in the retina from rd1 and wild type mice at different post-natal days (PN3, PN7, PN11, PN13, PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28) (* p< 0.05 vs rd1 and wt).

(PN) day	wt	rd1
PN3	35,309 ± 2,223	39,820 ± 5,254
PN7	39,529 ± 3,621	39,168 ± 4,910
PN11	33,545 ± 3,152	29,106 ± 3,343
PN15	23,802 ± 2,522*	14,456 ± 1,257
PN17	25,359 ± 2,050	42,980 ± 5,153*
PN19	15,817 ± 1,828	33,773 ± 5,771*
PN21	17,616 ± 1,276	24,471 ± 3,286
PN28	19,869 ± 2,022	20,349 ± 2,338

Glutathione (nmol/mgprot)

Table 6.1. GSH concentrations at PN3, PN7, PN11, PN13, PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28 in the retina from rd1 and wild type mice. Pairwise comparisons.

Strain	Age	Age	Р
wt	3,00	7,00	1,000
		11,00	1,000
		15,00	,795
		17,00	1,000
		19,00	,014*
		21,00	,026*
		28,00	,353
	7,00	3,00	1,000
		11,00	1,000
		15,00	,003*
		17,00	,084
		19,00	,000*
		21,00	,000*
		28,00	,004*
	11,00	3,00	1,000
		7,00	1,000
		15,00	1,000
		17,00	1,000
		19,00	,020*
		21,00	,037*
		28,00	,553
	15,00	3,00	,795

	7,00	,003*
	11,00	1,000
	17,00	1,000
	19,00	1,000
	21.00	1.000
	28.00	1,000
17.00	3 00	1 000
17,00	7.00	084
	11.00	1 000
	11,00	1,000
	15,00	1,000
	19,00	1,000
	21,00	1,000
	28,00	1,000
19,00	3,00	,014*
	7,00	,000*
	11,00	,020*
	15,00	1,000
	17,00	1,000
	21,00	1,000
	28,00	1,000
21,00	3,00	,026*
	7,00	,000*
	11,00	,037*
	15.00	1.000
	17.00	1.000
	19.00	1,000
	28.00	1.000
28.00	3.00	353
20,00	7 00	004*
	11.00	553
	15,00	1 000
	17.00	1,000
	10,00	1,000
	19,00	1,000
2.00	21,00	1,000
3,00	7,00	1,000
	11,00	,828
	15,00	,000*
	17,00	1,000
	19,00	1,000
	21,00	,093
	28,00	,008*
7,00	3,00	1,000
	11,00	1,000
	15,00	,000*
	17,00	1,000
	19,00	1,000
	21,00	,176

rd1

	28,00	,017*
11,00	3,00	,828
	7,00	1,000
	15,00	,031*
	17,00	,109
	19,00	1,000
	21,00	1,000
	28,00	1,000
15,00	3,00	,000*
	7,00	,000*
	11,00	,031*
	17,00	,000*
	19,00	,002*
	21,00	,987
	28,00	1,000
17,00	3,00	1,000
	7,00	1,000
	11,00	,109
	15,00	,000*
	19,00	1,000
	21,00	,008*
	28,00	,000*
19,00	3,00	1,000
	7,00	1,000
	11,00	1,000
	15,00	,002*
	17,00	1,000
	21,00	1,000
	28,00	,191
21,00	3,00	,093
	7,00	,176
	11,00	1,000
	15,00	,987
	17,00	,008*
	19,00	1,000
	28,00	1,000
28,00	3,00	,008*
	7,00	,017*
	11,00	1,000
	15,00	1,000
	17,00	,000*
	19,00	,191
	21,00	1,000

Table 7. Glutathione disulfide (GSSG) concentrations at PN3, PN7, PN11, PN13, PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28 in the retina from wild type and rd1 mice (* p< 0.05 vs wt).

(PN) day	wt	rd1
PN3	4,956 ± 0,132	5,887 ± 0,377
PN7	4,886 ± 0,216	2,841 ± 0,271
PN11	3,216 ± 0,154	3,490 ± 0,330
PN15	3,902 ± 0,441	3,110 ± 0,670
PN17	3,802 ± 0,535	6,131 ± 0,509
PN19	3,956 ± 0,1593	6,270 ± 0,606
PN21	2,262 ± 0,437	5,840 ± 0,285*
PN28	1,442 ± 0,569	11,217 ± 1,828*

Glutathione disulfide (nmol/mgprot)

Table 7.1. GSSG concentrations in the retina from rd1 and wild type mice at different post-natal days (PN3, PN7, PN11, PN13, PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28). Pairwise comparisons.

Strain	Age	Age	Р
wt	3,00	7,00	1,000
		11,00	1,000
		15,00	1,000
		17,00	1,000
		19,00	1,000
		21,00	,830
		28,00	,135
	7,00	3,00	1,000
		11,00	1,000
		15,00	1,000
		17,00	1,000
		19,00	1,000
		21,00	,963
		28,00	,159
	11,00	3,00	1,000
		7,00	1,000
		15,00	1,000
		17,00	1,000
		19,00	1,000
		21,00	1,000
		28,00	1,000
	15,00	3,00	1,000

	7,00	1,000
	11,00	1,000
	17,00	1,000
	19,00	1,000
	21,00	1,000
	28,00	1,000
17.00	3,00	1,000
	7,00	1,000
	11,00	1,000
	15.00	1.000
	19.00	1.000
	21.00	1,000
	28.00	1.000
19.00	3.00	1,000
10,00	7 00	1 000
	11 00	1,000
	15,00	1 000
	17.00	1,000
	21.00	1,000
	21,00	1,000
21.00	20,00	920
21,00	3,00	,850
	7,00	,905
	11,00	1,000
	15,00	1,000
	17,00	1,000
	19,00	1,000
20.00	28,00	1,000
28,00	3,00	,135
	7,00	,159
	11,00	1,000
	15,00	1,000
	17,00	1,000
	19,00	1,000
	21,00	1,000
3,00	7,00	,393
	11,00	1,000
	15,00	,448
	17,00	1,000
	19,00	1,000
	21,00	1,000
	28,00	1,000
7,00	3,00	,393
	11,00	1,000
	15,00	1,000
	17,00	,228
	19,00	,165
	21,00	,549

rd1

	28,00	,000*
11,00	3,00	1,000
	7,00	1,000
	15,00	1,000
	17,00	,733
	19,00	,544
	21,00	1,000
	28,00	,000*
15,00	3,00	,448
	7,00	1,000
	11,00	1,000
	17,00	,251
	19,00	,178
	21,00	,644
	28,00	,000*
17,00	3,00	1,000
	7,00	,228
	11,00	,733
	15,00	,251
	19,00	1,000
	21,00	1,000
	28,00	,001*
19,00	3,00	1,000
	7,00	,165
	11,00	,544
	15,00	,178
	17,00	1,000
	21,00	1,000
	28,00	,002*
21,00	3,00	1,000
	7,00	,549
	11,00	1,000
	15,00	,644
	17,00	1,000
	19,00	1,000
	28,00	,001*
	3,00	,000*
28,00	7,00	,000*
	11,00	,000*
	15,00	,000*
	17,00	,000*
	19,00	,002*
	21,00	,001*

Table 8. Cysteine (Cys) concentrations at PN3, PN7, PN11, PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28 in the retina from rd1 and wild type mice.

Cysteine	(nmol/mgprot)
----------	---------------

(PN) day	wt	rd1
PN3	64,511 ± 5,741	52,280 ± 4,720
PN7	52,807 ± 4,372	56,431 ± 4,780
PN11	75,270 ± 4,325	69,074 ± 9,694
PN15	51,321 ± 4,273	67,164 ± 6,651
PN17	76,711 ± 9,193	62,369 ± 3,214
PN19	66,557 ± 4,852	43,779 ± 2,595
PN21	37,998 ± 4,077	36,029 ± 2,638
PN28	31,330 ± 5,287	36,749 ± 2,745

Table 8.1. Cysteine concentrations in the retina from wild type and rd1 mice at different post-natal days (PN3, PN7, PN11, PN15, PN17, PN19, PN21 and PN28). Pairwise comparisons.

Strain	Age	Age	Р
wt	3,00	7,00	,991
		11,00	,600
		15,00	1,000
		17,00	,544
		19,00	,998
		21,00	,015*
		28,00	,011*
	7,00	3,00	,991
		11,00	,108
		15,00	,990
		17,00	,087
		19,00	1,000
		21,00	,116
		28,00	,081
	11,00	3,00	,600
		7,00	,108
		15,00	,633
		17,00	1,000
		19,00	,181
		21,00	,000*
		28,00	,000*
	15,00	3,00	1,000
		7,00	,990

	11,00	,633
	17,00	,578
	19,00	,998
	21,00	,016*
	28,00	,012*
17,00	3,00	,544
	7,00	,087
	11,00	1,000
	15,00	,578
	19,00	,150
	21,00	,000*
	28,00	,000*
19,00	3,00	,998
	7,00	1,000
	11,00	,181
	15,00	,998
	17,00	,150
	21,00	,086
	28,00	,060
21,00	3,00	,015*
	7,00	,177
	11,00	,000*
	15,00	,016*
	17,00	,000*
	19,00	,086
	28,00	1,000
28,00	3,00	,011*
	7,00	,081
	11,00	,000*
	15,00	,012*
	17,00	,000*
	19,00	,060
	21,00	1,000

Table 9. Means \pm error standard of number of rows at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17 in far peripheral, mid peripheral and central retina in the ONL of wild type, rd1 and progesterone-treated rd1 mice (* p< 0.05 vs wt; # p< 0.05 vs treated rd1).

-Nº of rows in the ONL

Far	PN11	PN13	PN15	PN17
Peripheral				
Wt	11,972± 0,260	11,667± 0,167	12,917± 0,186	9,944± 0,250
rd1	12,389± 0,159	10,111± 0, 419*#	6,306± 0,18*#	4,306± 0,225*#
rd1 treated	12,528± 0,169	11,056± 0,281*#	9,667± 0,648*#	4,708± 0,269*#

Mid	PN11	PN13	PN15	PN17
Peripheral				
Wt	12,611± 0,310	12,611± 0,164	13,25± 0,412	11,194± 0,161
rd1	12,583± 0,268	9,444±0,391*#	5,403± 0,102*#	3,139± 0,668*#
rd1 treated	12,083± 0,191	10,166± 0,281*#	7,056±0,166*;	3,361± 0,144*#

Central retina	PN11	PN13	PN15	PN17
Wt	13,25± 0,294	13,139± 0,117	13,417± 0,297	11,361± 0,145
rd1	12,861± 0,159	8,944± 0, 419*	4,806± 0,180*#	2,459± 0,225*#
rd1 treated	12,138± 0,199	9,194± 0,371*	5,986± 0,744*#	2,736± 0,097*#

Table 10. Means \pm error standard of number of TUNEL positive cells at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17 in far peripheral, mid peripheral and central retina in the ONL of wild type, rd1 and progesterone-treated rd1 mice (* p< 0.05 vs wt; # p< 0.05 vs treated rd1).

Far Peripheral	PN11	PN13	PN15	PN17
wt	2,50E-05± 7,9E-06	2,15E-05 ± 6,99E-06	2,63E-05 ± 4,87E-06	1,23E-05 ± 5,23E-06
rd1	3,5E-03± 2,2E-04*#	3,6E-03± 1,04E-04*#	3,56E-03 ± 2,2E-04*#	2,6E-03 ± 1,6E-04*#
rd1 treated	9,81E-04± 1,8E-04*	2,35E-03 ±8,04E-05*	1,93E-03 ± 1,04E-04*	1,65E-03 ± 1,7E-04*

-% POSITIVE TUNEL CELLS/AUA

Mid	PN11	PN13	PN15	PN17
Peripheral				
wt	3,1E-05± 1,2E-05	1,7E-05± 6,8E-06	4,9E-05± 2,6E-05	2,9E-05± 1,7E-05
rd1	4,6E-03±9,7E-04*#	4,8E-03 ±4,9E-04*#	3,2E-03 ± 5,8E-04*#	2,5E-03 ± 1,3E-04*#
rd1 treated	1,4E-03 ±7,07E-04*	2,5E-03 ± 2,9E-04*	1,94E-03 ± 4,55E-04*	1,6E-03 ± 5,3E-04*

Central	PN11	PN13	PN15	PN17
retina				
wt	3,2E-05 ± 1,03E-05	2,77E-05 ± 6,3E-06	3,40E-05 ± 1,51E-05	2,29E-05 ± 2,99E-06
rd1	4,23E-03 ± 3,7E-04*#	5,27E-03 ± 2,19E-04*#	3,13E-03 ± 2,62E-04*#	2,40E-03 ± 2,13E-04*#
rd1 treated	1,56E-03 ± 392E-04*	2,32E-03 ± 2,9E-04*	1,87E-03 ± 1,85E-04*	1,36E-03 ± 6,13E-05*

Table 11. a- and b-waves amplitude (μ V) and a- and b- latency (ms) values of groups studied (wild type, rd1 and progesterone-treated rd1 mice) at different post-natal days (PN13, PN15 and PN17) (* p< 0.05 vs wt; # p< 0.05 vs treated rd1).

	a-wave		b-wave	
Group	Amplitude (µV)	Latency (ms)	Amplitude (µV)	Latency (ms)
wt PN13	19,27 ± 2,7	25,86 ± 3,75	36,36 ± 3,47	65 ± 7,2
rd1 PN13	17,63 ± 0,56	22 ± 2,08	33,17 ± 1,69	59,33 ± 1,76
rd1 treated PN13	14,17 ± 2,13	24,4 ± 3,18	21,68 ± 4,19	56,3 ± 4,59
wt PN15	15,28 ± 2,53	22,75 ± 3,26	63,93 ± 8,92	72,25 ± 5,53
rd1 PN15	7,01 ± 0,47	17,8 ± 3,67	9,62 ± 0,82*	45,2 ± 5,49*
rd1 treated PN15	11,60 ± 2,41	14,29 ± 1,46	19,67 ± 4,74*	30,14 ± 2,66*#
wt PN17	21,98 ± 2,22	23,88 ± 2,11	56,81 ± 6,53	67 ± 4,11
rd1 PN17	4,30 ± 1,67*	16 ± 3,56*	15,58 ± 3,42*	52,25 ± 9,13*
rd1 treated PN17	12,28 ± 2,21	17,14 ± 1,88*	21,59 ± 3,85*	41,57 ± 3,41*

Table 12. Glutamate concentrations at PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17 in the retinas from wild type, rd1 and progesterone-treated rd1 mice (* p< 0.05 vs wt; # p< 0.05 vs treated rd1).

Glutamate	PN11	PN13	PN15	PN17
Wt	166,981 ± 27,31	162,661 ± 27,57	83,351 ± 5,69	155,809 ± 9,92
rd1	387,112 ± 28,31*#	177,140 ± 17,08#	252,620 ± 20,62*#	203,53 ± 17,8*#
rd1 treated	114,871 ± 18,42	109,720 ± 8,18	103, 401 ± 3,9*	106,942 ± 3,03*

Table 13. Cysteine (Cys) concentrations at different post-natal days (PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17) in the retina from wild type, rd1 and progesterone-treated rd1 mice (* p< 0.05 vs wt; # p< 0.05 vs treated rd1).

Cysteine	PN11	PN13	PN15	PN17
Wt	75,270 ± 4,32	60,695 ±3,96	51,321 ± 4,27	76,711 ± 9,19
rd1	69,074 ± 9,69	71,388 ± 4,69#	67,164 ± 6,65	62,369 ± 3,21
rd1 treated	61,993 ± 16,76	30,650 ± 2,99*	51,680 ± 5,75	69,221 ± 4,73

Table 14. Glutathione (GSH) concentrations at different post natal days (PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17) in the retina from wild type, rd1 and progesterone-treated rd1 mice (* p < 0.05 vs wt; # p < 0.05 vs treated rd1).

GSH	PN11	PN13	PN15	PN17
Wt	33,545 ± 3,15	28,110 ± 3,71	23,802 ± 2,52	21,491 ± 3,11
rd1	29,106 ± 3,34	22,169 ± 2,55	14,456 ± 1,26*#	42,980 ± 5,15*
rd1 treated	34,799 ± 6,24	25,077 ± 2,17	30,454 ± 2,24	48,586 ± 9,06*

Table 15. Glutathione disulfide (GSSG) concentrations at different post-natal days (PN11, PN13, PN15 and PN17) in the retina from wild type, rd1 and progesterone-treated rd1 mice (* p < 0.05 vs wt; # p < 0.05 vs treated rd1).

GSSG	PN11	PN13	PN15	PN17
wt	3,216 ± 0,20	3,005 ± 0,50	3,902 ± 0,71	3,802 ± 0,64
rd1	3,490 ± 0,51*#	2,773 ± 0,43*#	3,110 ± 0,89*#	6,131 ± 0,64*#
rd1 treated	15,501 ± 2,05*	5,863 ± 0,53*	12,409 ± 2,26*	15,318 ± 1,99*