Original Article

Effects of morphine-alfaxalone-midazolam premedication, alfaxalone induction and sevoflurane maintenance on intraocular pressure and tear production in dogs
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Abstract

Intraocular pressure (IOP) and tear production are ocular parameters commonly affected by general anaesthesia. A good control of both of them is necessary to guarantee a successful ophthalmic surgery. The purpose of this research was to analyse the effect of a common anaesthetic protocol based on morphine-alfaxalone-midazolam premedication, alfaxalone induction and sevoflurane maintenance on IOP and Schirmer tear test (STT-1) in healthy dogs. Twenty two adult mixed dogs scheduled for an ovariohysterectomy were used for this study. IOP and STT-1 were registered at baseline (T₀), 5 (T₁), 10 (T₂) and 15 (T₃) minutes after premedication with morphine-alfaxalone-midazolam combination; after induction (T₄) with alfaxalone and 15 (T₅) and 25 (T₆) minutes after maintenance with sevoflurane. A paired Student’s t-test and a Wilcoxon test were used to analyse the difference between IOP and STT-1 over time respectively.

This anaesthetic protocol produced a statistically significant increase in IOP (P<0.05) after premedication and induction, which was maintained after intubation. STT-1 showed a severe significant reduction during all the procedure (P<0.001). These conclusions should be taken into consideration, especially in dogs with damaged corneas, those predisposed to glaucoma and those who will be undergoing intraocular surgery. Ocular lubrication is necessary if this protocol is used.
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Introduction

Selection of an anaesthetic protocol for ocular surgery should include consideration of the effects on ocular parameters, such as intraocular pressure (IOP), pupil size or tear production. In fact, the success of an ophthalmic procedure may depend on their control before, during and after the surgery (Gross and Giuliano 2007; Hasiuk and others 2014).

It is well known that IOP is determined by aqueous humour (AH) dynamics, intraocular (choroidal) blood volume, central venous pressure and extraocular muscle tone (Gelatt and MacKay 1998). In veterinary medicine, several research groups have evaluated the effects of different anaesthetic agents on this parameter in the dog (Costa and others 2015; Gunderson and others 2013; Hasiuk and others 2014; Hofmeister and others 2008; Jang and others 2015; Kanda and others 2015). Most general anaesthetics seem to lower or maintain IOP within normal limits because of actions on the central nervous, respiratory, and circulatory systems (Jang and others 2015). In contrast, various anaesthetic drugs can increase IOP through a variety of mechanisms; generally altering extraocular muscle tone, inducing vasodilatation or changing the rate of AH outflow (Gelatt 2011).

General anaesthesia has been documented to decrease tear production in humans, dogs, cats and horses (Di Pietro and others 2016; Herring and others 2000; Komnenou and others 2013; Shepard and others 2011; Snow and others 1975). Ulcerative keratitis is a common complication associated with this decline due to corneal exposure and drying, mainly in brachycephalic dogs.

Morphine is widely used in veterinary medicine for its efficacy in treating intra and post-operative pain during moderate surgeries (Kongara and others 2012). Alfaxalone interacts with γ-aminobutyric acid receptors producing anaesthesia and muscle relaxation (Torres and others 2012). In veterinary medicine, it is commonly used in the dog to induce
and maintain general anaesthesia intravenously (Muir and others 2008, 2009). Midazolam, administered prior to anaesthetic induction, provide a good muscle relaxation reducing the alfaxalone related hyperkinesia (Miguel and others 2013). Regarding sevoflurane, due to its low blood:gas partition coefficient and rapid onset of action, allows easy control of anaesthetic depth (Kazama and Ikeda 1988).

The aim of this study was to investigate the effects of the combination of morphine, alfaxalone and midazolam as premedication, in association with the induction with alfaxalone and sevoflurane maintenance on the IOP and Schirmer tear test (STT-1) in healthy dogs. To our knowledge, the effect of the protocol previously described on these ocular parameters has not previously been investigated in the clinically normal dog.

**Materials and methods**

*Case selection*

Twenty two adult female dogs of mixed breed, scheduled for an ovariohysterectomy in the Veterinary Teaching Hospital of the CEU Cardenal Herrera University (Valencia, Spain) were enrolled for the study. The number of animals included was determined based on other research published earlier (Costa and others 2015; Ghaffari and others 2010; Gunderson and others 2013; Hasiuk and others 2014). A prospective clinical trial using client-owned dogs was designed and a signed consent was provided for the dog owners before the inclusion in the trial.

All animals were clinically normal on the pre-anaesthetic evaluation that included a physical examination, a complete blood count and serum biochemistry profile, thoracic radiographs and an electrocardiogram. Dogs were classified following the American
Society of Anaesthesiologists (ASA) classification. Only ASA I and ASA II patients were included in this study. Animals of higher risk were excluded. The surgery was performed using a right flank approach.

**Ophthalmological examination**

A complete ophthalmic exam was performed on both eyes of each dog, including STT-1 (Sno-Strips, Chauvin Pharmaceuticals Ltd), slit-lamp biomicroscopy (Kowa SL-15, Kowa Company Ltd), applanation tonometry (Tonopen XL, Reichert) and direct ophthalmoscopy (Panoptic ophthalmoscope, Welch Allyn). Only the patients with no ocular abnormalities were included.

**Recording of data during the anaesthetic protocol**

The IOP measurements were obtained, always by the same person, after application of topical anaesthetic (Tetracaine hydrochloride 0.5%, Colircusi anestésico doble, Alcon-Cusi Laboratorios). The IOP values were an average of 3 readings from each eye taken alternatively between eyes, using the values having less than 5% standard deviation (SD). All measurements were performed between 9.00-11.00 a.m. to minimize diurnal variation in IOP (Giannetto and others 2009). When necessary, a smooth clamping over the conjunctiva was made to rotate the eye and record the IOP.

The tear production was recorded, by the same investigator, by placing the commercial strip in the medial aspect of the inferior conjunctival fornix. Both eyes were assessed concurrently.

After ophthalmic exam, STT-1 and IOP measurements were registered at the following times: baseline (T0), 5 (T1), 10 (T2) and 15 (T3) minutes after anaesthetic
premedication with an intramuscular combination of alfaxalone (5 mg/Kg, Alfaxan 10 mg/ml, Vétoquinol especialidades veterinarias S.A.), morphine chloride (0.4 mg/Kg, Morfina Braun 2%, B Braun Medical S.A.), and midazolam (1 mg/Kg, Midazolam Normon 15 mg/3ml, Laboratorios Normon); after induction (T4) with an intravenous injection of alfaxalone (3 mg/Kg, Alfaxan 10 mg/ml, Vétoquinol especialidades veterinarias S.A.) and 15 (T5) and 25 (T6) minutes after maintenance with sevoflurane (SevoFlo, Dr.Esteve).

When the animal was conscious, STT-1 values and IOP readings were recorded on sternal recumbence. After induction and during anaesthetic maintenance, for obviously reasons, data were recorded on right lateral recumbence.

*Anaesthetic monitoring*

Initially we recorded basal values of hearth rate (HR), respiratory rate (RR) and temperature (T). All this parameters were recorded 15 minutes after premedication. During all the anaesthesia, every 5 minutes, we registered with the anaesthetic monitor (AS-3, Datex Ohmeda) various hemodynamic and respiratory variables: HR, RR, T, oxygen saturation, capnography, exhaled and inspired anaesthetic agent percentage, exhaled and inspired tidal volume and central venous pressure.

*Statistical analysis*

Normality was tested using the Shapiro-Wilk statistic. A paired Student’s t-test and a Wilcoxon test were utilized to analyse the difference between IOP and STT-1 over time respectively. To assess differences in IOP between both eyes, a one-way ANOVA was performed. All data were expressed as mean ± SD. Statistical tests were done using the
SPSS program (SPSS for Windows V.18.0.). A value of \( P < 0.05 \) was considered significant.

**Results**

The diagnostic as well the surgical procedures were performed successfully with the anaesthetic protocol previously mentioned.

The results (mean IOP and STT-1) for all the studied period are shown in Table 1. The mean ± SD baseline IOP for the right and left eye were 13.8 ± 3.0 and 14.2 ± 2.9 mm Hg respectively. For the SST-1, the mean ± SD baseline was 18.4 ± 4.4 and 17.8 ± 3.5 mm/min respectively.

The studied protocol produced a statistically significant increase in IOP (\( P<0.05 \)) after premedication and induction, which was maintained after intubation (60 minutes). STT-1 showed a very marked significant reduction (\( P<0.001 \)) during all the procedure (Fig. 1). We found no differences between both eyes over time either the SST-1 or the IOP (Fig. 2).

**Discussion**

In the present investigation, the effect on IOP and STT-1 following the administration of morphine-alfaxalone-midazolam as premedication, alfaxalone as induction and sevoflurane as maintenance has been studied, in order to evaluate the utility of a common anaesthetic protocol on ophthalmic surgeries. The regulation of these parameters, mainly the IOP, is important for successful ophthalmic surgery and can be
greatly affected by the anaesthetic procedure (Brunson 1980). Even the smallest increases in this parameter can reduce the axoplasmic flow causing damage on the retina.

The administration of preanaesthetic and anaesthetic agents typically cause decreases in IOP promoting relaxation of the extraocular muscles tone, depressing the central nervous system, increasing AH outflow and reducing arterial and venous blood pressure (Gross and Giuliano 2007). Some examples are medetomidine or dexmedetomidine (Artigas and others 2012; Kanda and others 2015). Studies performed with sevoflurane, desflurane and ketamine-midazolam combination did not alter this ocular parameter (Almeida and others 2004; Ghaffari and others 2010) and on the other hand alfaxalone and propofol showed a significant increase in the IOP (Costa and others 2015; Hasiuk and others 2014). The mechanism resulting in this increase remains unclear but is known that most anaesthetic agents depress the respiratory control centre resulting in elevations on the CO₂ levels. This respiratory acidosis induces a reflex vasodilation, also affecting the choroidal blood vessels, causing an increase in IOP (Gelatt 2011).

The baseline values of IOP observed in the dogs of our study were similar to those reported previously in normal dogs (Martin 2005a). In our research the IOP has significantly increased during anaesthetic premedication, induction and maintenance.

The premedication protocol selected included morphine chloride in combination with alfaxalone and midazolam. First one was selected to cover surgery analgesia and, although this drug decreases the basal IOP in humans (Drago and others 1985) in dogs, combined with acepromazine, had no significant effects in IOP values (Stephan and others 2003).

Alfaxalone generally produces a rapid and excitement free induction to anaesthesia and a good muscle relaxation, although it has been described a temporary period of head
shaking and hyperextension of the neck in some cases, that have not been find in our study (Miguel and others 2013; Muir and others 2008). The effect of a single injection of alfaxalone on IOP has been previously studied in the koala, sheep and dog. While in the koala the IOP showed no significant difference between conscious and anesthetized states, in the sheep and dog various researchers have demonstrated an initial and transient significant increase in this parameter (Costa and others 2015; Grundon and others 2011; Hasiuk and others 2014; Torres and others 2012).

Midazolam was included in the protocol to better control the alfaxalone side effects and to reduce the dose of the rest of the drugs. Gunderson et al. (2013) studied the effect of the anaesthetic induction with midazolam-etomidate and midazolam-propofol on ocular parameters and showed a clinically elevation of the IOP likely related to the propofol or etomidate rather than midazolam. Likewise midazolam-ketamine combination had no significant effect on IOP in clinically normal dogs (Ghaffari and others 2010).

Regarding to sevoflurane, Almeida et al. (2004) shown no significant clinical effects on IOP during 105 minutes of inhalant anaesthesia.

Therefore, we propose that the greater influence on IOP in our study was likely due to the alfaxalone action, rather than the effect of the other drugs. As we mentioned above, Costa et al. (2015) shown a transient increased on IOP followed by a significant decrease in their study performed with dogs. In contrast, we observed a significant and sustained increase in IOP during all the procedure. This could be related to the double injection of alfaxalone and his cumulative effect, which half-life is between 24.0 ± 1.9 and 37.4 ± 1.6 minutes depending on the dose (Ferre and others 2006). Further research is required to define more completely the mechanisms causing this increase but we relate them with its action on respiratory system. At the dose of 6 mg/kg, alfaxalone decreases respiratory rate,
minute volume and PaO₂ and increases the PaCO₂ (Ferre and others 2006; Muir and others 2008).

It is well known that IOP may vary according to sex, breed, age, animal behaviour, type of tonometer, expertise of the clinician, circadian rhythm or body position (Gelatt and MacKay 1998; Giannetto and others 2009; Martin-Suarez and others 2014; Piccione and others 2010). In our study, IOP readings were always done between 9.00-11.00 a.m. to minimize diurnal variation. Topic anaesthetic instillation was used to reduce discomfort or pain and all measurements were performed by the same person with wide experience in handling the applanation tonometer. Regarding body position, Broadwater et al. (2008) shown a significantly decreased in IOP in dogs that were dorsally recumbent or sitting. This parameter did not change significantly in sternal recumbent suggesting that this position may allow for the most consistent and repeatable IOP measurements. In our research, IOP was recorded avoiding pressure against globe, jugular veins or eyelids, first in sitting or sternal recumbent and after the induction in right lateral position. Although in human medicine has been found that the IOP can significantly increase on right and left lateral decubitus (Lee and others 2013; Malihi and Sit 2012; Seo and others 2015), there were no significant differences between right and left eye in any position probably due to anatomic dissimilarity (Fig. 2).

During general anaesthesia occurs a decrease of both basal and reflex tear production (Mouney and others 2011). It has been suggested that reduction of reflex tear formation during anaesthesia may be due to depression of autonomic pathways responsible for production of tears. These decrease is usually transient but may last for several days when combined with other postoperative complications (Martin 2005b).
In the present investigation the mean baseline STT-1 was similar to those described in normal dogs (Martin 2005a) and we found a progressive and dramatic decrease to values close to 0 mm/min at 35 and 60 minutes. As reported by other authors, morphine and sevoflurane do not reduce tear production (Mouney and others 2011). Costa et al. (2015) showed a significant diminution of the STT-1 followed by a single intravenous injection of alfaxalone that was recovered after 30 minutes. In our knowledge, the effect of midazolam on tear production is unknown so it is necessary to perform future studies to determine whether the reduction that we have detected on STT-1 is attributable to alfaxalone, to midazolam or combination of both of them.

We recognize, as a limitation of our study, that the evolution of IOP and tear production to recovering baseline values has not been analysed and it will be take into consideration in future research.

In conclusion, although the IOP values remained within the normal physiological canine range, the protocol used in this study induced a significant increase in this parameter and these findings should be taken into consideration, especially in dogs with fragile or ulcerated corneas, those predisposed to glaucoma as well as those who will be undergoing intraocular surgery. Furthermore, lubrication is necessary to prevent damage to ocular surface.
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Table 1

Mean IOP (mm Hg) and SST-1 (mm/min) values for the right and left eye. Data are presented as mean ± SD.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>OD$^c$</th>
<th>OS$^d$</th>
<th>OD$^c$</th>
<th>OS$^d$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$T_0^e$</td>
<td>13.8 ± 3.0</td>
<td>14.2 ± 2.9</td>
<td>18.4 ± 4.4</td>
<td>17.8 ± 3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_1^f$</td>
<td>16.5 ± 4.2</td>
<td>17.4 ± 3.7</td>
<td>9 ± 4.3</td>
<td>9.2 ± 4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_2^g$</td>
<td>15.9 ± 3.7</td>
<td>15.8 ± 3.4</td>
<td>4.8 ± 4.2</td>
<td>5.8 ± 4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_3^h$</td>
<td>15.2 ± 3.4</td>
<td>16.1 ± 3.4</td>
<td>4.5 ± 4.8</td>
<td>4 ± 4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_4^i$</td>
<td>16.6 ± 3.8</td>
<td>16.3 ± 3.1</td>
<td>2.7 ± 2.5</td>
<td>2.7 ± 2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_5^j$</td>
<td>16.3 ± 4.3</td>
<td>16.4 ± 2.7</td>
<td>1.5 ± 1.8</td>
<td>1 ± 1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_6^k$</td>
<td>16.6 ± 4.1</td>
<td>16.8 ± 3.2</td>
<td>0.9 ± 1.3</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ IOP (mm Hg), intraocular pressure; $^b$ STT-1, Schirmer tear test; $^c$ OD, right eye; $^d$ OS, left eye; $^e$ $T_0$, baseline (data before sedation); $^f$ $T_1$, $^g$ $T_2$ and $^h$ $T_3$, 5, 10 and 15 minutes after sedation with alfaxalone respectively; $^i$ $T_4$, 5 minutes after sevoflurane administration; $^j$ $T_5$ and $^k$ $T_6$, 15 and 25 minutes after sevoflurane administration.
Figure legends

Fig. 1: Mean IOP and SST-1 recorded at different time points during the procedure. Data are expressed as mean ± SD. * p < 0.05.

Fig. 2: Mean IOP values for the right and left eye along the experiment.